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1) **Sequential record identifier:** ICPSR created a unique sequential record identifier variable named CASEID.

2) **Unknown Code:** Value labels were added to variables containing unknown codes.

3) Some variables do not have summary statistics due to the summary statistics exceeding the maximum number of allowed categories.

4) **Truncated value labels:** Truncated value labels were corrected in variables where necessary.

5) Value labels were removed for variable V082006 as required to process.
**Data Completeness Report**

Notes: (1) Variables are individually listed only if they have greater than 5% missing data. These variables are listed under the appropriate percentage category in the order in which they appear in the data file. (2) The Data Completeness Report only captures information about system missing or other values that are declared missing. Codes that have a label implying that they are missing but that are not declared missing values are not reflected in this report. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about missing values. (3) Some variables that have 100% missing data may have been blanked by ICPSR to protect respondent confidentiality. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about blanked variables. (4) Data do not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.

**Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.4% (126 of 1963 variables) have 0% Missing Values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1% (81 of 1963 variables) have 0% - 1% Missing Values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2% (82 of 1963 variables) have 1% - 3% Missing Values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9% (37 of 1963 variables) have 3% - 5% Missing Values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2% (200 of 1963 variables) have 5% - 10% Missing Values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| V082253 | PreIWR.3. Interviewer education: Pre-election IW | 9.4% |
| V083032 | A14e. Approve/disapprove President handling health care | 8.2% |
| V083032A | A14e1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling health care | 8.5% |
| V083032X | A14e1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling health care | 8.5% |
| V083054 | C4a. How similar are Republicans to one another | 7.1% |
| V083055 | C4b. How similar are Democrats to one another | 6.1% |
| V083058X | C6x. SUMMARY: R better/worse off 1 year from now | 5.0% |
| V083070A | E2a. Liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand | 9.6% |
| V083070B | E2b. Liberal/conservative - Rep Pres cand | 9.7% |
| V083071A | E3a. Liberal/conservative Dem party | 8.4% |
| V083071B | E3b. Liberal/conservative Rep party | 9.2% |
| V083073 | E5. Who does R think will be elected President | 9.6% |
| V083075 | E6. Which Pres cand will carry state | 8.4% |
| V083083A | F1a. How much economy better/worse in last year | 9.1% |
| V083163 | P5. R favor/oppose death penalty | 8.0% |
| V083163A | P5a. Strength R favors/opposes death penalty | 8.3% |
| V083169A | Q1a. Who does R think will/would vote for President | 9.0% |
| V083171X | Q2a2x. SUMMARY: Black President make R pleased | 6.5% |
| V083173 | Q4. US ready for African-American President | 5.2% |
| V083249 | Y21b. R income | 6.5% |
| V083250C | Y23. Social class: average or upper working/middle class | 6.7% |
| V084009 | PostAdmin.9. Mode of interview: Post-election IW | 9.5% |
| V084011 | PostAdmin.11. Language of interview: Post-election IW | 9.5% |
| V084012A | PostAdmin.12a. Interview verification: Post-election IW | 9.5% |
| V084018 | PostAdmin.18. Respondent incentive: Post-election IW | 9.5% |
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.1. Forward or reverse coding</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.2. VERSION OLD or VERSION NEW subset of questions</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.3. Order Presidential candidate thermometers</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4a. Order CINDY MCCAIN thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4b. Order MICHELLE OBAMA cand thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4c. Order HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4d. Order HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4e. Order HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4f. Order SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4g. Order SENATE REPUBLICAN CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4h. Order SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4j. Order SENATOR 1 thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4k. Order SENATOR 2 thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4m. Order SENATOR NOT RUNNING thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4n. Order 2nd DEMOCRATIC SENATE CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4p. Order 2nd REPUBLICAN SENATE CAND thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4q. Order JOE BIDEN thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4r. Order SARAH PALIN thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.4s. Order HILLARY CLINTON thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5a. Order HISPANICS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5b. Order CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5c. Order CATHOLICS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5d. Order FEMINISTS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5e. Order FEDERAL GOVERNMENT thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5f. Order JEWS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5g. Order LIBERALS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5h. Order MIDDLE CLASS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5j. Order LABOR UNIONS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5k. Order POOR PEOPLE thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5m. Order MILITARY thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5n. Order BIG BUSINESS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5p. Order PEOPLE ON WELFARE thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5q. Order CONSERVATIVES thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5r. Order WORKING CLASS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5s. Order ENVIRONMENTALISTS thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostRandom.5t. Order U.S. SUPREME COURT thermometer</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084405U</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084405V</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084405W</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084405Y</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084405Z</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406B</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406C</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406D</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406E</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406F</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406G</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084406H</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407B</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407C</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407D</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407E</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407F</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407G</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084407H</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084408</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084414A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084414B</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084414C</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084416A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084416B</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084416C</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084416D</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084417A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084417B</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084417C</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084418</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084419</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084420</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084500</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084501A</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084502A</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085024 A4. How often trust the media to report news fairly</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085025 B1. Did party contact R about 2008 campaign</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085026 B2. Did anyone other than parties contact R about campaign</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085027 B2_. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085028 B3. Anyone talk to R abt registering or getting out to vote</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085029 B4. R talk to anyone about voting for or against a candidate</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085030 B5. R go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085031 B6. R wear campaign button or post sign or bumper sticker</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085032 B7. R do any (other) work for party or candidate</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085033 B8. R contribute money to specific candidate campaign</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085034 B9. R contribute money to political party</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085035 B10. R contribute to any other group for/against a candidate</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036X C1x. SUMMARY: R VOTE TURNOUT [OLD and NEW]</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085039A C4x1. SUMMARY: REPORTED VOTE AND REGISTRATION STATUS</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085039B C4x2. SUMMARY: SENATE RACE STATUS</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085039C C4x3. SUMMARY: VOTE, REGISTRATION, AND SENATE RACE STATUS</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085040 C4x4. CORRECTED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085041 C4x5. SUMMARY: INCORRECT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085061X C9x. SUMMARY: PERSONAL OPTIMISM</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063A Dia. Feeling thermometer: President George W. Bush</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078A F1a. U.S. policy goal: preventing nuclear weapons</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078B F1b. U.S. policy goal: defending human rights</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078C F1c. U.S. policy goal: strengthen United Nations</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078D F1d. U.S. policy goal: combat world hunger</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078E F1e. U.S. policy goal: protect American jobs</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078F F1f. U.S. policy goal: bring democracy to world</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078G F1g. U.S. policy goal: control illegal immigration</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078J F1j. U.S. policy goal: combat intl terrorism</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085117 H10. Hope the U.S. has a woman present in R's lifetime</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085120A J3a1. Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085121A J3b1. Office recognition probe: Vice-President</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085122A J3c1. Office recognition probe: Prime Minister of England</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085123A J3d1. Office recognition probe: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085124 J4a. Has R done community work in past 12 months</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085125 J4b. Has R contacted official to express view in past 12 mos</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085126 J4c. Did R attend meeting on school/commun issue past 12 mos</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085127 J5a. Number of organizations in which R is a member</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085128</td>
<td>J6. Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085129</td>
<td>J7. Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085130</td>
<td>J8. Has R been an active member at place of worship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085131</td>
<td>J9a. Working status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085132</td>
<td>J9a. Black respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085134</td>
<td>K1b. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085136</td>
<td>K2a. Do women seek equality or special favors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085137</td>
<td>K2b. Do women miss out on jobs because of discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085138</td>
<td>K2c. Do women's harassment complaints cause more trouble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085139</td>
<td>L1a. Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085140</td>
<td>L1b. Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085142</td>
<td>L1d. Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family ties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085154</td>
<td>M3b. Elections make govt pay tention [VERSION NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085155</td>
<td>M4a. Working mother can bond as well as nonworking mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085156</td>
<td>M4b. Better if man achieves and woman takes care of home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085158</td>
<td>N1a. Child trait more important: independence or respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085159</td>
<td>N1b. Child trait more important: curiosity or good manners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085160</td>
<td>N1c. Child trait more important: obedience or self-reliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085161</td>
<td>N1d. Child trait more important: considerate or well-behaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085162</td>
<td>N2a. Society should make sure everyone has equal opportunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085163</td>
<td>N2b. We have gone too far pushing equal rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085164</td>
<td>N2c. Its a big problem that we dont give equal chance to all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085165</td>
<td>N2d. We'd be better off if worried less about equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085167</td>
<td>N2f. If people were treated more fairly would be fewer probs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085168</td>
<td>P1a. Does R have opinions about many, some or few things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085170</td>
<td>P2a. R like responsibility for handling a lot of thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085170X</td>
<td>P2ax. SUMMARY: LIKES OR DISLIKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR THINKING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085172</td>
<td>P4. How much can people change the kind of person they are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085182</td>
<td>Q4. Does/doesn't make a difference who is in power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085183</td>
<td>Q5. Does/doesn't make a difference who one votes for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085193</td>
<td>Q12. How closely did R follow the election campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199A</td>
<td>R2a. How likely is R to: join in a protest march or rally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199B</td>
<td>R2b. How likely is R to: attend a city or school board meetg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199C</td>
<td>R2c. How likely is R to: sign internet petition about issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199D</td>
<td>R2d. How likely is R to: sign paper petition about issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199E</td>
<td>R2e. How likely is R to: give money to religious organizatn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199F</td>
<td>R2f. How likely is R to: give money to social/polit organizn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199G</td>
<td>R2g. How likely is R to: attend meetg abt social/polit issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085199H</td>
<td>9.8% R2h. How likely is R to: invite other to social/polit meetg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085199J</td>
<td>9.7% R2j. How likely is R to: distribute social/polit group info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201A</td>
<td>9.6% R4a. Has R ever: joined a protest march or rally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201B</td>
<td>9.6% R4b. Has R ever: attended city/school brd meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201C</td>
<td>9.6% R4c. Has R ever: signed internet petition on issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201D</td>
<td>9.7% R4d. Has R ever: signed paper petition on issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201E</td>
<td>9.7% R4e. Has R ever: gave money to religious organizn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201F</td>
<td>9.8% R4f. Has R ever: gave money to social/polit org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201G</td>
<td>9.7% R4g. Has R ever: attended meetg on soc/polit issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201H</td>
<td>9.8% R4h. Has R ever: invited other to soc/polit meetg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085201J</td>
<td>9.7% R4j. Has R ever: distributed social/polit group info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085205</td>
<td>9.7% R6a. Angry abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085206</td>
<td>9.9% R6b. Hopeful abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085207</td>
<td>9.7% R6c. Afraid abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085209</td>
<td>9.9% R7a. Approve/disapprove govt handling war in Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085210</td>
<td>9.9% R7b. Approve/disapprove govt handling war in Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085210X</td>
<td>9.9% R7bx. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE HANDLING IRAQ WAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085211</td>
<td>9.9% R7c. Approve/disapprove govt efforts to reduce terrorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085211X</td>
<td>9.9% R7cx. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPP EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085249</td>
<td>9.5% V1_. No identification with religion (PRELOAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085264</td>
<td>9.5% V5_. Marital status (PRELOAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085278</td>
<td>9.5% W1. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085307</td>
<td>9.8% Y6. How often does R put on a show</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085310</td>
<td>9.6% Y9. How satisfied is R with life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085360A</td>
<td>9.5% AMP.50a. Pre-administration IWR checkpoint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19.5% (382 of 1963 variables) have 10% - 20% Missing Values
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083137</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083139A</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083139B</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083169B</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083172</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083188X</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085047</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085061</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085062</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085062X</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063B</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063C</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063E</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063T</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063U</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063V</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064A</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064B</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064C</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064D</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064E</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064F</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064G</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064H</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064J</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064K</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064M</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064N</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064P</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064Q</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064R</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064S</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064T</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064U</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064V</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064W</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064Y</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085064Z  D2z. Feeling thermometer: SOUTHERNERS</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065A  D3a. Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065B  D3b. Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065C  D3c. Feeling thermometer: WHITES</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065D  D3d. Feeling thermometer: ISRAEL</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065E  D3e. Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065G  D3g. Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065H  D3h. Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085070   E4a. What is current unemployment rate</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085071   E4b. What is current average price of gas</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085074   E6a. Favor or oppose reducing federal deficit</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085074X  E6a3x. SUMMARY: REDUCING THE BUDGET DEFICIT</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085075   E6b. Favor or oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085075X  E6b3x. SUMMARY: REDUCE DEFICIT BY RAISING TAXES</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085076   E6c. Favor or oppose cut deficit by less military spending</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085076X  E6c3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY REDUCING MILIT SPENDING</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085077   E6d. Favor or oppose cut deficit by cutting other programs</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085077X  E6d3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY CUTTING OTHER PROGRAMS</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085078H  F1h. U.S. policy goal: promote market economies abroad</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085079   F2. Interest in issue of govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085080   F3. Income gap today more or less than 20 years ago</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085080X  F3x. SUMMARY: INCOME GAP COMPARED TO 20 YRS AGO</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085082   F5. What should immigration levels be</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085083   F6. How likely immigration take away jobs</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085103   H1. Should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085103X  H1x. SUMMARY: GOVT ENCOURAGE/DISCOURAGE OUTSOURCING</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085104   H2. Favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085104X  H2x. SUMMARY: INVEST SOCIAL SECURITY IN STOCKS AND BONDS</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085105   H3a. Govt bigger because too involved OR bigger problems</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085106   H3b. Need strong govt for complex problems OR free market</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085107   H3c. Less govt better OR more that govt should be doing</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085110   H5. White/black cands better suited as elected officials</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085110X  H5x. SUMMARY: WHITE/BLACK CANDS BETTER SUITED OFFICIALS</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085111   H6. White/black cand intell better for elected officials</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085111X  H6x. SUMMARY: WHITE/BLACK CAND INTELL BETTER OFFICIALS</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085112A  H7a1. Better in foreign affairs: Dem man or woman in House</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085112B</td>
<td>H7a2. Better handle education: Dem man or woman in House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085113A</td>
<td>H7b1. Better in foreign affairs: Repub man or woman in House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085113B</td>
<td>H7b2. Better handle education: Repub man or woman in House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085114</td>
<td>H8. How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085115</td>
<td>H9. How often has felt sympathy for blacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085116</td>
<td>H10. How often has felt admiration for blacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085118</td>
<td>J1. Important differences in what major parties stand for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085119</td>
<td>J2. Is one of the parties more conservative than the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085141</td>
<td>L1c. Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral stds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085143</td>
<td>L2a. Agree/disagree: blacks shd work way up w/o special fav</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085144</td>
<td>L2b. Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for blacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085145</td>
<td>L2c. Agree/disagree: blacks have gotten less than deserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085146</td>
<td>L2d. Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get ahead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085148</td>
<td>M1b. Govt run by a few big interests or for benefit of all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085149</td>
<td>M1c. Does government waste much tax money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085150</td>
<td>M1d. How many in government are crooked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085157</td>
<td>M4a. For or against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085166</td>
<td>N2e. Not a big problem is some have more chance in life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085169</td>
<td>P1b. Does R have fewer or more opinions than average person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085169X</td>
<td>P1bx. SUMMARY: R MORE OR FEWER OPINIONS THAN AVERAGE PERSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085171</td>
<td>P3. Does R prefer simple problems or complex problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085173</td>
<td>P5. How likely is an earthly catastrophe in next 100 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085174A</td>
<td>Q1a. Stereotype: Whites hardworking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085174B</td>
<td>Q1b. Stereotype: Blacks hardworking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085174C</td>
<td>Q1c. Stereotype: Hispanics hardworking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085174D</td>
<td>Q1d. Stereotype: Asians hardworking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085175A</td>
<td>Q2a. Stereotype: Whites intelligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085175B</td>
<td>Q2b. Stereotype: Blacks intelligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085175C</td>
<td>Q2c. Stereotype: Hispanics intelligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085175D</td>
<td>Q2d. Stereotype: Asians intelligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085180</td>
<td>Q3b3. Which party best: most important political problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085181</td>
<td>Q3b4. Which party best: 2nd most important political problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085184</td>
<td>Q6. How good a job govt in Washington has done past 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085185</td>
<td>Q7. Does any party represent R's views reasonably well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085186</td>
<td>Q8. Does any 2008 Pres cand represent R's view reasonab well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085187A</td>
<td>Q9a1. Like-dislike: Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085187B</td>
<td>Q9a2. Like-dislike: Republican Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085188A</td>
<td>Q9b1. Like-dislike: John McCain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085188B Q9b2. Like-dislike: Barack Obama</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085190A Q10b1. Left-right: John McCain</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085190B Q10b2. Left-right: Barack Obama</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085191 Q10c. Left-right: self</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085192 Q11. Were there major differences between Pres cands</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085194 Q13. How satisfied with way democracy works in the U.S.</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085197 Q15. Were there any Pres cands R would never vote for</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200A R3a. US adults have ever: joined a protest march or rally</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200B R3b. US adults have ever: attended city/school brd meeting</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200C R3c. US adults have ever: signed internet petition on issue</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200D R3d. US adults have ever: signed paper petition on issue</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200E R3e. US adults have ever: gave money to religious organizn</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200F R3f. US adults have ever: gave money to social/polit org</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200G R3g. US adults have ever: attended meetg on soc/polit issue</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085200H R3h. US adults have ever: invited other to soc/polit meetg</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085203 R5a. Are people more angry with federal govt than used to be</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085204 R5b. Is R more angry with federal govt than used to be</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085208 R6d. Proud abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085209X R7ax. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE HANDLING AFGHANISTAN WAR</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085214 S2a. Violent crime in city compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085214X S2ax. SUMMARY: AMT OF CRIME IN CITY COMPARED TO 1 YR AGO</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085215 S2b. Violent crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085215X S2bx. SUMMARY: AMT OF CRIME IN U.S. COMPARED TO 1 YR AGO</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085216 S3a. Chances of terrorist attack compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085216X S3ax. SUMMARY: CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK COMPARED TO YR AGO</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085217 S3b. Likely terrorist attack killing 100 or more in next yr</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085218 S3c. How well govt reduced chance terror attack in last yr</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085219 S4a. Effect on terrorist attacks: decreasing oil imports</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085219X S4ax. SUMMARY: CUT OIL IMPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085220 S4b. Effect on terrorist attacks: Middle East democracies</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085220X S4bx. SUMMARY: MIDEAST DEMOCRACY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085221 S4c. Effect on terrorist attacks: prevent nuclear weapons</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085221X S4cx. SUMMARY: STOP NUCLEAR REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085222 S4d. Effect on terrorist attacks: more spending on military</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085222X</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4dx. SUMMARY: MORE MILITARY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085223</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4e. Effect on terrorist attacks: aid Middle East poverty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085223X</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4ex. SUMMARY: AID MIDEAST POVERTY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085224</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4f. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop solving others' probs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085224X</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4fx. SUMMARY: STAY OUT OTHERS PROBS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085225</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4g. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop terrorist US entrance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085225X</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4gx. SUMMARY: STOP US ENTRANCE REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085226</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4h. Effect on terrorist attacks: no terrorist plane boardg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085226X</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4hx. SUMMARY: STOP PLANE BOARDING REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085227</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4j. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop weapons into US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085227X</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4jx. SUMMARY: STOP WEAPONS TO US REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085228</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4k. Effect on terrorist attacks: strengthening allise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085228X</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4kx. SUMMARY: STRENGTHEN ALLIES REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085229</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4m. Effect on terrorist attacks: helping exports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085229X</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4mx. SUMMARY: HELPING US EXPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085230</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4n. Effect on terrorist attacks: make easier to move to US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085230X</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4nx. SUMMARY: EASIER TO MOVE TO US REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231A</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5a. Likelihood terrorist attack: suicide bombing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231B</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5b. Likelihood terrorist attack: non-suicide bombing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231C</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5c. Likelihood terrorist attack: radioactive material</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231D</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5d. Likelihood terrorist attack: nuclear bomb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231E</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5e. Likelihood terrorist attack: sniper attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231F</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5f. Likelihood terrorist attack: biological weapons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231G</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5g. Likelihood terrorist attack: chemical weapons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085231H</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5h. Likelihood terrorist attack: other type of attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085232</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6. Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085232X</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6x. SUMMARY: FAVOR-OPPOSE TORTURE FOR SUSPECTED TERRORISTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085298</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2. Should R have sent troops to Iraq in 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085299</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3. Should number of troops in Iraq be more or less in 3 mos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085299X</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3x. SUMMARY: TROOPS IN IRAQ IN 3 MONTHS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085300</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3c. How important is issue of troop level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085301</strong> Y4. Favor or oppose deadline for withdrawal of Iraq troops</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085301X</strong> Y4x. SUMMARY: DEADLINE FOR WITHDRAWAL OF IRAQ TROOPS</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085302</strong> Y4b. How important is withdrawal deadline for Iraq troops</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085303</strong> Y5a1. Does R have favorable reaction to Democratic Party</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085304</strong> Y5a2. Does R have unfavorable reaction to Democratic Party</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085305</strong> Y5b1. Does R have favorable reaction to Republican Party</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085306</strong> Y5b2. Does R have favorable reaction to Republican Party</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085308</strong> Y7. Would R be a good actor</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085309</strong> Y8. Is R often the center of attention in a group</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085312</strong> AMP.2. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085312A</strong> AMP.2a. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085312B</strong> AMP.2b. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (sec)</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085312D</strong> AMP.2d. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085313</strong> AMP.3. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085313A</strong> AMP.3a. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085313B</strong> AMP.3b. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085313D</strong> AMP.3d. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085314</strong> AMP.4. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085314A</strong> AMP.4a. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085314B</strong> AMP.4b. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085314D</strong> AMP.4d. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085315</strong> AMP.5. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085315A</strong> AMP.5a. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085315B</strong> AMP.5b. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085315D</strong> AMP.5d. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085316</strong> AMP.6. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085316A</strong> AMP.6a. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085316B</strong> AMP.6b. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085316D</strong> AMP.6d. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085317</strong> AMP.7. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085317A</strong> AMP.7a. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085317B</strong> AMP.7b. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085317D</strong> AMP.7d. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085318</strong> AMP.8. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085318A</strong> AMP.8a. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085318B</strong> AMP.8b. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085318D</strong> AMP.8d. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085319</strong> AMP.9. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V085319A</strong> AMP.9a. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085319B AMP.9b. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085319D AMP.9d. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085320 AMP.10. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085320A AMP.10a. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085320B AMP.10b. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085320D AMP.20d. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085321 AMP.11. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085321A AMP.11a. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085321B AMP.11b. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085321D AMP.11d. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085322 AMP.12. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085322A AMP.12a. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085322B AMP.12b. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085322D AMP.12d. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085323 AMP.13. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085323A AMP.13a. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085323B AMP.13b. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085323D AMP.13d. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085324 AMP.14. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085324A AMP.14a. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085324B AMP.14b. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085324D AMP.14d. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085325 AMP.15. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085325A AMP.15a. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085325B AMP.15b. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085325D AMP.15d. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085326 AMP.16. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085326A AMP.16a. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085326B AMP.16b. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085326D AMP.16d. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085327 AMP.17. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085327A AMP.17a. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085327B AMP.17b. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085327D AMP.17d. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085328 AMP.18. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085328A AMP.18a. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085328B AMP.18b. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085328D AMP.18d. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085329 AMP.19. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085329A AMP.19a. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085329B AMP.19b. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085329D AMP.19d. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085330 AMP.20. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085330A AMP.20a. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085330B AMP.20b. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085330D AMP.20d. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085331 AMP.21. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085331A AMP.21a. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085331B AMP.21b. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085331D AMP.21d. 31st BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085332 AMP.22. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085332A AMP.22a. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085332B AMP.22b. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085332D AMP.22d. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085333 AMP.23. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085333A AMP.23a. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085333B AMP.23b. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085333D AMP.23d. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085334 AMP.24. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085334A AMP.24a. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085334B AMP.24b. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085334D AMP.24d. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085336 AMP.26. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085336A AMP.26a. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085336B AMP.26b. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085336D AMP.26d. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085337 AMP.27. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085337A AMP.27a. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085337B AMP.27b. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085337D AMP.27d. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085338 AMP.28. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085338A AMP.28a. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085338B AMP.28b. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085338D AMP.28d. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085339 AMP.29. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085339A AMP.29a. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085339B AMP.29b. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085339D AMP.29d. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085340 AMP.30. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085340A AMP.30a. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085340B AMP.30b. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085340D AMP.30d. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085341 AMP.31. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085341A AMP.31a. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085341B AMP.31b. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085341D AMP.31d. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085342 AMP.32. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085342A AMP.32a. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085342B AMP.32b. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085342D AMP.32d. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085343 AMP.33. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085343A AMP.33a. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085343B AMP.33b. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085343D AMP.33d. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085344 AMP.34. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085344A AMP.34a. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085344B AMP.34b. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085344D AMP.34d. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085345 AMP.35. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085345A AMP.35a. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085345B AMP.35b. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085345D AMP.35d. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085346 AMP.36. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085346A AMP.36a. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085346B AMP.36b. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085346D AMP.36d. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085347 AMP.37. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085347A AMP.37a. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085347B AMP.37b. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085347D AMP.37d. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085348 AMP.38. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085348A AMP.38a. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085348B AMP.38b. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085348D AMP.38d. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085349 AMP.39. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085349A AMP.39a. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085349B AMP.39b. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMP.39d. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character V085349D</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.40. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085350</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.40a. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085350A</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.40b. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085350B</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.40d. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085350D</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.41. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085351</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.41a. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085351A</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.41b. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085351B</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085351D</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.42. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085352</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.42a. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085352A</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.42b. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085352B</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.42d. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085352D</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.43. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085353</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.43a. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085353A</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.43b. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085353B</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.43d. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085353D</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.44. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085354</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.44a. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085354A</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.44b. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085354B</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.44d. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085354D</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.45. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085355</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.45a. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085355A</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.45b. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085355B</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.45d. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085355D</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.46. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085356</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.46a. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085356A</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.46b. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085356B</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.46d. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085356D</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.47. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085357</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.47a. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085357A</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.47b. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085357B</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.47d. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085357D</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.48. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) V085358</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.48a. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) V085358A</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.48b. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) V085358B</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.48d. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence V085358D</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP.50b. Post-administration IWR checkpoint V085360B</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1% (81 of 1963 variables) have 20% - 40% Missing Values
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V081112A</td>
<td>HHList.12a. PERSON #2: gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112B</td>
<td>HHList.12b. PERSON #2: race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112C</td>
<td>HHList.12c. PERSON #2: Latino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112D</td>
<td>HHList.12d. PERSON #2: race and Latino status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112E</td>
<td>HHList.12e. PERSON #2: citizen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112F</td>
<td>HHList.12f. PERSON #2: age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112H</td>
<td>HHList.12h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #2: relationship to informant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083016A</td>
<td>A10a1. How happy/sad will R be if Democratic Pres cand won</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083039A</td>
<td>B1c1. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083043</td>
<td>B1g. Feeling Thermometer: Rush Limbaugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083062A</td>
<td>D1b1. How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres cand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083069</td>
<td>E1a. Liberal/conservative self-placement -7-point scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083098A</td>
<td>J1a. Party Identification strong - Democrat/Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083141A</td>
<td>P1b1. How much increase or decrease spend on Soc Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083142A</td>
<td>P1c1. How much increase or decrease spend on public schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083143A</td>
<td>P1d1. How much increase/decrease spend on science and techn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083144A</td>
<td>P1e1. How much increase or decrease spending on crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083145A</td>
<td>P1f1. How much increase or decrease spending on welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083146A</td>
<td>P1g1. How much increase or decrease spending on child care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083147A</td>
<td>P1h1. How much increase or decrease spending on foreign aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083148A</td>
<td>P1j1. How much increase or decrease spending on aid to poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083149A</td>
<td>P1k1. How much increase or decrease spending on border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083150A</td>
<td>P1m1. How much increase/decrease spendg on war on terrorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083151A</td>
<td>P1n1. How much increase or decrease spending on environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083174A</td>
<td>R1a1. How often GW Bush affect angry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083180A</td>
<td>R3a. How much U.S. more/less secure than when Pres took ofc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083182</td>
<td>W2. Religion provides some guidance in day-to-day living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083186</td>
<td>X1a. Attend religious services how often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083188A</td>
<td>X3a. (Attends church) R major religious group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083203</td>
<td>X7. R consider self born again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083204</td>
<td>X8. R belief in transsubstantiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083206</td>
<td>X9. R tried to be good Christian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083206A</td>
<td>X9a. In what way R tried to be good Christian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083236</td>
<td>Y16d. Working/TLO now - work for self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083238</td>
<td>Y16f. Working/TLO now - how many hours R works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083239</td>
<td>Y16g. Working/TLO now - hours works OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083240</td>
<td>Y16h. Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083241</td>
<td>Y16j. Working now: out of work or laid off in last 6 mos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083242 Y16k. Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay cut</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083248 Y21a. Household income</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083250A Y22a. Social Class: Working or Middle</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083256 Y28a. No ethnic mention Hispanic: is R of Hispanic descent</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083271A Y36b1. Business use - multiple HH phones</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083272A Y36c1. Computer or fax - multiple phones</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083274A Y36f1. Cell phone - multiple HH phones</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085038 C4. R registered to vote in preload county (residence)</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085042 C5. Did R vote on election day or before election day</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085044 C6. Did R vote for candidate for President</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085044A C6a. For whom did R vote for President</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085044B C6a1. Preference strong for candidate for whom R voted</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085048 C7a1. Did R vote for House of Representatives</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063D D1d. Feeling thermometer: CINDY MCCAIN</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063F D1f. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085065F D3f. Feeling thermometer: HINDUS</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085066 E1a. Know party with most members in House before election</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085068 E2. Approve/disapprove of House incumbent</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085068X E2x. SUMMARY: APPROVE/DISAPPROVE HOUSE INCUMBENT</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085069 E3. How good a job does House incumbent do</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085074A E6a1. How strongly favor reducing deficit</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085075B E6b2. How much oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085077A E6d1. How much favor cut deficit by cutting other programs</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085080A F3a. How much larger is income gap today</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085084 G1a. Liberal-Conservate: self placement</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085103A H1a. How much should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085119A J2a. Which is the party that is more conservative</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085157B M4a2. Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion blacks</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085186A Q8a. Which 2008 Pres cand represents R's view reasonab well</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085189A Q10a1. Left-right: Democratic Party</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085189B Q10a2. Left-right: Republican Party</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085195 Q14. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FOR WHOM R VOTED</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085196 Q14. Did R consider voting for any other Presidential cand</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085202B R4e1. Worry about arrest when gave money to relig organization</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085205A R6a1. Angry at what federal govt has done to self or others</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085207A R6c1. Afraid about what fedl govt has done to self or others</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085210B R7b2. How much disapprove handling of war in Iraq</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085251A V2_1. Major religion group (PRELOAD)</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085252 V2. Was R raised in PRELOAD religion</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085299B</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085301A</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085359</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081111G</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081112G</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113A</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113B</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113C</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113D</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113E</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113F</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113G</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081113H</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114A</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114B</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114C</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114D</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114E</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114F</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114G</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081114H</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115A</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115B</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115C</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115D</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115E</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115F</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115G</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081115H</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116A</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116B</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116C</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116D</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116E</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116F</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116G</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081116H</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081117A</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17b. PERSON #7: race</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17c. PERSON #7: Latino</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17d. PERSON #7: race and Latino status</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17e. PERSON #7: citizen</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17f. PERSON #7: age</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.17h. RESTRICTED: PERSON #7: relationship to informant</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18a. PERSON #8: gender</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18b. PERSON #8: race</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18c. PERSON #8: Latino</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18d. PERSON #8: race and Latino status</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18e. PERSON #8: citizen</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18f. PERSON #8: age</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHList.18h. RESTRICTED: PERSON #8: relationship to informant</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample.3b. Corrected Congressional district number</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreRandom.15. Order Dem-Rep Pres cands- Version F econ items</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreRandom.31. Order of Dem-Rep Pres womens role placements</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION A]</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION B]</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10b1a. How happy/sad will R be if Republican Pres cand won</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11b. Days past week watched natl news on TV [OLD]</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11b1. Attention to national (network) news [OLD]</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11c1a. Days past wk watched loc TV news aft/early eve [OLD]</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11c1b. Days past wk watched local TV news late eve [OLD]</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11c2. Attention to local news [OLD]</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11d1a. Days in past week read a daily newspaper [OLD]</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11d1b. Days past week read a daily online newspaper [OLD]</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11d2. Did R read about campaign in newspaper [OLD]</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11d2a. Attention to newspaper articles [OLD]</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11e. Days in past week listen to radio news [OLD]</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11e1. Attention to radio news [OLD]</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12a1. Days in typical week review news on internet [NEW]</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12a1a. Time in typical day review news on internet [NEW]</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12a1a1. Attention to internet news [NEW]</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12b. Days in typical week watch news on TV [NEW]</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12b1. Time in typical day watch news on TV [NEW]</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12b1a. Attention to TV news [NEW]</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12c. Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper [NEW]</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12c1. Time in typical day read news in print newspaper [NEW]</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12c1a. Attention to printed newspaper news [NEW]</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A12d. Days in typical week listen news on radio [NEW]</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12d1. Time in typical day listen news on radio [NEW]</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12d1a. Attention to radio news [NEW]</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6a. R how much better/worse off 1 year from now</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1a1. How often affect angry about Democratic Pres cand</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1c1. How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres cand</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1d1. How often affect proud about Democratic Pres cand</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2a1. How often affect angry about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2b1. How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2c1. How often affect afraid about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2d1. How often affect proud about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1b. If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placemt</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7a. For which candidate did R vote in Presidential primary</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9a. Politics/govt too complicated to understand [VERSION C]</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9b. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION C]</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9c. Publ officials don't care what people think [VERSION C]</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9d. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION C]</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10a. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION D]</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10b. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION D]</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10c. Publ officials don't care what peopl think [VERSION D]</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10d. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION D]</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2a. Economy better or worse in next 12 months [VERSION E]</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2a1. How much econ better/worse next 12 months [VERSION E]</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2a1x. SUMMARY: economy better/worse next 12 mos [VERSION E]</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b1. Economy better/worse if Dem Pres cand wins [VERSION F]</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b1a. How much econ bett/worse if Dem Pres wins [VERSION F]</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b1ax. SUMMARY: bett/wrse if Dem Pres cand win [VERSION F]</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b2. Economy better/worse if Rep Pres cand wins [VERSION F]</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b2a. How much econ bett/worse if Rep Pres wins [VERSION F]</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2b2ax. SUMMARY: bett/wrse if Rep Pres cand win [VERSION F]</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7a. How much money could R borrow if R needed to</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8a. Can people be trusted [VERSION G]</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8b. Can people be trusted [VERSION H]</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2a. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION P]</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2b. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION Q]</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1b. No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1a1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION J]</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1a2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION J]</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083099C</td>
<td>K1a3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares [VERSION J] 52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083099D</td>
<td>K1a4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J] 52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083099E</td>
<td>K1a5. Pres Dem cand trait intelligent [VERSION J] 52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083099F</td>
<td>K1a6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION J] 53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083099G</td>
<td>K1a7. Pres Dem cand trait optimistic [VERSION J] 52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100A</td>
<td>K1b1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION K] 51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100B</td>
<td>K1b2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100C</td>
<td>K1b3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares [VERSION K] 50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100D</td>
<td>K1b4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K] 49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100E</td>
<td>K1b5. Pres Dem cand trait intelligent [VERSION K] 49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100F</td>
<td>K1b6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION K] 51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083100G</td>
<td>K1b7. Pres Dem cand trait optimistic [VERSION K] 50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101A</td>
<td>K2a1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION J] 53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101B</td>
<td>K2a2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION J] 53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101C</td>
<td>K2a3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION J] 52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101D</td>
<td>K2a4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J] 52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101E</td>
<td>K2a5. Pres Rep cand trait intelligent [VERSION J] 52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101F</td>
<td>K2a6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION J] 53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083101G</td>
<td>K2a7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION J] 53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102A</td>
<td>K2b1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION K] 51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102B</td>
<td>K2b2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K] 50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102C</td>
<td>K2b3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION K] 50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102D</td>
<td>K2b4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K] 50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102E</td>
<td>K2b5. Pres Rep cand trait intelligent [VERSION K] 50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102F</td>
<td>K2b6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION K] 51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083102G</td>
<td>K2b7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION K] 51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083105</td>
<td>N1a. Spending and Services - 7-point scale self [OLD] 59.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083106</td>
<td>N1b. Importance of spending-services issue to R [OLD] 50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083107A</td>
<td>N1c1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083107B</td>
<td>N1c2. Spending and Services: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 53.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083108</td>
<td>N1d. Spending and Services - self [NEW] 50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083108A</td>
<td>N1d1. Amount more/less spending and Services - self [NEW] 68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083108X</td>
<td>N1d1x. SUMMARY: R position on services-spending [NEW] 51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083109</td>
<td>N1e. Importance of spending-services issue to R [NEW] 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083110</td>
<td>N1f1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [NEW] 53.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083110A</td>
<td>N1f1a. Amt more/less spending-services: Dem Pres cand [NEW] 63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083110X</td>
<td>N1f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on serv-spend [NEW] 54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083111</td>
<td>N1f2. Spending and Services: Rep Pres cand [NEW] 54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083111A</td>
<td>N1f2a. Amt more/less spending-services: Rep Pres cand [NEW] 74.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083111X</td>
<td>N1f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand position on serv-spend [NEW] 55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083112</td>
<td>N2a. Defense spending - 7-point scale self [OLD] 58.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083113</td>
<td>N2b. Importance of defense spending issue to R [OLD] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083114A</td>
<td>N2c1. Defense spending scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083114B</td>
<td>N2c2. Defense spending scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083115</td>
<td>N2d. Defense spending - self [NEW] 51.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083115A</td>
<td>N2d1. Amount more/less defense spending - self [NEW] 74.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083115X</td>
<td>N2d1x. SUMMARY: R position on def spend [NEW] 51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083116</td>
<td>N2e. Importance of defense spend issue to R [NEW] 50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083117</td>
<td>N2f1. Defense spending: Dem Pres cand [NEW] 54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083117A</td>
<td>N2f1a. Amt more/less defense spend: Dem Pres cand [NEW] 71.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083117X</td>
<td>N2f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on def spend [NEW] 55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083118</td>
<td>N2f2. Defense spending: Rep Pres cand [NEW] 54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083118A</td>
<td>N2f2a. Amt more/less defense spend: Rep Pres cand [NEW] 72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083118X</td>
<td>N2f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand position on def spend [NEW] 55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083119</td>
<td>N3a. Govt/private medical insur scale: self-placement [OLD] 55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083120</td>
<td>N3b. Importance of govt insurance issue to R [OLD] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083121A</td>
<td>N3c1. Insurance scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 54.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083121B</td>
<td>N3c2. Insurance scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083122</td>
<td>N3d. Favor/oppos prescr drug coverage for seniors [NEW] 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083122A</td>
<td>N3d1. How much favor/oppos prescr drug cover for seniors [NEW] 54.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083122X</td>
<td>N3d1x. SUMMARY: R position on senior prescr drug cover [NEW] 50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083123</td>
<td>N3e. Importance of prescript drug coverage for seniors [NEW] 49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083124</td>
<td>N3f. Favor/oppose universal health coverage [NEW] 50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083124A</td>
<td>N3f1. How much favor/oppose universal health coverage [NEW] 57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083124X</td>
<td>N3f1x. SUMMARY: R position on universal health care [NEW] 50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083125</td>
<td>N3g. Importance of universal health coverage [NEW] 49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083126</td>
<td>N3h1. Dem pres cand favor/oppos universal health cover [NEW] 57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083126A</td>
<td>N3h1a. How mch Dem Pres cand fav/opp Univ health cover [NEW] 62.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083126X</td>
<td>N3h1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand posn on univ healthcare [NEW] 58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083127</td>
<td>N3h2. Rep pres cand favor/oppos universal health cover [NEW] 58.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083127A</td>
<td>N3h2a. How mch Rep Pres cand fav/opp Univ health cover [NEW] 67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083127X</td>
<td>N3h2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand posn on univ healthcare [NEW] 59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083128</td>
<td>N4a. Guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement [OLD] 55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083129</td>
<td>N4b. Importance of guaranteed job-income issue to [OLD] 50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083130A</td>
<td>N4c1. Guar job scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083130B</td>
<td>N4c2. Guar job scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083131</td>
<td>N4d. Favor/oppose illegal immigrant work period [NEW] 51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083131A</td>
<td>N4d1. How much favor/oppose illegal immigrant work period [NEW] 60.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083131X</td>
<td>N4d1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immig work period [NEW] 51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083132</td>
<td>N4e. Importance of illegal immigrant work period [NEW] 50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083133</td>
<td>N4f. Favor/oppose citizenship process for illeg immigrants [NEW] 50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083133A</td>
<td>N4f1. How much favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW] 58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083133X</td>
<td>N4f1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immig citizenship process[NEW] 50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083134</td>
<td>N4g. Importance of citizenship illegals issue [NEW] 50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083135</td>
<td>N4h1. Dem Pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW] 65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083135A</td>
<td>N4h1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/oppp citizenship illegals [NEW] 73.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083135X</td>
<td>N4h1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr citizenship[NEW] 65.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083136</td>
<td>N4h2. 2nd pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW] 64.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083136A</td>
<td>N4h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/oppp citizenship illegals [NEW] 71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083136X</td>
<td>N4h2ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr citizenship[NEW] 64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083138A</td>
<td>N5b1. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [OLD] 50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083138B</td>
<td>N5b2. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [NEW] 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083140A</td>
<td>P1a1. How much increase or decrease spending on highways 48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083154</td>
<td>P4a. Environment vs. jobs tradeoff scale - self-place [OLD] 60.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083155</td>
<td>P4b. Importance of environment/jobs issue to R [OLD] 50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083156A</td>
<td>P4c1. Protect Environment/Jobs: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083156B</td>
<td>P4c2. Protect Environment/Jobs: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083157</td>
<td>P4d. Favor/oppose lower emission stds [NEW] 51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083157A</td>
<td>P4d1. How much favor/oppose lower emission stds [NEW] 58.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083157X</td>
<td>P4d1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose lower emission stds [NEW] 51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083158</td>
<td>P4e. Importance of emission std issue [NEW] 50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083159</td>
<td>P4f1. Favor/oppose lower emission stds: Dem Pres cand [NEW] 63.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083159A</td>
<td>P4f1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/oppp lower emissions [NEW] 69.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083159X</td>
<td>P4f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand fav/oppp lower emissions [NEW] 63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083160</td>
<td>P4f2. Favor/oppose lower emission stds: Rep Pres cand [NEW] 65.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083160A</td>
<td>P4f2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/oppp lower emissions [NEW] 74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083160X</td>
<td>P4f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand fav/oppp lower emissions [NEW] 65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083161</td>
<td>P4g. Favor/oppose higher fuel standards [NEW] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083161A</td>
<td>P4g1. How much favor/oppose higher fuel std [NEW] 53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083161X</td>
<td>P4g1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher fuel std [NEW] 50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083162</td>
<td>P4h. Favor/oppose higher gas taxes [NEW] 50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083162A</td>
<td>P4h1. How much favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW] 56.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083162X</td>
<td>P4h1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW] 50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083164A</td>
<td>P6a1. Importance of gun access issue to R [OLD] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083164B</td>
<td>P6a2. Importance of gun access issue to R [NEW] 50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083166</td>
<td>P7a. Womens role self-placement [OLD] 50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083167</td>
<td>P7b. Importance of women's role to R [OLD] 50.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083168A</td>
<td>P7c1. Women's role scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD] 56.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083168B</td>
<td>P7c2. Women's role scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD] 56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083170A</td>
<td>Q2a1a. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION R] 49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083170B</td>
<td>Q2a1b. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION S] 52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083171A</td>
<td>Q2a2a. Black President make R pleased [VERSION R] 52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083171B</td>
<td>Q2a2b. Black President make R pleased [VERSION S] 54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083175A</td>
<td>R1b1. How often GW Bush affect 64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083176A</td>
<td>R1c1. How often GW Bush affect proud 61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083177A</td>
<td>R1d1. How often GW Bush affect proud 61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178A</td>
<td>R2a1. President trait: moral [VERSION J] 52.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178B</td>
<td>R2a2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION J] 51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178C</td>
<td>R2a3. President trait: really cares [VERSION J] 52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178D</td>
<td>R2a4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION J] 51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178E</td>
<td>R2a5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION J] 52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178F</td>
<td>R2a6. President trait: honest [VERSION J] 52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083178G</td>
<td>R2a7. President trait: optimistic [VERSION J] 53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179A</td>
<td>R2b1. President trait: moral [VERSION K] 50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179B</td>
<td>R2b2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION K] 49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179C</td>
<td>R2b3. President trait: really cares [VERSION K] 49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179D</td>
<td>R2b4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION K] 49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179E</td>
<td>R2b5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION K] 49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083179F</td>
<td>R2b6. President trait: honest [VERSION K] 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083186A</td>
<td>X1a1. Attend church more often than once a week 75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083187</td>
<td>X3. Ever think of self as part of church or denomination 65.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083188B</td>
<td>X3b. (Nonattendance) R major religious group 82.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083189</td>
<td>X4. Major relig denomination 41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083190</td>
<td>X4a. Specific Baptist denomination 80.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083190A</td>
<td>X4a1. Specific independ. Baptist denomination 96.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083191</td>
<td>X4b. Specific Lutheran denomination 97.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083192</td>
<td>X4c. Specific Methodist denomination 94.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083193</td>
<td>X4d. Specific Presbyterian denomination 99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083194</td>
<td>X4e. Specific Reformed denomination 99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083196</td>
<td>X4g. Specific Disciples denomination 95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083197</td>
<td>X4h. Specific Church of Christ denomination 98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083198</td>
<td>X4j. Specific Church of God denomination 99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083201</td>
<td>X5b. Specific other denomination Christian 95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083202A</td>
<td>X6a. (Attends) Specific Jewish denomination 99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083202B</td>
<td>X6b. (Nonattendance) Specific Jewish denomination 99.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083202X X6bx. SUMMARY: Specific Jewish denomination</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083205 X8a. Importance of belief in transsubstantiation [NEW]</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083216A Y2a. Marital status [VERSION M]</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083216B Y2b. Marital status [VERSION N]</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083218A Y3a. Did R get high school diploma</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083218B Y3b. Highest degree R has earned</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083219 Y4. Spouse: highest grade or year of college</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083220A Y4a. Did spouse get high school diploma</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083220B Y4b. Highest degree spouse has earned</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083223 Y7a. Initial status Homemaker/student: working now</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083224 Y7b. Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6 months</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083225A Y8a. Initial status retiree - when retired Year</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083225B Y8b. Initial status retiree - when retired Month</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083225C Y8c. Initial status retiree - when retired Day</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083226 Y9. Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work for pay</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083229 Y10d. Past self-empl status (R ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/std)</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083230 Y10e. Past occupation: govt work (R ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/std)</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083231 Y11a. Initial status unempl/ret/disabled: job in last 6 mos</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083232 Y12. Past/recent occupation: how many hours worked avg week</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083233 Y13a. Initial status retired/disabled: working now</td>
<td>75.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083233A Y14. Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/std: look for wk</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083233B Y15. Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/std: worry find</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083237 Y16e. Working/TLO now - work for govt</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083244A Y18a. Spouse/partner working status mention 1</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083244B Y18b. Spouse/partner working status mention 2</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083244C Y18c. Spouse/partner working status mention 3</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083246 Y19a. Who in HH belongs to union</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083250B Y22b. Social Class: had to choose working/Middle</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083251B Y24b. Race of Respondent</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083251C Y24c. Race of Respondent</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083251D Y24d. Race of Respondent</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083251E Y24e. Race of Respondent</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083256A Y28b. Hispanic: type Hispanic</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083258 Y29b. LATINO Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other count</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083259 Y29c. LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside the U.S.</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083260 Y29d. LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083261 Y29g. LATINO Rs: When did R arrive in U.S.</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083262 Y29h. LATINO Rs: In what year did R become a U.S. citizen</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083263 Y29j. LATINO Rs: language at home</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083268</td>
<td>Y34. Distance where R used to live (miles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083271B</td>
<td>Y36b2. Business use - 1 HH phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083272B</td>
<td>Y36c2. Computer or fax - 1 phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083273A</td>
<td>Y36d1. Answered computer or fax - multiple phones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083273B</td>
<td>Y36d2. Answered computer or fax - 1 phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083274B</td>
<td>Y36f2. Cell phone - 1 HH phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083275A</td>
<td>Y36g1. Cell phone business use - multiple phones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083275B</td>
<td>Y36g2. Cell phone business use - 1 phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083276A</td>
<td>Y36h1. Non-business-only cell phones with use as modem/fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083276B</td>
<td>Y36h2. Non-business-only cell phone with use as modem/fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083277A</td>
<td>Y36j1. Answered non-bus-only cell phones w/use as modem/fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083277B</td>
<td>Y36j2. Answered non-bus-only cell phone w/use as modem/fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083278</td>
<td>Y36k. Phone discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083279</td>
<td>Y36k1. Phone discrepancy - set number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083308</td>
<td>ZZ8. PRE IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084409</td>
<td>PostRandom.9. Order Presidential candidate abortion placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084410</td>
<td>PostRandom.10. Order House cand abortion placements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084411</td>
<td>PostRandom.11. Order party abortion placements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412A</td>
<td>PostRandom.12a. Order abortion scenario: nonfatal hlth risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412B</td>
<td>PostRandom.12b. Order abortion scenario: fatal health risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412C</td>
<td>PostRandom.12c. Order abortion scenario: incest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412D</td>
<td>PostRandom.12d. Order abortion scenario: rape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412E</td>
<td>PostRandom.12e. Order abortion scenario: birth defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412F</td>
<td>PostRandom.12f. Order abortion scenario: financial hardship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084412G</td>
<td>PostRandom.12g. Order abortion scenario: 'wrong' gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084413</td>
<td>PostRandom.13. Order Presidential candidate abortion placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084415</td>
<td>PostRandom.15. Question placement R1/R8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084503A</td>
<td>PostCand.3a. House independent/3rd party candidate CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084505A</td>
<td>PostCand.5a. Senate Democratic candidate CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084506A</td>
<td>PostCand.6a. Senate Republican candidate CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084507A</td>
<td>PostCand.7a. Senate independent/3rd party candidate CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084508A</td>
<td>PostCand.8a. Senate not running in state with race CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084509A</td>
<td>PostCand.9a. Senator 1 in state without race CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084510A</td>
<td>PostCand.10a. Senator 2 in state without race CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085001A</td>
<td>A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085001B</td>
<td>A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085002</td>
<td>A2a1. Watch campaign programs on TV [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085002A</td>
<td>A2a2. How many campaign programs on TV [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085003</td>
<td>A2a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085004</td>
<td>A2b1. Read about Presidential campaign in magazines [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085004A</td>
<td>A2b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085005</td>
<td>A2b3. Attention to magazine about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085006</td>
<td>A2c1. Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085006A</td>
<td>A2c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085007</td>
<td>A2c3. Attention to radio about Presidential campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085008</td>
<td>A2d1. Read about campaign in newspaper [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085008A</td>
<td>A2d2. How many stories about campaign in newspaper [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085009</td>
<td>A2d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085010</td>
<td>A2e1. View/hear internet information about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085010A</td>
<td>A2e2. How often internet info about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085011</td>
<td>A2e3. Attention to internet info about Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085012</td>
<td>A2f. General attention to Presidential campaign news [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085013</td>
<td>A3a1. Watch campaign programs on TV [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085013A</td>
<td>A3a2. How many campaign programs on TV [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085014</td>
<td>A3a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085015</td>
<td>A3b1. Read about Presidential campaign in magazines [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085015A</td>
<td>A3b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085016</td>
<td>A3b3. Attention to magazine about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085017</td>
<td>A3c1. Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085017A</td>
<td>A3c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085018</td>
<td>A3c3. Attention to radio about Presidential campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085019</td>
<td>A3d1. Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085019A</td>
<td>A3d2. How many stories about Pres campaign in newspaper [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085020</td>
<td>A3d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085021</td>
<td>A3e1. View/hear internet information about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085021A</td>
<td>A3e2. How often internet info about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085022</td>
<td>A3e3. Attention to internet info about Pres campaign [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085023</td>
<td>A3f. General attention to Presidential campaign news [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085025A</td>
<td>B1a. Which party contacted R about 2008 campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085027A</td>
<td>B2a. LATINO Rs: Was contact by Latino person(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085027B</td>
<td>B2b. LATINO Rs: Was contact in Spanish or English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085033A</td>
<td>B8a. Party of candidate for whom R contributed money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085034A</td>
<td>B9a. Party to which R contributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036A</td>
<td>C1a. R vote turnout [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036B</td>
<td>C1b1. R usually vote during the past 6 years [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036C</td>
<td>C1b2. R plan to vote during 6 months before election [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036D</td>
<td>C1b3. R vote turnout [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085036E</td>
<td>C1b3a. If not sure whether voted, did R probably vote [OLD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085037</td>
<td>C2. R registered to vote in 2008 election 78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085038A</td>
<td>C4a2. State of R Registration 96.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085042A</td>
<td>C5a. How many days before election did R vote 72.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085043</td>
<td>C5a1. Did R vote in person or by absentee ballot 72.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085046</td>
<td>C6c. NONVOTER: Did R prefer candidate for President 78.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085046A</td>
<td>C6c1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for President 84.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085046B</td>
<td>C6c2. NONVOTER: Preference strong for Pres candidate 84.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085049A</td>
<td>C7a2. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for House 45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085049B</td>
<td>C7a3. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for House 98.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085049X</td>
<td>C7a3x1. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for House 43.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085051</td>
<td>C7b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer House candidate 78.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085052</td>
<td>C7b1a. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for House election 94.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085053</td>
<td>C8a1. Did R vote for Senate 60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085054A</td>
<td>C8a1a. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for Senate 1 65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085054B</td>
<td>C8a1b. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for Senate 2 98.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085055</td>
<td>C8a2a. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote Senate 1 99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085056A</td>
<td>C8a1x1. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 1 64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085056B</td>
<td>C8a1x2. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 2 98.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085058</td>
<td>C8b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer Senate candidate 87.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085059</td>
<td>C8b1a1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election 1 95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085060</td>
<td>C8b1a2. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election 2 99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085061A</td>
<td>C9a. How optimistic is R about personal future 42.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085061B</td>
<td>C9b. How pessimistic is R about personal future 92.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085061C</td>
<td>C9c. Does R lean toward optimism or pessimism about self 75.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085062A</td>
<td>C10a. How optimistic is R about the U.S. 49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085062B</td>
<td>C10b. How pessimistic is R about the U.S. 86.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085062C</td>
<td>C10c. Does R lean toward optimism/pessimism about U.S. 74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063G</td>
<td>D1g. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE 45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063H</td>
<td>D1h. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE 99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063J</td>
<td>D1j. Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE 58.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063K</td>
<td>D1k. Feeling thermometer: SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE 60.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063M</td>
<td>D1m. Feeling thermometer: SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE 99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063N</td>
<td>D1m. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 1 IN STATE WITHOUT RACE 71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063P</td>
<td>D1p. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 2 IN STATE WITHOUT RACE 70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063Q</td>
<td>D1q. Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE W/RACE 63.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085063R</td>
<td>D1r. Feeling thermometer: 2nd DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE 97.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085063S D1s. Feeling thermometer: 2nd REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085067 E1b. Know party with most members in Senate before election</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085068A E2a. How much approve House incumbent</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085068B E2b. How much disapprove House incumbent</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085072 E5a. Interest in politics and elections [VERSION OLD]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085073A E5b1. How close attn to politics and elections [VERSION NEW]</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085073B E5b2. How often attn to politics and elections [VERSION NEW]</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085074B E6a2. How strongly oppose reducing deficit</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085074C E6a3. Lean to favor or oppose deficit reduction</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085075A E6b1. How much favor cut budget deficit by raising taxes</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085075C E6b3. Lean to favor/oppose cut budg deficit by raising taxes</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085076A E6c1. How much favor cut deficit by less military spending</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085076B E6c2. How much oppose cut deficit by less military spending</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085076C E6c3. Lean favor/oppose cut deficit by less military spendng</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085077B E6d2. How much oppose cut deficit by cutting other programs</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085077C E6d3. Lean favor/oppose cut deficit by cutting other program</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085079A F2a. Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085079B F2a1. How much feel govt should ensure fair jobs for blacks</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085079C F2a2. How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085080B F3b. How much smaller is income gap today</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085081 F4. Favor/oppose limits on foreign imports</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085084A G1b. If had to choose, liberal or conservative</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085085A G2a. Liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085085B G2b. Liberal-conservative: Republican House cand</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085086 G3a. Abortion: self-placement [OLD]</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085087 G3b. How important is abortion issue [OLD]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085088 G3c. Abortion: President placement [OLD]</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085090A G3e1. Abortion: Democratic House cand placement [OLD]</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085090B G3e2. Abortion: Republican House cand placement [OLD]</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085091A G3f1. Abortion: Democratic party placement [OLD]</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085091B G3f2. Abortion: Republican party placement [OLD]</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085092 G4a. Abortion: favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085092A G4a1. How much favor abortion for nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085092B G4a2. How much oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085092C G4a3. Lean favor/opp abortion for nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085092X G4ax. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN NONFATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085093 G4b. Abortion: favor/oppose when fatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085093A G4b1. How much favor abortion for fatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>67.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085093B G4b2. How much oppose abortion for fatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085093C G4b3. Lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085093X G4bx. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN FATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085094 G4c. Abortion: favor/oppose in incest cases [NW]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085094A G4c1. How much favor abortion in incest cases [NEW]</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085094B G4c2. How much oppose abortion in incest cases [NEW]</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085094C G4c3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases [NEW]</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085094X G4cx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST [NEW]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085095 G4d. Abortion: favor/oppose in rape cases [NEW]</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085095A G4d1. How much favor abortion in rape cases [NEW]</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085095B G4d2. How much oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085095C G4d3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085095X G4dx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE [NEW]</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085096 G4e. Abortion: favor/oppose in birth defect cases [NEW]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085096A G4e1. How much favor abortion in birth defect cases [NEW]</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085096B G4e2. How much oppose abortion in birth defect cases [NEW]</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085096C G4e3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect cases [NEW]</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085096X G4ex. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF BIRTH DEFECT [NEW]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085097 G4f. Abortion: favor/oppose in financial hardship cases [NEW]</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085097A G4f1. How much favor abortion in financial hardship cases [NEW]</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085097B G4f2. How much oppose abortion in financial hardship cases [NEW]</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085097X G4fx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP [NEW]</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085098 G4g. Abortion: favor/oppose when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085098A G4g1. How much favor abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085098B G4g2. How much oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085098C G4g3. Lean favor/oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085098X G4gx. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' [NEW]</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085099 G5a. Dem PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085099A G5a1. Dem PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085099B G5a2. Dem PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085099C G5a3. Dem PC lean abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085099X G5ax. SUMMARY: DEM PC ABORTION NONFATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085100 G5b. Dem PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085100A G5b1. Dem PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085100B G5b2. Dem PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085100C G5b3. Dem PC lean abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085100X G5bx. SUMMARY: DEM PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' 68.7% [NEW]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085101 G6a. Rep PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085101A G6a1. Rep PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085101B G6a2. Rep PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085101C G6a3. Rep PC lean abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085101X G6ax. SUMMARY: REP PC ABORTION NONFATAL HEALTH RISK 70.8% [NEW]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085102 G6b. Rep PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085102A G6b1. Rep PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085102B G6b2. Rep PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085102C G6b3. Rep PC lean abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085102X G6bx. SUMMARY: REP PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' 66.2% [NEW]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085104A H2a. How much favor Social Security in stocks and bonds</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085104B H2b. How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085104C H2c. Lean favor/oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085108 H4a. Ever discuss politics with family or friends [OLD]</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085108A H4a1. Days in past week discussed politics [OLD]</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085109 H4b. Days in typical week discusses politics [NEW]</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085110A H5a. How much white/black cands better suited to be elected</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085111A H6a. How much white/black intell better for elected offic</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085130A J8a. Has R planned or chaired meeting at place of worship</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085130B J8b. Has R given speech/presentation at place of worship</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085131A J9a. WORKING Rs: Has R given speech/presentation at work</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085131B J9b. WORKING Rs: Has R planned or chaired meeting at work</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085133 K1a. BLACKS Rs: life be affected by what happens to blacks</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085133A K1a1. BLACK Rs: amt life affected by what happens to blacks</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085135 K1b. HISPANIC Rs: life affected by what happens to Hispanics</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085135A K1b1. HISPANIC Rs: amt life affect by what happens to Hisps</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085147A M1a1. How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right [OLD]</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085147B M1a2. How oft trust govt in Wash to make fair decision [NEW]</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085151A M2a1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION C]</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085151B M2a2. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION C]</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085151C M2a3. Publ officials dont care what people think [VERSION C]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085151D M2a4. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION C]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2b1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION D]</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2b2. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION D]</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2b3. Publ officials don't care what peopl think [VERSION D]</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2b4. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION D]</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3a1. Public officials don't care [VERSION OLD]</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3a2. Public officials don't care [VERSION NEW]</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4a1. Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of blacks</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1b1. R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than average</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1b2. R have a lot or somewhat more opinions than average</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2a1. How much R likes responsibility for thinking</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2a2. How much R dislikes responsibility for thinking</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7a. Which party represents R's views reasonably well</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14a. #1 Which other Pres cand(s) did R consider voting for</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14b. #2 Which other Pres cand(s) did R consider voting for</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15a. #1 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15b. #2 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15c. #3 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT 1]</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4a1. Worry about arrest when R joined protest march</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4f1. Worry abt arrest when gave money to social/polit org</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4g1. Worry abt arrest when attended social/polit meeting</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6b1. Hopeful abt what fed govt has done to self or others</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6d1. Proud abt what federal govt has done to self or others</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7a1. How much approve handling of war in Afghanistan</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7a2. How much disapprove handling of war in Afghanistan</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7b1. How much approve handling of war in Iraq</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7c1. How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7c2. How much disapprove efforts to reduce terrorism</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT 2]</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2a1. How much more crime in city compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2a2. How much less crime in city compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2b1. How much more crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2b2. How much less crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3a1. How much more chance of terrorist attack than 1 yr ago</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3a2. How much less chance of terrorist attack than 1 yr ago</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4a1. How much increase terror chance: cut oil imports</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4a2. How much decrease terror chance: cut oil imports</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4b1. How much increase terror chance: MidEast democracy</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Name and Label</td>
<td>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085220B</td>
<td>S4b2. How much decrease terror chance: MidEast democracy 87.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085221A</td>
<td>S4c1. How much increase terror chance: stop nuclear weapons 68.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085221B</td>
<td>S4c2. How much decrease terror chance: stop nuclear weapons 75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085222A</td>
<td>S4d1. How much increase terror chance: more military money 88.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085222B</td>
<td>S4d2. How much decrease terror chance: more military money 73.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085223A</td>
<td>S4e1. How much increase terror chance: aid MidEast poverty 91.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085223B</td>
<td>S4e2. How much decrease terror chance: aid MidEast poverty 78.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085224A</td>
<td>S4f1. How much increase terror chance: stay out others probs 86.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085224B</td>
<td>S4f2. How much decrease terror chance: stay out others probs 65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085225A</td>
<td>S4g1. How much increase terror chance: stop entrance to US 87.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085225B</td>
<td>S4g2. How much decrease terror chance: stop entrance to US 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085226A</td>
<td>S4h1. How much increase terror chance: stop plane boarding 88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085226B</td>
<td>S4h2. How much decrease terror chance: stop plane boarding 50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085227A</td>
<td>S4j1. How much increase terror chance: stop weapons to US 88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085227B</td>
<td>S4j2. How much decrease terror chance: stop weapons to US 46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085228A</td>
<td>S4k1. How much increase terror chance: strengthen allies 83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085228B</td>
<td>S4k2. How much decrease terror chance: strengthen allies 67.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085229A</td>
<td>S4m1. How much increase terror chance: helping US exports 87.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085229B</td>
<td>S4m2. How much decrease terror chance: helping US exports 86.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085230A</td>
<td>S4n1. How much increase terror chance: easier to move to US 59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085230B</td>
<td>S4n2. How much decrease terror chance: easier to move to US 89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085232A</td>
<td>S6a. How much favor torture for suspected terrorists 78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085232B</td>
<td>S6b. How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists 53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085233</td>
<td>T1a. Was R proud learning Obama won Democratic nomination 56.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085233A</td>
<td>T1a1. How proud was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 71.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085234</td>
<td>T1b. Was R angry learning Obama won Democratic nomination 55.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085234A</td>
<td>T1b1. How angry was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 98.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085235</td>
<td>T1c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won Dem nomination 55.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085235A</td>
<td>T1c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 90.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085236</td>
<td>T1d. Was R afraid learning Obama won Democratic nomination 55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085236A</td>
<td>T1d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 92.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085237</td>
<td>T1e. Was R happy learning Obama won Democratic nomination 55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085237A</td>
<td>T1e1. How happy was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 72.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085238</td>
<td>T1f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won Democratic nomination 55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085238A</td>
<td>T1f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won Dem nomination 67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085241</td>
<td>T4a. Was R proud learning Obama won the Pres election 54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085241A</td>
<td>T4a1. How proud was R learning Obama won the Pres election 70.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085242</td>
<td>T4b. Was R angry learning Obama won the Pres election 54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085242A</td>
<td>T4b1. How angry was R learning Obama won the Pres election 96.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085243 T4c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085243A T4c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Pres electn</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085244 T4d. Was R afraid learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085244A T4d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085245 T4e. Was R happy learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085245A T4e1. How happy was R learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085246 T4f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085246A T4f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085250 V1. NO RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION: consider self Jewish</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085250A V1a. NO RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION: if Jewish, type</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085251B V2_2. Religious denomination (PRELOAD)</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085252A V3. Raised in other/no religion: what major religion group</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085253 V4. Raised in other religion: what denomination</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085254 V4a. Raised in other religion: Baptist</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085254A V4b. Raised in other religion: independent Baptist</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085255 V4c. Raised in other religion: Lutheran</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085256 V4d. Raised in other religion: Methodist</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085257 V4e. Raised in other religion: Presbyterian</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085259 V4g. Raised in other religion: Brethren</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085260 V4h. Raised in other religion: ‘Christian’</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085261 V4j. Raised in other religion: Church of Christ</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085262 V4k. Raised in other religion: Church of God</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085263 V4m. Raised in no religion: raised as a Jew</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085263A V4n. Raised in no religion: if raised as a Jew, type</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085265 V5. Spouse religion: same as PRELOAD religion</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085266 V6. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: major religion</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085267 V7. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: denomination</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085268 V7a. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Baptist</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085268A V7b. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: ind Baptist</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085269 V7c. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Lutheran</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085270 V7d. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Methodist</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085271 V7e. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Presbyterian</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085273 V7g. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Brethren</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085274 V7h. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: ‘Christian’</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085275 V7j. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Church of Christ</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085276 V7k. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Church of God</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085277 V7m. Spouse religion none: is spouse Jewish</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085277A V7n. Spouse religion none: if spouse Jewish, type</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085279 W1. HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>(Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085280</td>
<td>W2. HISPANIC SPANISH LANG IW: converse well in English</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085281</td>
<td>W3. HISPANIC NOT SPANISH LANG IW: converse well in Spanish</td>
<td>80.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085282</td>
<td>W4. HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085283</td>
<td>W5a. HISPANIC: how important to read and write English in US</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085284</td>
<td>W5b. HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085285A</td>
<td>W6a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks econ/educ</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085285B</td>
<td>W6b. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with whites econ/educ</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085286A</td>
<td>W7a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks political</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085286B</td>
<td>W7b. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with whites political</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085287</td>
<td>W8. HISPANIC: Hispanics doing well if blacks doing well</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085288A</td>
<td>W9a. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in jobs</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085288B</td>
<td>W9b. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in education</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085288C</td>
<td>W9c. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in government</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085288D</td>
<td>W9d. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in govt jobs</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085289A</td>
<td>W10a. HISPANIC: how important to blend in</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085289B</td>
<td>W10b. HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085290</td>
<td>W11. HISPANIC: R doing well depend upon Hispanics doing well</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085291</td>
<td>W12. Country of Hispanic ancestry (PRELOAD)</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085292</td>
<td>W12. HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral country</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085293</td>
<td>W13. HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085294</td>
<td>W14. HISPANIC: how often send money to ancestral country</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085295</td>
<td>W15. HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085296</td>
<td>W16. HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085297A</td>
<td>Y1a. Is govt economic bailout the right step [VERSION Y1a]</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085297B</td>
<td>Y1b. Is govt economic bailout the right step [VERSION Y1b]</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085299A</td>
<td>Y3a. How much more troops in Iraq in 3 months</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085303A</td>
<td>Y5a1a. How favorable about Democratic Party</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085304A</td>
<td>Y5a2a. How unfavorable about Republican Party</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085305A</td>
<td>Y5b1a. How favorable about Republican Party</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085306A</td>
<td>Y5b2a. How favorable about Republican Party</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085311</td>
<td>AMP.1. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085311A</td>
<td>AMP.1a. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085311B</td>
<td>AMP.1b. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085311D</td>
<td>AMP.1d. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085335</td>
<td>AMP.25. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085335A</td>
<td>AMP.25a. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085335B</td>
<td>AMP.25b. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085335D</td>
<td>AMP.25d. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.7% (288 of 1963 variables) have 100% missing values
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V081117G</td>
<td>HHList.17g. PERSON #7: 18 by October 30, 2008 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081118G</td>
<td>HHList.18g. PERSON #8: 18 by October 30, 2008 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081206</td>
<td>Sample.6. RESTRICTED: Stratum (stage 1) 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081210</td>
<td>Sample.10. RESTRICTED: Census Place 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081211</td>
<td>Sample.11. RESTRICTED: MCD 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081212</td>
<td>Sample.12. RESTRICTED: MSA 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081212A</td>
<td>Sample.12a. RESTRICTED: CSA 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V081212B</td>
<td>Sample.12b. RESTRICTED: CBSA 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082012B</td>
<td>PreAdmin.12b. Interview evaluation: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082017B</td>
<td>PreAdmin.17b. Refusal conversion: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082020</td>
<td>PreAdmin.20. Persuasion letter: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082021A</td>
<td>PreAdmin.21a. COMMENT:SUMMARY: positive comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082021B</td>
<td>PreAdmin.21b. COMMENT:SUMMARY: time-delay comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082021C</td>
<td>PreAdmin.21c. COMMENT:SUMMARY: negative comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082021D</td>
<td>PreAdmin.21d. COMMENT:SUMMARY: eligibility comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082021E</td>
<td>PreAdmin.21e. COMMENT:SUMMARY: privacy comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022A</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22a. Comment: positive - help community 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022B</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22b. Comment: positive - enjoy surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022C</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22c. Comment: other positive 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022D</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22d. Comment: time delay - too busy 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022E</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22e. Comment: time delay - bad time 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022F</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22f. Comment: time delay - think about it 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022G</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22g. Comment: other time delay 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022H</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22h. Comment: negative - waste of time 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022J</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22j. Comment: negative - don't trust surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022K</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022M</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022N</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22n. Comment: negative - not interested 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022P</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22p. Comment: other negative 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022Q</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22q. Comment: eligibility - don't know about topic 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022R</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22r. Comment: eligibility - no spouse/pard/child 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022S</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22s. Comment: eligibility - too young/too old 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022T</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22t. Comment: eligibility - don't vote 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022U</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022V</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22v. Comment: privacy - personal questions 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022W</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22w. Comment: privacy - govt knows everything 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082022Y</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22y. Comment: other privacy 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082023</td>
<td>PreAdmin.23. DWELLING UNIT: type of structure: Pre-election 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082024</td>
<td>PreAdmin.24. DWELLING UNIT: structure descrip: Pre-election 100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.24a. DWELLING UNIT: struct residential: Pre-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.26a. DWELLING UNIT: window pol signs: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.26b. DWELLING UNIT: exterior pol signs: Pre-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.26c. DWELLING UNIT: type polit signs: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: access: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27a. DWELLING UNIT: bars on windows: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27b. DWELLING UNIT: crimewtch/sec systm: Pre-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27c. DWELLING UNIT: no trespassing: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27f. DWELLING UNIT: security door: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27g. DWELLING UNIT: call guard: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27h. DWELLING UNIT: access guard: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27j. DWELLING UNIT: gate guard: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27m. DWELLING UNIT: no buzzer locked: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27n. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer no address: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27p. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer w/address: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.27q. DWELLING UNIT: threateng animal: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: building: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28a. DWELLING UNIT: roofing missing: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28b. DWELLING UNIT: boarded windows: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28c. DWELLING UNIT: broken windows: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28d. DWELLING UNIT: missing siding: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28e. DWELLING UNIT: torn screens: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28f. DWELLING UNIT: doors off hinges: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28g. DWELLING UNIT: peeling paint: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28h. DWELLING UNIT: broken siding: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28j. DWELLING UNIT: unkept yard: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.28k. DWELLING UNIT: litter/trash: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: area view: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29a. DWELLING UNIT: area boarded hses: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29d. DWELLING UNIT: area demolish hses: Pre-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29e. DWELLING UNIT: trash in road: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29f. DWELLING UNIT: trash by bldgs: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreAdmin.29g. DWELLING UNIT: factories near: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V082029H PreAdmin.29h. DWELLING UNIT: stores near: Pre-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V082030 PreAdmin.30. DWELLING UNIT: relative condition: Pre-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083009 A8b. What is it that R likes about Democratic Pres cand</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083011 A8d. What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083013 A9b. What is it that R likes about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083015 A9d. What is it that R dislikes about Republican Pres cand</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083046 C1b. What does R like about Democratic party</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083048 C1d. What does R dislike about the Democratic party</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083050 C2b. What does R like about Republican party</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083052 C2d. What does R dislike about the Republican party</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083163X P5ax. SUMMARY: favor/oppose death penalty</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083195 X4f. Specific Brethren denomination</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083199 X4k. Specific Holiness/Pentacostal denomination</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083200 X5a. Specific other denomination</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083227 Y10a. Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/stud)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083228 Y10c. Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/stud)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083234 Y16a. Working/TLO now - occupation</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083235 Y16c. Working/TLO - industry</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083252 Y25. Main ethnic or nationality group</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083254 Y26a. Ethnic group most close</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083264 Y30. Where R grew up</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083267 Y33. Where R lived previously</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083301A ZZ1a. PRE IWR OBS: others present</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V083313A ZZ13a. PRE IWR OBS: R reactions to IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084001A PostAdmin.1a. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: month</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084001B PostAdmin.1b. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: day</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084002A PostAdmin.2a. Ending Date of Post-election IW: month</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084002B PostAdmin.2b. Ending Date of Post-election IW: day</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084003A PostAdmin.3a. No.days since electn: Post-electn IW beg date</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084003B PostAdmin.3b. No.days since electn: Post-electn IW end date</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084004 PostAdmin.4. Total no. IW sessions: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084005 PostAdmin.5. Total no. interviewers: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084006 PostAdmin.6. Version: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084007 PostAdmin.7. Total no. calls: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084008 PostAdmin.8. Interviewer IW number: Post-electn IW (nth IW)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084010 PostAdmin.10. Length of interview: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084012B PostAdmin.12b. Interview evaluation: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084013 PostAdmin.13. Result (Post-election)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084014 PostAdmin.14. Interview recorded: Post-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084015</td>
<td>PostAdmin.15. Respondent payment amount: Post-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084016</td>
<td>PostAdmin.16. Respondent payment mode: Post-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084017A</td>
<td>PostAdmin.17a. Refusal made: Post-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084017B</td>
<td>PostAdmin.17b. Refusal conversion: Post-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084020</td>
<td>PostAdmin.20. Persuasion letter: Post-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084021A</td>
<td>PostAdmin.21a. COMMENT:SUMMARY: positive comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084021B</td>
<td>PostAdmin.21b. COMMENT:SUMMARY: time-delay comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084021C</td>
<td>PostAdmin.21c. COMMENT:SUMMARY: negative comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084021D</td>
<td>PostAdmin.21d. COMMENT:SUMMARY: eligibility comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084021E</td>
<td>PostAdmin.21e. COMMENT:SUMMARY: privacy comment 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022A</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22a. Comment: positive - help community 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022B</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22b. Comment: positive - enjoy surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022C</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22c. Comment: other positive 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022D</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22d. Comment: time delay - too busy 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022E</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22e. Comment: time delay - bad time 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022F</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22f. Comment: time delay - think about it 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022G</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22g. Comment: other time delay 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022H</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22h. Comment: negative - waste of time 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022J</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22j. Comment: negative - don't trust surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022K</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022M</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022N</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22n. Comment: negative - not interested 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022P</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22p. Comment: other negative 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022Q</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22q. Comment: eligibility - don't know about topic 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022R</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22r. Comment: eligibility - no spouse/parn/child 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022S</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22s. Comment: eligibility - too young/too old 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022T</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22t. Comment: eligibility - don't vote 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022U</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022V</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22v. Comment: privacy - personal questions 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022W</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22w. Comment: privacy - govt knows everything 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084022Y</td>
<td>PostAdmin.22y. Comment: other privacy 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084023</td>
<td>PostAdmin.23. DWELLING UNIT: type of structure: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084024</td>
<td>PostAdmin.24. DWELLING UNIT: structure descript: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084024A</td>
<td>PostAdmin.24a. DWELLING UNIT: struct residentl: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084025</td>
<td>PostAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084026A</td>
<td>PostAdmin.26a. DWELLING UNIT: window pol signs: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084026B</td>
<td>PostAdmin.26b. DWELLING UNIT: outside pol signs: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084026C</td>
<td>PostAdmin.26c. DWELLING UNIT: type polit signs: Post-electn 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027</td>
<td>PostAdmin.27. DWELLING UNIT:SUMMARY:access: Post-election 100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084027A PostAdmin.27a. DWELLING UNIT: bars</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027B PostAdmin.27b. DWELLING UNIT: crimewtch/secsys: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027C PostAdmin.27c. DWELLING UNIT: no trespassing: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027D PostAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027E PostAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027F PostAdmin.27f. DWELLING UNIT: security door: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027G PostAdmin.27g. DWELLING UNIT: call guard: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027H PostAdmin.27h. DWELLING UNIT: access guard: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027J PostAdmin.27j. DWELLING UNIT: gate guard: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027K PostAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027M PostAdmin.27m. DWELLING UNIT: no buzzer lockd: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027N PostAdmin.27n. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer no address: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027P PostAdmin.27p. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer w/address: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084027Q PostAdmin.27q. DWELLING UNIT: threateng animal: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028 PostAdmin.28. DWELLING UNIT:SUMMARY: building: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028A PostAdmin.28a. DWELLING UNIT: roofing missing: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028B PostAdmin.28b. DWELLING UNIT: boarded windows: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028C PostAdmin.28c. DWELLING UNIT: broken windows: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028D PostAdmin.28d. DWELLING UNIT: missing siding: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028E PostAdmin.28e. DWELLING UNIT: torn screens: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028F PostAdmin.28f. DWELLING UNIT: doors off hinges: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028G PostAdmin.28g. DWELLING UNIT: peeling paint: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028H PostAdmin.28h. DWELLING UNIT: broken siding: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028J PostAdmin.28j. DWELLING UNIT: unkept yard: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084028K PostAdmin.28k. DWELLING UNIT: litter/trash: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029 PostAdmin.29. DWELLING UNIT:SUMMARY: area view: Pist-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029A PostAdmin.29a. DWELLING UNIT: area boarded hses: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029B PostAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029C PostAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029D PostAdmin.29d. DWELLING UNIT: area demolish hss: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029E PostAdmin.29e. DWELLING UNIT: trash in road: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029F PostAdmin.29f. DWELLING UNIT: trash by bldgs: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029G PostAdmin.29g. DWELLING UNIT: factories near: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084029H PostAdmin.29h. DWELLING UNIT: stores near: Post-election</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084030 PostAdmin.30. DWELLING UNIT: relative condition: Post-electn</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084251 PostIWR.1. Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084252 PostIWR.2. Interviewer gender: Pre-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084253 PostIWR.3. Interviewer education: Pre-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084254 PostIWR.4. Interviewer race: Pre-election IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084255</td>
<td>PostIWR.5. Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084256</td>
<td>PostIWR.6. Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084257</td>
<td>PostIWR.7. Interviewer years experience: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084258</td>
<td>PostIWR.8. Interviewer age group: Pre-election IW 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084501B</td>
<td>PostCand.1b. House Democratic candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084502B</td>
<td>PostCand.2b. House Republican candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084503B</td>
<td>PostCand.3b. House independent/3rd party candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084504B</td>
<td>PostCand.4b. House incumbent GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084505B</td>
<td>PostCand.5b. Senate Democratic candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084506B</td>
<td>PostCand.6b. Senate Republican candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084507B</td>
<td>PostCand.6b. Senate independent/3rd party candidate GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084508B</td>
<td>PostCand.8b. Senate not running in state with race GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084509B</td>
<td>PostCand.9b. Senator 1 in state without race GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V084510B</td>
<td>PostCand.10b. Senator 2 in state without race GENDER 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085038B</td>
<td>C4a1. County of R Registration 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085045</td>
<td>C6b. How long before election R made decision Pres vote 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085050X</td>
<td>C7a3x1. SUMMARY: House vote candidate number 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085057A</td>
<td>C8a2x1. SUMMARY: Senate vote 1 candidate number 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085057B</td>
<td>C8a2x2. SUMMARY: Senate vote 2 candidate number 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085059X</td>
<td>C8b1a1x. SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter pref 1 candidate number 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085060X</td>
<td>C8b1a2x. SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter pref 1 candidate number 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085120</td>
<td>J3a. Office recognition: Speaker of the House (PELOSI) 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085121</td>
<td>J3b. Office recognition: Vice-President (CHENEY) 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085122</td>
<td>J3c. Office recognition: Prime Minister of England (BROWN) 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085123</td>
<td>J3d. Office recognition: US Supreme Ct Chf Justice (ROBERTS) 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085176</td>
<td>Q3a1. Most important issue to R personally 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085177</td>
<td>Q3a2. 2nd most important issue to R personally 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085178</td>
<td>Q3b1. Most important political problem 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085179</td>
<td>Q3b2. 2nd most important political problem 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085213</td>
<td>S1. What were 9/11 terrorist trying to accomplish 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085239</td>
<td>T2. Why does R think Obama won Democratic nomination 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085240</td>
<td>T3. Why does R think Hillary Clinton lost Dem nomination 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085247</td>
<td>T5. Why does R think Obama won the Presidential election 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085248</td>
<td>T6. Why does R think McCain lost the Presidential election 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085258</td>
<td>V4f. Raised in other religion: Reformed 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085272</td>
<td>V7f. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Reformed 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085311C</td>
<td>AMP.1c. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085312C</td>
<td>AMP.2c. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085313C</td>
<td>AMP.3c. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character 100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085314C AMP.4c. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085315C AMP.5c. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085316C AMP.6c. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085317C AMP.7c. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085318C AMP.8c. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085319C AMP.9c. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085320C AMP.10c. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085321C AMP.11c. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085322C AMP.12c. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085323C AMP.13c. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085324C AMP.14c. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085325C AMP.15c. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085326C AMP.16c. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085327C AMP.17c. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085328C AMP.18c. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085329C AMP.19c. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085330C AMP.20c. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085331C AMP.21c. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085332C AMP.22c. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085333C AMP.23c. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085334C AMP.24c. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085335C AMP.25c. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085336C AMP.26c. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085337C AMP.27c. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085338C AMP.28c. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085339C AMP.29c. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085340C AMP.30c. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085341C AMP.31c. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085342C AMP.32c. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085343C AMP.33c. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085344C AMP.34c. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085345C AMP.35c. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085346C AMP.36c. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085347C AMP.37c. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085348C AMP.38c. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085349C AMP.39c. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085350C AMP.40c. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085351C AMP.41c. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085352C AMP.42c. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 2323)</th>
<th>Percent of Cases with Missing Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085353C AMP.43c. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085354C AMP.44c. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085355C AMP.45c. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085356C AMP.46c. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085357C AMP.47c. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085358C AMP.48c. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085401A ZZ1a. POST IWR OBS: others present</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085402 ZZ2. POST IWR OBS: R cooperation</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085403 ZZ3. POST IWR OBS: R level of information</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085404 ZZ4. POST IWR OBS: R intelligence</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085405 ZZ5. POST IWR OBS: R suspicious</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085406 ZZ6. POST IWR OBS: R interest in IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085407 ZZ7. POST IWR OBS: R sincere</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085408 ZZ8. POST IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V085409A ZZ09a. POST IWR OBS: Mention reactions to IW</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.
American National Election Study, 2008: Pre- and Post-Election Survey

**Version 1-27**

**Location:**

**Variable Type:** character (ISO)

**Value** | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%**
--- | --- | ---
ANES2008TS_VERSION:20080511 | 2323 | 100.0%

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**ID.1. CASE ID**

**Location:**

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Question:**

ID.1. CASE ID

CASE ID

Case ID (0001-2323)

- Mean: 1162.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2323.00
- Standard Deviation: 670.74

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**WT.1. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION: centered**

**Location:**

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Question:**

WT.1. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION:

CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION:

post-stratified, centered at mean 1.0

Use of 2008 Time Series sample weighting is necessary for a representative cross-section, either WT.1/WT.2 or else WT.1a/WT.2a (Pre-election/Post-election).

Weights WT.1 and WT.2 are centered at a mean of 1.0 (using V080101, the integer [rounded] value of the sum of cases equals the pre-election unweighted number of cases, 2,323). Full documentation on weighting will be available in the future.
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V080101A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>39-55(width: 17; decimal: 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>WT.1a. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WT.1a. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION

CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - PRE-ELECTION: post-stratified

Use of 2008 Time Series sample weighting is necessary for a representative cross-section, either WT.1/WT.2 or else WT.1a/WT.2a (Pre-election/Post-election). Weights WT.1a and WT.2a are not centered at mean 1. Full documentation on weighting will be available in the future.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.1872
- Maximum: 3.6411
- Standard Deviation: 0.7602

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V080102**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>56-62(width: 7; decimal: 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>WT.2. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION: centered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WT.2. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION: centered

CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION: post-stratified, centered at mean 1.0

Use of 2008 Time Series sample weighting is necessary for a representative cross-section, either WT.1/WT.2 or else WT.1a/WT.2a (Pre-election/Post-election). Weights WT.1 and WT.2 are centered at a mean of 1.0 (using V080102, the integer [rounded] value of the sum of cases equals the post-election unweighted number of cases, 2,102). Full documentation on weighting will be available in the future.
future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No Post-election interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Frequencies not displayed for this variable.

- Mean: 0.9049
- Minimum: 0.0000
- Maximum: 3.7043
- Standard Deviation: 0.7747

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V080102A WT.2a. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION

Location: 63-79(width: 17; decimal: 6)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:

WT.2a. CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION

CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WEIGHT - POST-ELECTION: post-stratified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No Post-election interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Frequencies not displayed for this variable.

- Mean: 87617.187688
- Minimum: 0.000000
- Maximum: 358679.696587
- Standard Deviation: 75015.446791

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V080103 WT.3. HOUSEHOLD WEIGHT: Household post-stratified

Location: 80-96(width: 17; decimal: 7)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:

WT.3. HOUSEHOLD WEIGHT: Household post-stratified

HOUSEHOLD WEIGHT: Household post-stratified weight

This is the household-level post-stratified weight used in construction of sample weights WT.1, WT.1a, WT.2, WT.2a.
Mean: 33968.0680660
Minimum: 7908.0805498
Maximum: 116781.5739705
Standard Deviation: 24219.6733015

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081001</th>
<th>STUDY.1. Waves completed by respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>97-97(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>STUDY.1. Waves completed by respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Pre-election only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Pre-election and Post-election</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 0.90
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 1.00
Standard Deviation: 0.29

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081101</th>
<th>HHList.1. Respondent: gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>98-98(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.1. Respondent: gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male respondent selected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This represents the gender of the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant. See also pre-election interviewer observation item ZZ11.
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- Table 2.2: Respondent: race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female respondent selected</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.57
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081102**  
**HHList.2. Respondent: race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>99-100(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.2. Respondent: race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Respondent: race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This represents the race of the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant. Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

**Table 2.3: Race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused in household listing</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HHList.3. Respondent: Latino status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>101-102(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.3. Respondent: Latino status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected Respondent: Latino status

This represents the Latino status of the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>21.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>77.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused in household listing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Based upon 2318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HHList.3a. Respondent: race and Latino status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>103-105(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-99 , -91 , -44 , -29 , -19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.3a. Respondent: race and Latino status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected Respondent: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.2 and HHList.3.
This represents the race and Latino status of the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant. See also HHList.11a - HHList.18h.

This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 19.45
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 27.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.91

Based upon 2310 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081104</th>
<th>HHList.4. Respondent: age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>106-107(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.4. Respondent: age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Respondent: age</td>
<td>- 8 -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This represents the age of the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant. All household members age 17 and older were initially included in the listing, however among listed members who were 17 years old, only those who would become age-eligible (18 years old) by October 30, 2008 were retained in the final listing, which represents adult household members only. (See also HHList.9, HHList.9a, and HHList.9b.) All household members for whom age in the household listing was not known or refused by the informant were established for the final listing to be age 18 years or older as of October 30, 2008 (see HHList.11g, HHList.12g, HHList.13g, HHList.14g, HHList.15g, HHList.16g, HHList.17g, HHList.18g), even if exact age was not ascertained as part of the household listing. For age as provided by the respondent in the demographics segment of the pre-election survey, see Pre question Y1x.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old (18 by Oct 30, 2008)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused in household listing</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in household listing)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 47.00  
- Median: 46.00  
- Mode: 45.00  
- Minimum: 17.00  
- Maximum: 90.00  
- Standard Deviation: 17.23

Based upon 2301 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081105 HHList.5. RESTRICTED: Respondent: relationship to informant**

**Location:** 108-109(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9

**Question:**

HHList.5. RESTRICTED: Respondent: relationship to

Selected respondent: relationship to household informant

This represents the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant.

To protect respondent confidentiality, codes 2-4 here have been recoded from the following:

FEMALE RELATIVES: MALE RELATIVES:

NON-RELATIVES:
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>70.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused in household listing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.42
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.73

Based upon 2322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081106 HHList.6. Respondent: adult person number

| Location: | 110-110(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | HHList.6. Respondent: adult person number |

Selected respondent: adult person number

This represents the person who was selected as respondent from all eligible members of the household, based on household listing (roster) information provided by the household informant.

Adult here is defined as age 18 or older by October 30, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. R IS 1st ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>70.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. R IS 2nd ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>25.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. R IS 3rd ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. R IS 4th ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. R IS 5th ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. R IS 7th ADULT IN HOUSEHOLD LISTING</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.35
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.62

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.7. TOTAL IN HH: PERSONS (ADULTS AND CHILDREN)

| Location: | 111-112(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -4 |

**Question:**

HHList.7. TOTAL IN HH: PERSONS (ADULTS AND CHILDREN)

Total in household: total persons (adults and children)

Built from HHList.11a - HHList.18h, HHList.9a, and HHList.9b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1 total persons in HH</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2 total persons in HH</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3 total persons in HH</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4 total persons in HH</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5 total persons in HH</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6 total persons in HH</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7 total persons in HH</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8 total persons in HH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9 total persons in HH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10 total persons in HH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11 total persons in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (refused number of children in HH)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank for number of children in HH)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 2315 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.8. TOTAL IN HH: ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

| Location: | 113-113(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18. 18 total adults in HH</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19. 19 total adults in HH</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20. 20 total adults in HH</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21. 21 total adults in HH</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22. 22 total adults in HH</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23. 23 total adults in HH</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24. 24 total adults in HH</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25. 25 total adults in HH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26. 26 total adults in HH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27. 27 total adults in HH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 2315 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
HHList.8. TOTAL IN HH: ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: total adults

Built from HHList.11a - HHList.18h.
Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1 total adults in HH</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2 total adults in HH</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>49.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3 total adults in HH</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4 total adults in HH</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5 total adults in HH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6 total adults in HH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7 total adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8 total adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

HHList.8a. TOTAL IN HH: CITIZEN ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Location: 114-114(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Total in household: total citizen (eligible) adults

Built from HHList.11e, HHList.12e, HHList.13e, HHList.14e, HHList.15e, HHList.16e, HHList.17e, HHList.18e.
Household adults were household members who would be 18 or older by October 30, 2008.
Household member eligibility was determined by age (18 by October 30, 2008) and citizenship status.
## V081108B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8 total citizen (eligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.8b. TOTAL IN HH: NONCITIZEN ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

**Location:** 115-115

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Question:**

HHList.8b. TOTAL IN HH: NONCITIZEN ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: total noncitizen (ineligible) adults

Built from HHList.11e, HHList.12e, HHList.13e, HHList.14e, HHList.15e, HHList.16e, HHList.17e, HHList.18e.

Household adults were household members who would be 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Household member eligibility was determined by age (18 by October 30, 2008) and citizenship status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>00. 0 total noncitizen (ineligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HHList.8c. TOTAL IN HH: FEMALE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

**Location:** 116-116(width: 1; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Question:** HHList.8c. TOTAL IN HH: FEMALE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: female adults

Built from HHList.11a, HHList.12a, HHList.13a, HHList.14a, HHList.15a, HHList.16a, HHList.17a, HHList.18a.

Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total female adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.61

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8 total noncitizen (ineligible) adults in HH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

- Study 25383 -

### HHList.8d. TOTAL IN HH: MALE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

-16-
HHList.8d. TOTAL IN HH: MALE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: male adults

Built from HHList.11a, HHList.12a, HHList.13a, HHList.14a, HHList.15a, HHList.16a, HHList.17a, HHList.18a.

Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>28.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>60.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total male adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

HHList.8e. TOTAL IN HH: LATINO ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: Latino adults

Built from HHList.11c, HHList.12c, HHList.13c, HHList.14c, HHList.15c, HHList.16c, HHList.17c, and HHList.18c.

Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>117-117(width: 1; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total Latino adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused Latino status for one or more members of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded for Latino status of one or more members</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.46  
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 2318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081108F**

**HHList.8f. TOTAL IN HH: BLACK ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)**

Location: 120-121(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4

Question: HHList.8f. TOTAL IN HH: BLACK ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: black/African-American adults

Built from HHList.11b, HHList.12b, HHList.13b, HHList.14b, HHList.15b, HHList.16b, HHList.17b, and HHList.18b (code=2). Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 7 total black adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused race for one or more members of household listing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded for race of one or more members</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.44
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87

Based upon 2305 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081108G**

| Location:        | 122-123(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type:   | numeric (ISO)                  |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -4 |

**HHList.8g. TOTAL IN HH: WHITE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)**

Total in household: white adults

Built from HHList.11b, HHList.12b, HHList.13b, HHList.14b, HHList.15b, HHList.16b, HHList.17b, and HHList.18b (code=1).
Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>35.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>32.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 7 total white adults in HH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused race for one or more members of household listing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded for race of one or more members</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.15
- Median: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Based upon 2305 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.8h. TOTAL IN HH: MIXED/OTH RACE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

**Location:**
124-125(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -4

**Question:**

HHList.8h. TOTAL IN HH: MIXED/OTH RACE ADULTS (18 BY OCT30)

Total in household: other/mixed race adults

Built from HHList.11b, HHList.12b, HHList.13b, HHList.14b, HHList.15b, HHList.16b, HHList.17b, and HHList.18b (codes 0-9)

Household adults were household members age 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>84.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 7 total other/mixed race adults in HH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused race for one or more members of household listing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded for race of one or more members</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Based upon 2305 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.9. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN UNDER 18 (NOT 18 BY OCT30)

**Location:**
126-127(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)
Question:

HHList.9. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN UNDER 18 (NOT 18 BY

Total in household: children under 18 years old (not 18 by October 30, 2008)

Built from HHList.9a and HHList.9b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused HHList.9a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA in HHList.9a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>1491</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 7 total children (not 18 by OCT30)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.66
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: -9.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081109A

HHList.9a. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN UNDER 17

Location: 128-129(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:

HHList.9a. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN UNDER 17

Total in household: children under 17 years old

Upon completion of the household listing (roster) of adults, the household informant was asked how many children under 17 years old were in the household. This represents the response given. All 17 year olds were initially listed in the household listing but were dropped from the final listing if not 18 years old by October 30, 2008. The count of 17 year olds who would not turn 18 years old by October 30, 2008 is provided in HHList.9b.
See HHList.9 for total number of children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. 0 total children under 17</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>65.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 total children under 17</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2 total children under 17</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3 total children under 17</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 4 total children under 17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 5 total children under 17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 6 total children under 17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 7 total children under 17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 0.64
• Median: 0.00
• Mode: 0.00
• Minimum: -9.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081109B  HHList.9b. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN 17 YRS OLD, NOT 18 BY OCT30

Location: 130-130(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:

HHList.9b. TOTAL IN HH: CHILDREN 17 YRS OLD, NOT 18 BY OCT30

Total in household: children 17 years old (not 18 by October 30, 2008)

All 17 year olds were initially listed in the household listing but were dropped from the final listing if not 18 years old by October 30, 2008.
This is the count of 17 year olds who would not turn 18 years old by October 30, 2008 as provided in the initial roster.
The household count for children under 17 years of age is provided in HHList.9a.
See HHList.9 for total number of children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No 17-year-old children (not 18 by Oct30)</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>97.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One 17-year-old child (not 18 by Oct 30)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 1 adult male HHR</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 adult non-relative</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more adult non-relatives</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. 1 adult female HHR</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 adult non-relative</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more adult non-relatives</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>37.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. 1 married couple plus 1 other adult relative</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other adult relatives</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>51. 1 married couple plus 1 adult non-relative</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more adult non-relatives</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>55. 1 married couple plus relative(s) and non-relative(s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 adult relative</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more adult relatives</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>75. 1 adult male HHR plus relative(s) and non-relative(s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 adult relative</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more relatives</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>95. 1 adult female HHR plus relative(s) and non-relative(s)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 32.36  
- Median: 30.00  
- Mode: 30.00  
- Minimum: 10.00  
- Maximum: 95.00  
- Standard Deviation: 20.41

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081111A**  
**HHList.11a. PERSON #1: gender**

| Location: | 133-133(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | HHList.11a. PERSON #1: gender |

Household listing person #1: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>42.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>58.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.58  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081111B**  
**HHList.11b. PERSON #1: race**

| Location: | 134-135(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -4 |
| Question: | HHList.11b. PERSON #1: race |

- Mean: 495.1  
- Median: 95.00  
- Mode: 95.00  
- Minimum: 10.00  
- Maximum: 95.00  
- Standard Deviation: 20.41

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Household listing person #1: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>61.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>25.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 136-137(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4 | Question: HHList.11c. PERSON #1: Latino

Household listing person #1: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td>77.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Based upon 2318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081111D**

**HHList.11d. PERSON #1: race and Latino status**

Location: 138-140(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -99, -91, -44, -29, -19

**Question:**

HHList.11d. PERSON #1: race and Latino status

Household listing person #1: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.11b and HHList11c.

This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.11b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>49.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latinostatus given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 19.45
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 27.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.93

Based upon 2306 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081111E  
HHList.11e. PERSON #1: citizen

Location: 141-141(width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Question:

HHList.11e. PERSON #1: citizen  
Household listing person #1: citizen  

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.  
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081111F  
HHList.11f. PERSON #1: age

Location: 142-143(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
HHList.11f. PERSON #1: age

Household listing person #1: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.11g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 47.36
- Median: 47.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 93.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.18

Based upon 2306 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHList.11g. PERSON #1: 18 by October 30, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 144-145(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.11f</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
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- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081111H</th>
<th>HHList.11h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #1: relationship to informant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>146-147(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable Type:</strong></td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range of Missing Values (M):</strong></td>
<td>-9, -4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

HHList.11h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #1: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household listing (roster) information. The informant was always included first in the household listing. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Informant</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081112A</th>
<th>HHList.12a. PERSON #2: gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>148-149(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable Type:</strong></td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range of Missing Values (M):</strong></td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

HHList.12a. PERSON #2: gender

Household listing person #2: gender
From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>32.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1498 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081112B**

**HHList.12b. PERSON #2: race**

| Location: | 150-151(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -4 , -1 |

Household listing person #2: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>42.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 1490 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 152-153(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -4, -1 |

HHList.12c. PERSON #2: Latino

Household listing person #2: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>47.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.74
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Based upon 1496 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -99 , -91 , -44 , -29 , -19 , -1

**Question:**

HHList.12d. PERSON #2: race and Latino status

Household listing person #2: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.12b and HHList12c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.12b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 19.07
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 25.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.14

Based upon 1489 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081112E**

HHList.12e. PERSON #2: citizen
HHList.12e. PERSON #2: citizen

Household listing person #2: citizen

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>64.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.00
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 1.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1498 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

HHList.12f. PERSON #2: age

Household listing person #2: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.12g).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90, 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 45.45
- Median: 45.00
- Mode: 37.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 95.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.72

Based upon 1486 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081112G</th>
<th>HHList.12g. PERSON #2: 18 by October 30, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>161-162(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.12g. PERSON #2: 18 by October 30, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:</td>
<td>Household listing person #2: 18 years old by October 30, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.12f; no additional person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.58
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 33 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081112H</th>
<th>HHList.12h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #2: relationship to informant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>163-164(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.12h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #2: relationship to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Household listing person #2: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household listing (roster) information. The informant was always included first in the household listing. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. To protect respondent confidentiality, codes 2-4 here have been recoded from the original categories documented in HHList.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.35
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Based upon 1497 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081113A**

| Location: 165-166(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -1 |
| Question: HHList.13a. PERSON #3: gender |

**HHList.13a. PERSON #3: gender**

Household listing person #3: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.47  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50  

Based upon 343 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081113B**  
**HHList.13b. PERSON #3: race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>167-168(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.13b. PERSON #3: race</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household listing person #3: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85  
- Median: 1.00
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- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.17

Based upon 342 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081113C**  
**HHList.13c. PERSON #3: Latino**

Location: 169-170 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -4 , -1

Question:

HHList.13c. PERSON #3: Latino

Household listing person #3: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.69  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Based upon 343 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081113D**  
**HHList.13d. PERSON #3: race and Latino status**

Location: 171-173 (width: 3; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -91 , -44 , -29 , -19 , -1

Question:

HHList.13d. PERSON #3: race and Latino status
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Household listing person #3: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.13b and HHList.13c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents
the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino)
and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.13b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11    | 11. Latino - race given as white           | 58                   | 2.5%
| 12    | 12. Latino - race given as black           | 2                    | 0.1%
| 14    | 14. Latino - race given as other           | 42                   | 1.8%
| 15    | 15. Latino - race given as white and other | 2                    | 0.1%
| 16    | 16. Latino - race given as black and other | 0                    | 0.0%
| 21    | 21. Not Latino - race given as white       | 129                  | 5.6%
| 22    | 22. Not Latino - race given as black       | 87                   | 3.7%
| 23    | 23. Not Latino - race given as white and black | 1               | 0.0%
| 24    | 24. Not Latino - race given as other       | 17                   | 0.7%
| 25    | 25. Not Latino - race given as white and other | 4               | 0.2%
| 26    | 26. Not Latino - race given as black and other | 0               | 0.0%
| 27    | 27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other | 0               | 0.0%
| -99   | -99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing | 0               | 0.0%
| -91   | -91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white | 0               | 0.0%
| -44   | -44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded) | 0               | 0.0%
| -29   | -29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing | 0               | 0.0%
| -19   | -19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing | 1               | 0.0%
| -1    | -1. INAP, no additional person             | 1980                 | 85.2%

- Mean: 18.81
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 25.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.45

Based upon 342 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081113E HHList.13e. PERSON #3: citizen

| Location:                           | 174-175(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type:                      | numeric (ISO)                  |
| Range of Missing Values (M):        | -1                              |
| Question:                           | HHList.13e. PERSON #3: citizen  |

Household listing person #3: citizen
From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 343 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>V081113F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>HHList.13f. PERSON #3: age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>176-177(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household listing person #3: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.13g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.41
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 90.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.67

Based upon 339 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081113G  
HHList.13g. PERSON #3: 18 by October 30, 2008

Location: 178-179(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1
Question: HHList.13g. PERSON #3: 18 by October 30, 2008

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:
Household listing person #3: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.13f; no additional person</td>
<td>2288</td>
<td>98.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.80  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Based upon 35 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.02  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00
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- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Based upon 343 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081114A</th>
<th>HHList.14a. PERSON #4: gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>182-183(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.14a. PERSON #4: gender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household listing person #4: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.47
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081114B</th>
<th>HHList.14b. PERSON #4: race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>184-185(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>HHList.14b. PERSON #4: race</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household listing person #4: race
From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.03
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 186-187(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4, -1 |

HHList.14c. PERSON #4: Latino

Household listing person #4: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081114D

| Location: | 188-190 (width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -99, -91, -44, -29, -19, -1 |

HHList.14d. PERSON #4: race and Latino status

Household listing person #4: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.14b and HHList14c.

This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.14b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 18.01
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.78

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### HHList.14e. PERSON #4: citizen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
HHList.14f. PERSON #4: age

Household listing person #4: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.14g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 31.45
- Median: 25.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 88.00
- Standard Deviation: 14.96

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 195-196(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -1 |

**V081114G HHList.14g. PERSON #4: 18 by October 30, 2008**

**Question:**

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:

Household listing person #4: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.14f; no additional person</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>99.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.92
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.29

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 197-198(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -4 , -1 |

**HHList.14h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #4: relationship to informant**

**Question:**

Household listing person #4: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household listing (roster) information. The informant was always included first in the household listing. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. To protect respondent confidentiality codes 2-4 here have been recoded from the original categories documented in HHList.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>95.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.13
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
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- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081115A</th>
<th>HHList.15a. PERSON #5: gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>199-200 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
HHList.15a. PERSON #5: gender
Household listing person #5: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.54
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.51

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081115B</th>
<th>HHList.15b. PERSON #5: race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>201-202 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
HHList.15b. PERSON #5: race
Household listing person #5: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household
listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.62  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**HHList.15c. PERSON #5: Latino**

**Location:** 203-204(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -4 , -1  

**HHList.15c. PERSON #5: Latino**

Household listing person #5: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, and Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.65
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081115D**  
**HHList.15d. PERSON #5: race and Latino status**

| Location: | 205-207(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -99, -91, -44, -29, -19, -1 |

**Question:**

Household listing person 5: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.15b and HHList15c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.15b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 18.15  
- Median: 21.00  
- Mode: 21.00  
- Minimum: 11.00  
- Maximum: 22.00  
- Standard Deviation: 4.66

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>208-209(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

HHLList.15e. PERSON #5: citizen

Household listing person #5: citizen

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>210-211(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Household listing person #5: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.15g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.52  
- Median: 21.00  
- Mode: 21.00  
- Minimum: 17.00  
- Maximum: 65.00  
- Standard Deviation: 13.81

Based upon 25 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
V081115G

HHList.15g. PERSON #5: 18 by October 30, 2008

Location: 212-213(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1
Question:

HHList.15g. PERSON #5: 18 by October 30, 2008

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:
Household listing person #5: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by
the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.15f; no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081115H

HHList.15h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #5: relationship to informant

Location: 214-215(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4, -1
Question:

HHList.15h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #5: relationship to informant

Household listing person #5: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household
listing (roster) information. The informant was always included
first in the the household listing.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.
To protect respondent confidentiality codes 2-4 here have
been recoded from the original categories documented in
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HHList.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>98.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.23
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081116A

HHList.16a. PERSON #6: gender

Location: 216-217(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1
Question: HHList.16a. PERSON #6: gender

Household listing person #6: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>99.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.50
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>HHList.16b. PERSON #6: race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>218-219(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household listing person #6: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>99.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.75
- Median: 1.50
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>HHList.16c. PERSON #6: Latino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>220-221(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -4, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HHList.16c. PERSON #6: Latino

Household listing person #6: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.88
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081116D

HHList.16d. PERSON #6: race and Latino status

Location: 222-224(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -99, -91, -44, -29, -19, -1

Household listing person #6: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.16b and HHList16c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.16b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>99.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 20.50
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 14.00
- Maximum: 22.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.67

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081116E

**HHList.16e. PERSON #6: citizen**

**Location:** 225-226(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -1

**Question:** HHList.16e. PERSON #6: citizen

Household listing person #6: citizen

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HHList.16f. PERSON #6: age

- **Mean:** 23.71
- **Median:** 23.71
- **Mode:** 1.00
- **Minimum:** 1.00
- **Maximum:** 1.00
- **Standard Deviation:** 0.00

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315 99.7 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V081116F HHList.16f. PERSON #6: age**

**Location:** 227-228(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.16g).
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 21.00
- Minimum: 20.00
- Maximum: 35.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.41

Based upon 7 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081116G</th>
<th>HHList.16g. PERSON #6: 18 by October 30, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>229-230(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HHList.16g. PERSON #6: 18 by October 30, 2008

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:
Household listing person #6: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.16f; no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081116H</th>
<th>HHList.16h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #6: relationship to informant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>231-232(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -4 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HHList.16h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #6: relationship to
Household listing person #6: relationship to informant
The household informant was the person providing the household listing (roster) information. The informant was always included first in the household listing.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

To protect respondent confidentiality codes 2-4 here have been recoded from the original categories documented in HHList.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50  
- Median: 3.50  
- Minimum: 3.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081117A**  
**HHList.17a. PERSON #7: gender**

Location: 233-234(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -1

Question: HHList.17a. PERSON #7: gender

Household listing person #7: gender

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 235-236(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
<th>HHList.17b. PERSON #7: race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4, -1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HHList.17b. PERSON #7: race**

Household listing person #7: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71
Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**HHList.17c. PERSON #7: Latino**

| Location: | 237-238(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -4 , -1 |

Household listing person #7: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**HHList.17d. PERSON #7: race and Latino status**

| Location: | 239-241(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -99 , -91 , -44 , -29 , -19 , -1 |

Household listing person #7: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.17b and HHList17c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.17b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.50
- Median: 21.50
- Minimum: 21.00
- Maximum: 22.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081117E**

**HHList.17e. PERSON #7: citizen**

- Location: 242-243(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -1
- Question: HHList.17e. PERSON #7: citizen

Household listing person #7: citizen

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older.

- 69 -
by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081117F  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HHList.17f. PERSON #7: age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Location:  
244-245(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type:  
numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M):  
-9, -8, -1 |

Question:

HHList.17f. PERSON #7: age

Household listing person #7: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.17g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 43.50  
- Median: 43.50
V081117G  HHList.17g. PERSON #7: 18 by October 30, 2008

Location: 246-247(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1

Question:
HHList.17g. PERSON #7: 18 by October 30, 2008

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:
Household listing person #7: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by
the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.17f; no additional person</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081117H  HHList.17h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #7: relationship to informant

Location: 248-249(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4, -1

Question:
HHList.17h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #7: relationship to
Household listing person #7: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household
listing (roster) information. The informant was always included
first in the household listing.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.
To protect respondent confidentiality codes 2-4 here have
been recoded from the original categories documented in
HHList.5.
### HHList.18a. PERSON #8: gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

### HHList.18a. PERSON #8: gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 3.50
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.
HHList.18b. PERSON #8: race

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Race categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Black/African-American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. White and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White, black and another race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.00
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 1.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

HHList.18c. PERSON #8: Latino

Location: 254-255(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -4, -1
Household listing person #8: Latino status

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant. All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008. Latino categories are the categories available to the interviewer for coding the informant's description of each household member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Not Latino</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081118D**

**HHList.18d. PERSON #8: race and Latino status**

Location: 256-258(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -99, -91, -44, -29, -19, -1

Household listing person #8: race and Latino status

Built from HHList.18b and HHList18c.
This is a 2-digit variable in which the 1st digit represents the Latino status of the respondent (1=Latino, 2=not Latino) and the 2nd digit represents race (per HHList.18b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Not Latino - race given as white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Not Latino - race given as black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Not Latino - race given as white and black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Not Latino - race given as other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Not Latino - race given as white and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Not Latino - race given as black and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Not Latino - race given as black, white, and other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-99. Refused Latino status and race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-91. Refused Latino status but gave race as white</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-44. NA for Latino status and race (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-29. Non-Latino status given but refused race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-19. Latino status given but refused Race in household listing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.00
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 21.00
- Maximum: 21.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### HHList.18e. PERSON #8: citizen

| Location: | 259-260(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -1 |
| Question: | HHList.18e. PERSON #8: citizen |

Household listing person #8: citizen

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.

All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a citizen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Citizen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081118F HHList.18f. PERSON #8: age

Location: 261-262 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

HHList.18f. PERSON #8: age
Household listing person #8: age

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older by October 30, 2008 (for members age 17 or with age refused, the household informant indicated age 18 by October 30, 2008; see HHList.18g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. 17 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. 18 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 years old or older</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 42.00
- Median: 42.00
- Mode: 42.00
- Minimum: 42.00
- Maximum: 42.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081118G HHList.18g. PERSON #8: 18 by October 30, 2008

Location: 263-264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
HHList.18g. PERSON #8: 18 by October 30, 2008

IF AGE IS 17 OR REFUSED:
Household listing person #8: 18 years old by October 30, 2008

From the household listing (roster) of adults provided by
the household informant.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, not 18 by Oct 30, 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, age is 18 or older in HHList.18f; no additional person</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

HHList.18h. RESTRICTED:PERSON #8: relationship to informant

The household informant was the person providing the household
listing (roster) information. The informant was always included
first in the the household listing.
All adults in the final household listing were 18 or older
by October 30, 2008.
To protect respondent confidentiality codes 2-4 here have
been recoded from the original categories documented in
HHList.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Informant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spouse/partner</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Relative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Non-relative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (blank recorded)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional person</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.00
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081119**

| Location: | 267-274(width: 8; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |
| Question: |

**HHList.19. HOUSEHOLD LISTING COMPLETED: date MMDD2008**

This is the final date for completion of the household listing ( roster).
This is an alpha variable in format MMDDYYYY (MMDD2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09022008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09032008</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09042008</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09052008</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09062008</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09072008</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09082008</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09092008</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09102008</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09112008</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09122008</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09132008</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09142008</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09152008</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09162008</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 78 -
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09172008</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09182008</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09192008</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09202008</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09212008</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09222008</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09232008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09242008</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09252008</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09262008</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09272008</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09282008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09292008</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09302008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10012008</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10022008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10032008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10042008</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10052008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10062008</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10072008</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10082008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10092008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10102008</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10112008</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10122008</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10132008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10142008</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10152008</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10162008</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10172008</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10182008</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10192008</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10202008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10212008</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10222008</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10232008</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10242008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10252008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10262008</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081120**  
**HHList.19. HOUSEHOLD LISTING COMPLETED: language [% English]**

| Location: | 275-277(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**

HHList.19. HOUSEHOLD LISTING COMPLETED: language [%

HOUSEHOLD LISTING (ROSTER) COMPLETED: language [% English]

This is a calculation of the total percent of instrument screens in the household listing (roster) application which were in English (note that interviewers had the option of screen display in English or Spanish).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value Distribution Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>94.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (data missing)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean**: 96.71  
- **Median**: 100.00  
- **Mode**: 100.00  
- **Minimum**: 2.00  
- **Maximum**: 100.00  
- **Standard Deviation**: 17.03

Based upon 2322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V081121

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>HHList.19. HOUSEHOLD LISTING COMPLETED: interviewer ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>278-283(width: 6; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V081201A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Sample.1a. State Postal abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>284-285(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample.1a. State Postal abbreviation

STATE POSTAL ABBREVIATION

This is the state postal abbreviation for the state of respondent's residence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V081201B</th>
<th>Sample.1b. State FIPS code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>286-287(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample.1b. State FIPS code

STATE FIPS CODE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 28.08
- Median: 28.00
- Mode: 48.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 55.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.24

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081202A**

| Location: | 288-291(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |
| Question: | Sample.2a. Postal abbrev and Congr district number |

**Sample.2a. Postal abbrev and Congr district number**

**STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

This is the state postal abbreviation-Congressional district number for the respondent's residence, and is the district identification preloaded into the Post-election instrument (if a Post-election re-interview was obtained). However, in 22 Post-election cases, the incorrect Congressional district had been identified for respondent location; these cases are coded here with "99" for district number. For these cases, the wrong candidate names were administered throughout the Post-election interview. See Sample.3a. Additionally, the wrong Congressional district was identified for 1 case without a Post-election re-interview; this case is coded "00" here for district number (case 1443).

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081202B**

| Location: | 292-293(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
Question:

Sample.2b. Congressional district number

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NUMBER

This is the Congressional district number for the respondent's residence, and is the district identification preloaded into the Post-election instrument (if a Post-election re-interview was obtained). However, in 22 Post-election cases, the incorrect Congressional district had been identified for respondent location; these cases are coded here with "99" for district number. For these cases, the wrong candidate names were administered throughout the Post-election interview. See Sample.3a. Additionally, the wrong Congressional district was identified for 1 case without a Post-election re-interview; this case is coded "00" here for district number (case 1443).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>00. No-Post case with incorrect district assignment (1 case)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>99. Incorrect district assigned to case with Post-election IW</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.10
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.95

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081203A

**Sample.3a. Corrected state Postal abbrev-Congr district num**

| Location: | 294-297(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |

**Question:**

Sample.3a. Corrected state Postal abbrev-Congr

**CORRECTED STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

For the 22 cases with incorrect Congressional district preloaded into the Post-election instrument (see Sample.2a), and for 1 no-Post case with incorrect district assignment (case 1443), this is the corrected state postal abbreviation and Congressional district number for the respondent's residence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO01</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL03</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081203B**  
**Sample.3b. Corrected Congressional district number**

Location: 298-299(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2 , -1  
Question: Sample.3b. Corrected Congressional district number

**CORRECTED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NUMBER**

For the 22 cases with incorrect Congressional district preloaded into the Post-election instrument (see Sample.2b), and for 1 no-Post case with incorrect district assignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, correct Congressional district assigned to R</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>99.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.17
- Median: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 29.00
- Standard Deviation: 9.86

Based upon 23 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081204 Sample.4. Census Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>17.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>47.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WV)</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>24.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V081205 Sample.5. RESTRICTED: Primary area number

| Location: 301-302(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | - 88 - |
Sample.5. RESTRICTED: Primary area number

Primary Area Number (PSU)

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded in the public release: the 94 PSUs have been recoded to values 1-94 in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 39.86
- Median: 38.00
- Mode: 53.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 94.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.65

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081206**  
**Sample.6. RESTRICTED: Stratum (stage 1)**

| Location: 303-304(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -3 |
| Question: |

Sample.6. RESTRICTED: Stratum (stage 1)

Stratum (stage 1)

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this
variable has been recoded to -3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081207 Sample.7. RESTRICTED: FIPS state-county code**

Location: 305-305(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)
Question: Sample.7. RESTRICTED: FIPS state-county code

FIPS state-county code

This is the FIPS state-county code for the location of the respondent's sample address.
To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been blanked in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V081208 Sample.8. RESTRICTED: CBG**

Location: 306-306(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)
Question: Sample.8. RESTRICTED: CBG

Census CBG

This is the CBG (Census Block Group) code for the location of the respondent's sample address.
To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been blanked in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**Sample.9. RESTRICTED: Census Tract**

**Location:** 307-307 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** character (ISO)

**Question:**

Sample.9. RESTRICTED: Census Tract

Census Tract

This is the Census Tract code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been blanked in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Sample.10. RESTRICTED: Census Place**

**Location:** 308-309 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -3

**Question:**

Sample.10. RESTRICTED: Census Place

Census Place code

This is the Census Place code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to -3 in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Sample.11. RESTRICTED: MCD**

**Location:** 310-311 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -3

**Question:**

Sample.11. RESTRICTED: MCD
Census Minor Civil Division (MCD) code

This is the Census MCD (Minor Civil Division) code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to -3 in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081212  Sample.12. RESTRICTED: MSA

Location: 312-313(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3

Question:

Sample.12. RESTRICTED: MSA

MSA code (Metropolitan Statistical Area)

This is the Census MSA (Metropolitan Statistical Area) code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to -3 in the public release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V081212A Sample.12a. RESTRICTED: CSA

Location: 314-315(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3

Question:

Sample.12a. RESTRICTED: CSA

Census CSA (Combined Statistical Area) code

This is the Census CSA (Combined Statistical Area) code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to -3 in the public release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Sample.12b. RESTRICTED: CBSA

Census CBSA (Component Core-Based Statistical Area) code

This is the Census CBSA (Component Core-Based Statistical Area) code for the location of the respondent's sample address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to -3 in the public release.

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.1a. Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: month

Mean: 9.46
Median: 9.00
Mode: 9.00
- Study 25383 -

- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.57

Based upon 2322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>PreAdmin.1b. Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PreAdmin.1b. Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 14.85  
- Median: 14.00  
- Mode: 13.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 31.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.01

Based upon 2322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082001C</th>
<th>PreAdmin.1c. Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: date MMDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>322-325(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.1c. Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning Date of Pre-election IW: date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Built from PreAdmin.1a and PreAdmin.1b.  
This is an alpha variable in format MMDD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0902</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0903</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0904</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0905</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0906</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0907</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0908</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0909</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0910</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0911</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0912</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0913</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0914</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0915</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0916</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0917</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0918</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0919</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0920</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0921</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0922</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0923</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0924</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0925</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0926</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0927</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0928</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0929</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0930</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V082002A  
**PreAdmin.2a. Ending Date of Pre-election IW: month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. September</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>57.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. October</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>39.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. November</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 9.46
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.57

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V082002B  
**PreAdmin.2b. Ending Date of Pre-election IW: day**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

PreAdmin.2b. Ending Date of Pre-election IW: day

Ending Date of Pre-election IW: day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 14.85
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 13.00
- Study 25383 -

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 31.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.01

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082002C</th>
<th>PreAdmin.2c. Ending Date of Pre-election IW: date MMDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>330-333(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.2c. Ending Date of Pre-election IW: date MMDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ending Date of Pre-election IW: date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Built from PreAdmin.2a and PreAdmin.2b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This is an alpha variable in format MMDD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0902</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0903</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0904</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0905</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0906</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0907</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0908</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0909</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0910</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0911</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0912</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0913</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0914</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0915</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0916</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0917</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0918</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0919</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0920</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0921</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0922</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0923</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0924</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0925</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0926</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0927</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0928</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0929</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0930</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1027</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1031</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1102</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
The 2008 national elections were held on November 4, 2008.

Days: 1-63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 36.19
- Median: 40.00
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- Mode: 55.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 63.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.55

Based upon 2322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082003B PreAdmin.3b. No. days til election: Pre-election IW end date

Location: 336-337 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4

Question:

PreAdmin.3b. No. days til election: Pre-election IW

Number of days before election: Pre-election IW ending date

The 2008 national elections were held on November 4, 2008.

Days: 1-63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 36.18  
- Median: 40.00  
- Mode: 55.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 63.00  
- Standard Deviation: 17.55

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082004  
PreAdmin.4. Total no. IW sessions: Pre-election IW

Location: 338-339(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -4  

Question: PreAdmin.4. Total no. IW sessions: Pre-election IW  
Total number of interview sessions: Pre-election IW  
For the 2008 Pre-election interview, all but 2 interviews were conducted in a single session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One IW session</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two IW sessions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three IW sessions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082005  
PreAdmin.5. Total no. interviewers: Pre-election IW

Location: 340-341(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -4
PreAdmin.5. Total no. interviewers: Pre-election IW

Total number of interviewers: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One total Pre-election IWRs</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>82.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two total Pre-election IWRs</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three total Pre-election IWRs</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four total Pre-election IWRs</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five total Pre-election IWRs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082006  PreAdmin.6. Version: Pre-election IW

Location: 342-345(width: 4; decimal: 1)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

PreAdmin.6. Version: Pre-election IW

Version of survey instrument: Pre-election

Documentation for differences between instrument versions will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>20.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>64.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.29
- Median: 7.40
- Mode: 7.40
- Minimum: 7.00
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- Maximum: 7.40
- Standard Deviation: 0.16

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>PreAdmin.7. Total no. calls: Pre-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>346-347(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.7. Total no. calls: Pre-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of calls: Pre-election</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.39
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 48.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.05

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082008</th>
<th>PreAdmin.8. Interviewer IW number: Pre-election IW (nth IW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>348-349(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PreAdmin.8. Interviewer IW number: Pre-election IW

Interviewer interview number: Pre-election IW

This identifies the interview as the Nth pre-election interview conducted by the interviewer.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PreAdmin.9. Mode of interview: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.15
- Median: 11.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 55.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.27

Based upon 2319 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### Variable Type:
- numeric (ISO)

#### Location:
- 350-350 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

#### Question:

PreAdmin.9. Mode of interview: Pre-election IW

Mode of interview: Pre-election IW

All Pre-election interviews were conducted face-to-face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Face-to-face IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### Variable Type:
- numeric (ISO)

#### Location:
- 351-356 (width: 6; decimal: 1)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4

Question:

PreAdmin.10. Length of interview: Pre-election IW

Length of interview: Pre-election IW

This is a variable with 1 decimal place.
The mean length of Pre-election interviews was 73.2 minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Frequencies not displayed for this variable.

- Mean: 73.23
- Minimum: 18.40
- Maximum: 191.80
- Standard Deviation: 20.09

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082011 PreAdmin.11. Language of interview: Pre-election IW

Location: 357-357(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Question:

PreAdmin.11. Language of interview: Pre-election IW

Language of interview: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. English</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>96.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spanish</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both English and Spanish</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.22

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreAdmin.12a. Interview verification: Pre-election IW

Verification of interviews was conducted using review of audio-recorded files.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a verified case</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>35.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Verified case</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>64.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.12b. Interview evaluation: Pre-election IW

In the 2008 Time Series Study, an evaluation process for interviews that was separate from the verification process (see PreAdmin.12a) was not conducted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the 2008 Time Series Pre-election</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreAdmin.13. Sample release (Pre-election)

Sample Release (Pre-election)

A sample reserve of 160 cases was released on October 3, 2008. All other sample lines were released at the start of the field period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Main sample release at start of interviewing period</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td>97.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Reserve release on October 3, 2008</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.03
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.17

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082014 PreAdmin.14. Interview recorded: Pre-election IW

Location: 362-362 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreAdmin.14. Interview recorded: Pre-election IW

Interview recorded: Pre-election IW

This variable indicates whether the Pre-election interview had segments audio-recorded: for cases with consent given by the respondent, all open-ended responses were recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, interview recorded (consent given)</td>
<td>2208</td>
<td>95.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, not recorded (consent not given)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082015  PreAdmin.15. Respondent payment amount: Pre-election IW**

Location: 363-364(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9  
Question: PreAdmin.15. Respondent payment amount: Pre-election

Payment amount made: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. $25 payment to respondent</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>71.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. $50 payment to respondent</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Respondent refused payment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 32.22  
- Median: 25.00  
- Mode: 25.00  
- Minimum: 25.00  
- Maximum: 50.00  
- Standard Deviation: 11.33

Based upon 2320 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082016  PreAdmin.16. Respondent payment mode: Pre-election IW**

Location: 365-365(width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Question: PreAdmin.16. Respondent payment mode: Pre-election IW

Payment mode: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All payments were made in cash upon completion of the Pre-election IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 1.00
Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 366-366(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Question: PreAdmin.17a. Refusal made: Pre-election IW |

Refusal made: Pre-election IW

If 1 or more calls in the Pre-election call history indicated a refusal by anyone at the household, then this variable has been coded 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No refusal</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>84.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1 or more refusals</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>15.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 0.16
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 1.00
Standard Deviation: 0.36

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 367-368(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -1 |
| Question: PreAdmin.17b. Refusal conversion: Pre-election IW |

Refusal conversion: Pre-election IW

For the Pre-election IW, cases were not specifically flagged as ‘refusal conversion’ cases. Interview cases with 1 or more calls coded for refusal by a household member are indicated in PreAdmin.17a.
- Study 25383 -

### PreAdmin.18. Respondent incentive: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the Pre-election IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082018**

Location: 369-369(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

**Question:**

PreAdmin.18. Respondent incentive: Pre-election IW

Respondent incentive: Pre-election IW

respondent payment as indicated in PreAdmin.16a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No incentive was paid or given to the respondent in addition to the respondent payment as indicated in PreAdmin.16a.</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.19. Beginning time of IW: Pre-election IW

**V082019**

Location: 370-374(width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)

**Question:**

PreAdmin.19. Beginning time of IW: Pre-election IW

Beginning time: Pre-election IW

This is an alpha variable in format HH:MM for a 24-hour clock. This represents the time recorded on the CAPI laptop used to conduct the Pre-election interview; the laptop clock was set to EST however in some cases it is possible that the interviewer in a different time zone may have reset the clock to a different (local) time.

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreAdmin.20. Persuasion letter: Pre-election IW

Data for persuasion letters are not available for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the Pre-election IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.21a. COMMENT:SUMMARY: positive comment

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- 'I like to do things that HELP THE COMMUNITY'
- 'I ENJOY DOING SURVEYS'
- Other positive statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PreAdmin.22a-PreAdmin.22c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.21b. COMMENT:SUMMARY: time-delay comment
Question:

PreAdmin.21b. COMMENT:SUMMARY: time-delay comment

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'I am TOO BUSY/ I don't have time’
- 'This is a BAD TIME / Can you COME BACK LATER’
- 'Let me THINK ABOUT IT’
- Other time delay statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PreAdmin.22d-PreAdmin.22g.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.21c. COMMENT:SUMMARY: negative comment

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TIME'
- 'I DON'T TRUST SURVEYS'
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TAXPAYERS MONEY'
- 'NEVER DO SURVEYS’
- 'I'm NOT INTERESTED’
- Other negative statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PreAdmin.22h-PreAdmin.22p.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING about the survey topic'
- 'I'M NOT MARRIED/ I don't have a partner/ I don't have any children'
- 'I'M TOO YOUNG/ I'm TOO OLD'
- 'I don't vote/ I can't vote'
- Other eligibility statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PreAdmin.22q-PreAdmin.22u.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'You'll ask me too many PERSONAL QUESTIONS / I don't want to talk about these kinds of things'
- 'The GOVERNMENT ALREADY KNOWS EVERYTHING about me already'
- Other privacy/ sensitivity statements

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PreAdmin.22v-PreAdmin.22y.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022A**  
**PreAdmin.22a. Comment: positive - help community**

Location: 397-400(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PreAdmin.22a. Comment: positive - help community

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:  
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?  
- 'I like to do things that HELP THE COMMUNITY'

See also PreAdmin.21a.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022B**  
**PreAdmin.22b. Comment: positive - enjoy surveys**

Location: 401-404(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PreAdmin.22b. Comment: positive - enjoy surveys

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:  
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?  
- 'I ENJOY DOING SURVEYS'

See also PreAdmin.21a.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### PreAdmin.22c. Comment: other positive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>405-408(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PreAdmin.22c. Comment: other positive**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- Other positive statement

See also PreAdmin.21a.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.22d. Comment: time delay - too busy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>409-412(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PreAdmin.22d. Comment: time delay - too busy**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'I am TOO BUSY/ I don't have time'

See also PreAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.22e. Comment: time delay - bad time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>413-416(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PreAdmin.22e. Comment: time delay - bad time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>413-416(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'This is a BAD TIME / Can you COME BACK LATER'

See also PreAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.22f. Comment: time delay - think about it**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>417-420(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'Let me THINK ABOUT IT'

See also PreAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.22g. Comment: other time delay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>421-424(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the
informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- Other time delay statement

See also PreAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V082022H PreAdmin.22h. Comment: negative - waste of time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>425-428(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22h. Comment: negative - waste of time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TIME'

See also PreAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V082022J PreAdmin.22j. Comment: negative - don't trust surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>429-432(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22j. Comment: negative - don't trust surveys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'I DON'T TRUST SURVEYS'

See also PreAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022K**

**PreAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>433-436(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TAXPAYERS MONEY'

See also PreAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022M**

**PreAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>437-440(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'NEVER DO SURVEYS'

See also PreAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V082022N</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22n. Comment: negative - not interested</td>
<td>441-444</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-199</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22n. Comment: negative - not interested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER: Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments? - 'I'm NOT INTERESTED'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See also PreAdmin.21c. Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V082022P</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22p. Comment: other negative</td>
<td>445-448</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-199</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22p. Comment: other negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER: Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments? - Other negative statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See also PreAdmin.21c. Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V082022Q</td>
<td>PreAdmin.2qa. Comment: eligibility - don’t know about topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>449-452(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.2qa. Comment: eligibility - don't know about</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING about the survey topic'

See also PreAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>453-456(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22r. Comment: eligibility - no spouse/parn/child</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I'm NOT MARRIED/ I don't have a partner/ I don't have any children'

See also PreAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>457-460(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.22s. Comment: eligibility - too young/too old</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I'm NOT MARRIED/ I don't have a partner/ I don't have any children'

See also PreAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I'M TOO YOUNG/ I'm TOO OLD'

See also PreAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082022T PreAdmin.22t. Comment: eligibility - don't vote

Location: 461-464(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PreAdmin.22t. Comment: eligibility - don't vote

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I don't vote/ I can't vote'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082022U PreAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility

Location: 465-468(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PreAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- Other eligibility statement
See also PreAdmin.21d. Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022V** PreAdmin.22v. Comment: privacy - personal questions

Location: 469-472(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER: Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'You'll ask me too many PERSONAL QUESTIONS / I don't want to talk about these kinds of things'

See also PreAdmin.21e. Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082022W** PreAdmin.22w. Comment: privacy - govt knows everything

Location: 473-476(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER: Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'The GOVERNMENT ALREADY KNOWS EVERYTHING about me already'

See also PreAdmin.21e. Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
### V082022Y

**PreAdmin.22y. Comment: other privacy**

| Location: | 477-480(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- Other privacy/ sensitivity statements

See also PreAdmin.21e.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

### V0820223

**PreAdmin.23. DWELLING UNIT: type of structure: Pre-election**

| Location: | 481-484(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Type of structure
- Mobile home
- Detached single family
- Duplex
- Apartment building/Condo/townhouse complex
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082024**  
**PreAdmin.24. DWELLING UNIT: structure descript: Pre-election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>485-488(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PreAdmin.24. DWELLING UNIT: structure descript: Pre-election

Pre-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following best describes this structure
- Single family home
- Structure with 2 to 9 units
- Structure with 10 to 49 units
- Structure with 50 or more units
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082024A**  
**PreAdmin.24a. DWELLING UNIT: struct residential: Pre-electn**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>489-492(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PreAdmin.24a. DWELLING UNIT: struct residential: Pre-election

Pre-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Is this structure
- Entirely residential
- Primarily residential with some commercial or other non-residential
- Primarily commercial or other non-residential

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082025**  
**PreAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Pre-election**

- 132 -
PreAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following best describes the immediate area or street (one block, both sides) where the sample member/respondent lives?
- Rural farm
- Rural town
- Suburban
- Urban, residential only
- 3 or more commercial properties, mostly retail
- 3 or more commercial properties, mostly wholesale or industrial
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.26a. DWELLING UNIT: window pol signs: Pre-election

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Enter number of campaign or political signs visable in the structure's window(s)

(INSTRUCTION: enter 99 for unknown—outside gated community)

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### PreAdmin.26b. DWELLING UNIT: exterior pol signs: Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.26c. DWELLING UNIT: type pol signs: Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.27. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: access: Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Bars on the windows
- Crime watch or security system signs
- No Trespassing signs
- Beware of dog sign
- No solicitors sign
- Security Door- windowless metal primary entrance
- Guard/Door Person must call the unit
- Guard/Door Person must give access to building
- Guard at gate of community
- On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access
- No buzzer-locked main entrance/gate
- Buzzer no unit address labels -locked entrance
- Buzzer with address labels -locked entrance
- Threatening animal on or near the property

{Select all that apply}

Built from PreAdmin.27a-PreAdmin.27q.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082027A	PreAdmin.27a. DWELLING UNIT: bars on windows: Pre-election

Location: 513-516(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PreAdmin.27a. DWELLING UNIT: bars on windows: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Bars on the windows

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
PreAdmin.27b. DWELLING UNIT: crimewatch/sec systm: Pre-electn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.27b. DWELLING UNIT: crimewatch/sec systm: Pre-

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Crime watch or security system signs

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

V082027C

PreAdmin.27c. DWELLING UNIT: no trespassing: Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.27c. DWELLING UNIT: no trespassing: Pre-

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- No Trespassing signs

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082027D</th>
<th>PreAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Pre-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>525-528(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Pre-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Beware of dog sign

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082027E</th>
<th>PreAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Pre-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>529-532(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Pre-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- No solicitors sign

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreAdmin.27f. DWELLING UNIT: security door: Pre-election

**Location:** 533-536 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Security Door- windowless metal primary entrance

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.27g. DWELLING UNIT: call guard: Pre-election

**Location:** 537-540 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Guard/Door Person must call the unit

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.27h. DWELLING UNIT: access guard: Pre-election

**Location:** 541-544 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

**PreAdmin.27h. DWELLING UNIT: access guard: Pre-**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**

What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?

- Guard/Door Person must give access to building

See also PreAdmin.27.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027J**

**PreAdmin.27j. DWELLING UNIT: gate guard: Pre-election**

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

**PreAdmin.27j. DWELLING UNIT: gate guard: Pre-election**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**

What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?

- Guard at gate of community

See also PreAdmin.27.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027K**

**PreAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Pre-election**

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

**PreAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Pre-**
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027M PreAdmin.27m. DWELLING UNIT: no buzzer locked: Pre-election**

Location: 553-556(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- No buzzer-locked main entrance/gate

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027N PreAdmin.27n. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer no address: Pre-election**

Location: 557-560(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Buzzer no unit address labels -locked entrance
See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027P**

**PreAdmin.27p. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer w/address: Pre-election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>561-564(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.27p. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer w/address: Pre-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Buzzer with address labels -locked entrance

See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082027Q**

**PreAdmin.27q. DWELLING UNIT: threat eng animal: Pre-election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>565-568(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.27q. DWELLING UNIT: threat eng animal: Pre-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Threatening animal on or near the property
See also PreAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028**  
**PreAdmin.28. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: building: Pre-election**

Location: 569-572(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PreAdmin.28. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: building: Pre-election

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?  
- Missing roofing material(s)  
- Boarded up window(s)  
- Missing/broken out window(s)  
- Missing bricks/siding/outside wall material  
- Punched out/torn screens on windows  
- Door(s) off hinges  
- Peeling paint  
- Broken siding  
- Unkept yard (tall grass, overgrown bushes)  
- Litter, trash or other debris on lawn

(Select all that apply)

Built from PreAdmin.28a-PreAdmin.28k.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028A**  
**PreAdmin.28a. DWELLING UNIT: roofing missing: Pre-election**

Location: 573-576(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PreAdmin.28a. DWELLING UNIT: roofing missing: Pre-election

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Study 25383 -

- Missing roofing material(s)

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082028B</th>
<th>PreAdmin.28b. DWELLING UNIT: boarded windows: Pre-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>577-580(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.28b. DWELLING UNIT: boarded windows: Pre-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Are any of the following conditions of the building present? - Boarded up window(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082028C</th>
<th>PreAdmin.28c. DWELLING UNIT: broken windows: Pre-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>581-584(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreAdmin.28c. DWELLING UNIT: broken windows: Pre-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Are any of the following conditions of the building present? - Missing/broken out window(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028D**

**PreAdmin.28d. DWELLING UNIT: missing siding: Pre-election**

Location: 585-588(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PreAdmin.28d. DWELLING UNIT: missing siding: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Missing bricks/siding/outside wall material

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028E**

**PreAdmin.28e. DWELLING UNIT: torn screens: Pre-election**

Location: 589-592(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PreAdmin.28e. DWELLING UNIT: torn screens: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Punched out/torn screens on windows

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PreAdmin.28f. DWELLING UNIT: doors off hinges: Pre-election**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Door(s) off hinges

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.28g. DWELLING UNIT: peeling paint: Pre-election**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Peeling paint

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.28h. DWELLING UNIT: broken siding: Pre-election**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Broken siding

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Broken siding

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028J**
PreAdmin.28j. DWELLING UNIT: unkept yard: Pre-election

Location: 605-608(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PreAdmin.28j. DWELLING UNIT: unkept yard: Pre-election

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Unkept yard (tall grass, overgrown bushes)

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082028K**
PreAdmin.28k. DWELLING UNIT: litter/trash: Pre-election

Location: 609-612(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PreAdmin.28k. DWELLING UNIT: litter/trash: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Litter, trash or other debris on lawn

See also PreAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.29. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: area view: Pre-election

**Location:**

613-616(width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**

numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**

-199

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):

Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?

- Boarded houses or abandoned building
- Graffiti
- Abandoned cars
- Demolished houses
- Trash/Litter/junk in street/road
- Trash/litter/junk around buildings in neighborhood
- Factories or warehouses
- Stores or other retail outlets

Select all that apply

Built from PreAdmin.29-PreAdmin.29h.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreAdmin.29a. DWELLING UNIT: area boarded hses: Pre-election

**Location:**

617-620(width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**

numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**

-199

**Question:**

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):

Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?

- Boarded houses or abandoned building
See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Pre-election**

Location: 621-624(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

PreAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Pre-
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Graffiti

See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Pre-election**

Location: 625-628(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

PreAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Pre-
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Abandoned cars

See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
**V082029D**  
**PreAdmin.29d. DWELLING UNIT: area demolish hses: Pre-electn**

- **Location:** 629-632(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -199
- **Question:**

> PreAdmin.29d. DWELLING UNIT: area demolish hses: Pre-electn

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**  
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?  
- Demolished houses

See also PreAdmin.29.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V082029E**  
**PreAdmin.29e. DWELLING UNIT: trash in road: Pre-election**

- **Location:** 633-636(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -199
- **Question:**

> PreAdmin.29e. DWELLING UNIT: trash in road: Pre-election

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**  
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?  
- Trash/Litter/junk in street/road

See also PreAdmin.29.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V082029F**  
**PreAdmin.29f. DWELLING UNIT: trash by bldgs: Pre-election**
PreAdmin.29f. DWELLING UNIT: trash by bldgs: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Trash/litter/junk around buildings in neighborhood

See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.29g. DWELLING UNIT: factories near: Pre-

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Factories or warehouses

See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreAdmin.29h. DWELLING UNIT: stores near: Pre-election

Location: 645-648(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:
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PreAdmin.29h. DWELLING UNIT: stores near: Pre-election

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Stores or other retail outlets

See also PreAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082030  PreAdmin.30. DWELLING UNIT: relative condition: Pre-electn

Location: 649-652 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Describe the physical condition of the sample building relative to the other buildings on the same street/road:
Better
Same
Worse
No other buildings
Unknown-outside gated community

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082251  PrelWR.1. Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW

Location: 653-658 (width: 6; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4
Question:

PrelWR.1. Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW

Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Frequencies not displayed for this variable.

- Mean: 619137.17
- Median: 645481.00
- Mode: 661645.00
- Minimum: 429142.00
- Maximum: 686659.00
- Standard Deviation: 70068.78

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V082252 PreIWR.2. Interviewer gender: Pre-election IW

**Location:** 659-660 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -4

#### Question:

**Interviewer gender: Pre-election IW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male interviewer</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female interviewer</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>86.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.88
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.32

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V082253 PreIWR.3. Interviewer education: Pre-election IW

**Location:** 661-662 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -4

#### Question:

**Interviewer education: Pre-election IW**

Intervener education: Pre-election IW
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. High school level</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. College level</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.94
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Based upon 2105 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082254**  
**PreIWR.4. Interviewer race: Pre-election IW**

| Location: | 663-664(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**

PreIWR.4. Interviewer race: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White interviewer</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Nonwhite interviewer</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.14
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Based upon 2271 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082255**  
**PreIWR.5. Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW**

| Location: | 665-666(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**
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PreIWR.5. Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW

Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a Hispanic interviewer</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hispanic interviewer</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.39

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082256  PreIWR.6. Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW

Location: 667-668(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4
Question:

PreIWR.6. Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW

Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No language other than English</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Spanish language</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082257  PreIWR.7. Interviewer years experience: Pre-election IW

Location: 669-670(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4
Question:

PreIWR.7. Interviewer years experience: Pre-election

Interviewer years experience: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 0-5 years experience</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>44.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 6-10 years experience</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 11 or more years experience</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>21.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.77
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.79

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082258  
PreIWR.8. Interviewer age group: Pre-election IW

| Location: | 671-672(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

Question:

PreIWR.8. Interviewer age group: Pre-election IW

Interviewer age group: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Age group 18-34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Age group 35-50</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>22.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Age group 51-64</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>45.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Age group 65+</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Data not available</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.70

Based upon 1981 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreRandom.1. Forward or reverse coding

Forward or reverse order of response options for selected Pre-election questions

For a subset of Pre-election questions, respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options administered in either forward or reverse order:

LIST OF QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Forward order response options</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>49.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Reverse order response options</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>50.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.2. OLD or NEW subset of questions

Question version: "OLD" or "NEW" version

For a subset of Pre-election questions, respondents were randomly assigned to either an "OLD" (standard) version of the questions or else to "NEW" versions. All items in the subset for "OLD"/"NEW" assignment have a common assignment (to either "OLD" or "NEW") for all questions in the subset. Note that sometimes the number of questions corresponding to each version may not be the same.

LIST OF QUESTIONS OLD, NEW
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. OLD version</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. NEW version</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082403 PreRandom.3. VERSION A or B campaign interest

Location: 675-675(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:
PreRandom.3. VERSION A or B campaign interest

Question version: A or B

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard campaign interest question A1a (VERSION A) or to new campaign interest questions A1b1 and A1b2 (VERSION B). Note that the A/B randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election campaign interest questions only and is independent of the random assignment in PreRandom.2 ("OLD"/"NEW").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION A</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION B</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082404 PreRandom.4. Order of Presidential candidate likes-dislikes

Location: 676-676(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question:

PreRandom.4. Order of Presidential candidate likes-dislikes

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of candidate likes-dislikes questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-d) or the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-d) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.5. Order Presidential candidate thermometers

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b2) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PreRandom.6a. Order Dem Vice Presidential thermometer

Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order. The thermometer for Democratic Vice Presidential candidate was administered with stand-in name "Jim Webb" (29 cases) until the final candidate name, "Joe Biden," was decided early in September (after the field period had already commenced).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PreRandom.6b. Order Rep Vice Presidential thermometer

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

PreRandom.6b. Order Rep Vice Presidential

Order for Republican Vice Presidential candidate thermometer (B1c2)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order. The thermometer for Republican Vice Presidential candidate was administered with stand-in name “Tim Pawlenty” (29 cases) until the final candidate name, “Sarah Palin,” was decided early in September (after the field period had already commenced).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.57
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.6c. Order Hillary Clinton thermometer

Order for Hillary Clinton thermometer (B1d)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.49
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreRandom.6e. Order Condoleezza thermometer

Order for Condoleezza Rice thermometer (B1f)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>16.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.54
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082406F PreRandom.6f. Order Rush Limbaugh thermometer

Location: 683-683(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

PreRandom.6f. Order Rush Limbaugh thermometer

Order for Rush Limbaugh thermometer (B1g)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g (6 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>17.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.44
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082407</th>
<th>PreRandom.7. Order Party thermometers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>684-684(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.7. Order Party thermometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Order of Republican Party / Democratic Party thermometers (B1h/B1j)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Party thermometer (B1h) or the Republican party thermometer (B1j) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Party first</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Party first</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082408</th>
<th>PreRandom.6a. Order Party Likes-Dislikes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>685-685(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.6a. Order Party Likes-Dislikes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Order of Democratic Party / Republican Party in administration of party likes-dislikes questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic party likes-dislikes (C1a-d) or the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (C2a-d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082409 PreRandom.10. Order Pres cands in affects**

**Location:** 686-686(width: 1; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Question:**

PreRandom.10. Order Pres cands in affects

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate administration of candidate affects questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or the Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d1) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
PreRandom.10a. Order of Pres cand affect ANGRY

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate affects questions (D1a-D1d1 and D2a-D2d1). The order of affects was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. ANGRY 1st affect</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>25.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. ANGRY 2nd affect</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. ANGRY 3rd affect</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>26.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. ANGRY 4th affect</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>23.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.10b. Order of Pres cand affect HOPEFUL

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate affects questions (D1a-D1d1 and D2a-D2d1). The order of affects

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. HOPEFUL 1st affect</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>25.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. HOPEFUL 2nd affect</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>25.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. HOPEFUL 3rd affect</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. HOPEFUL 4th affect</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.48  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082410C PreRandom.10c. Order of Pres cand affect AFRAID**

| Location: 689-689(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Question: PreRandom.10c. Order of Pres cand affect AFRAID |

Order of affect AFRAID in administration of candidate affects questions

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate affects questions (D1a-D1d1 and D2a-D2d1). The order of affects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. AFRAID 1st affect</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>25.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. AFRAID 2nd affect</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>23.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. AFRAID 3rd affect</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>24.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. AFRAID 4th affect</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>25.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.13
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V082410D**

**PreRandom.10d. Order of Pres cand affect PROUD**

| Location: | 690-690(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.10d. Order of Pres cand affect PROUD |

Order of affect PROUD in administration of candidate affects questions

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate affects questions (D1a-D1d1 and D2a-D2d1). The order of affects was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. PROUD 1st affect</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. PROUD 2nd affect</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. PROUD 3rd affect</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. PROUD 4th affect</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

---

**V082411**

**PreRandom.11. Order of Dem-Rep Pres cand libcon placements**

| Location: | 691-691(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.11. Order of Dem-Rep Pres cand libcon |

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of liberal-conservative scale placements

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate liberal-conservative scale placement (E2a) or the Republican Presidential candidate liberal-conservative scale placement (E2b) asked first.

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082412 PreRandom.12. Order of Dem-Rep party libcon placements

| Location: | 692-692(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

Order of Democratic Party / Republican Party in administration of liberal-conservative scale placements

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic party liberal-conservative scale placement (E3a) or the Republican party liberal-conservative scale placement (E3b) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>50.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>49.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082413 PreRandom.13. VERSION C or D efficacy items

| Location: | 693-693(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.13. VERSION C or D efficacy items |

PreRandom.13. VERSION C or D efficacy items

Question version: C or D

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy questions E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). Note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION C</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION D</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.14. VERSION E or F economy items

| Location: | 694-694(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)                  |
| Question: | PreRandom.14. VERSION E or F economy items |

Question version: E or F

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy questions F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b-F2b2a (VERSION F). Note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the random assignment in PreRandom.2 ("OLD"/"NEW").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION F</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082415**  
**PreRandom.15. Order Dem-Rep Pres cands- Version F econ items**

| Location: | 695-696(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -1 |

**Question:**

PreRandom.15. Order Dem-Rep Pres cands- Version F econ

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of version F economy questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy questions F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b-F2b2a (VERSION F). Note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the random assignment in PreRandom.2 ("OLD"/"NEW").

This variable provides the order in which questions were asked about the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates in the VERSION F economy questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>24.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>24.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1129 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082416**  
**PreRandom.16. Order of unempl/inflation economy items**

| Location: | 697-697(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

**Question:**

PreRandom.16. Order of unempl/inflation economy items
Order of unemployment and inflation questions

Respondents were assigned to have the order of parallel economy questions on unemployment (F3-F4) and inflation (F5-F6) randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Unemployment first</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Inflation first</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 698-698(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.17. VERSION G or H social trust items |

PreRandom.17. VERSION G or H social trust items

Question version: G or H

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard social trust question F8a (VERSION G) or to new social trust questions F8b (VERSION H). Note that the G/H randomized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION G</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION H</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PreRandom.18. Order of parties in text of party performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>699-699(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.18. Order of parties in text of party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Democratic party / Republican party in question text of party performance questions

This variable provides the order in which the names of the two major parties were included in the text of the party performance questions (G1,G2a/G2b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>49.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>50.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PreRandom.19. VERSION P or Q party war performance question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>700-700(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.19. VERSION P or Q party war performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question version: P or Q

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard party war performance question G2a (VERSION P) or to new party war performance question G2b (VERSION Q). Note that the P/Q randomized version assignment is specific to these Pre-election party war performance questions only and is independent of the random assignment in PreRandom.2 ("OLD"/"NEW").
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION P</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION Q</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50  

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082420</th>
<th>PreRandom.20. Order of parties in text of party id question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Location: 701-701(width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Question: PreRandom.20. Order of parties in text of party id  
Order of Democratic party / Republican party in question text of party identification question  
This variable provides the order in which the names of the two major parties were included in the text of the party identification question (J1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50  

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082421</th>
<th>PreRandom.21. VERSION J or K traits questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Location: 702-702(width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Question: PreRandom.21. VERSION J or K traits questions |
Question version: J or K

For traits of the Presidential candidates and the President, respondents were randomly assigned to the standard traits questions K1a1-K1a7, K2a1-K2a7 and R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or to new party traits questions K1b1-K1b7, K2b1-K2b7 and R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K).

Note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082422  PreRandom.22. Order of Dem and Rep Pres cands for traits

Location:  703-703(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Question:

PreRandom.22. Order of Dem and Rep Pres cands for

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of traits questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate traits or the Republican Presidential candidate traits asked first, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>50.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>49.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreRandom.23a. Order of Pres cand trait MORAL

**V082423A**

| Location: | 704-704(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.23a. Order of Pres cand trait MORAL |

Order of trait MORAL in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7.

The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. MORAL 1st trait</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. MORAL 2nd trait</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. MORAL 3rd trait</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. MORAL 4th trait</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. MORAL 5th trait</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. MORAL 6th trait</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. MORAL 7th trait</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.00
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PreRandom.23b. Order of Pres cand trait STRONG LEADERSHIP

**V082423B**

| Location: | 705-705(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
Question:

PreRandom.23b. Order of Pres cand trait STRONG

Order of trait PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 1st trait</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>15.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 2nd trait</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 3rd trait</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 4th trait</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 5th trait</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 6th trait</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP 7th trait</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082423C PreRandom.23c. Order of Pres cand trait REALLY CARES

Location: 706-706(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Question:

PreRandom.23c. Order of Pres cand trait REALLY CARES

Order of trait REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.
candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. REALLY CARES 1st trait</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. REALLY CARES 2nd trait</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. REALLY CARES 3rd trait</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. REALLY CARES 4th trait</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. REALLY CARES 5th trait</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. REALLY CARES 6th trait</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. REALLY CARES 7th trait</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.05
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 7.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.03

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082423D PreRandom.23d. Order of Pres cand trait KNOWLEDGEABLE

Location: 707-707(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.23d. Order of Pres cand trait KNOWLEDGEABLE

Order of trait KNOWLEDGEABLE in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. KNOWLEDGEABLE 1st trait</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. KNOWLEDGEABLE 2nd trait</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>15.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. KNOWLEDGEABLE 3rd trait</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. KNOWLEDGEABLE 4th trait</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. KNOWLEDGEABLE 5th trait</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. KNOWLEDGEABLE 6th trait</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. KNOWLEDGEABLE 7th trait</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PreRandom.23e. Order of Pres cand trait INTELLIGENT

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. INTELLIGENT 1st trait</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. INTELLIGENT 2nd trait</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. INTELLIGENT 3rd trait</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. INTELLIGENT 4th trait</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>13.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. INTELLIGENT 5th trait</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. INTELLIGENT 6th trait</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. INTELLIGENT 7th trait</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PreRandom.23f. Order of Pres cand trait HONEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. HONEST</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. HONEST</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. HONEST</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. HONEST</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>13.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. HONEST</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. HONEST</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. HONEST</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PreRandom.23f. Order of Pres cand trait HONEST

Order of trait HONEST in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. HONEST 1st trait</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. HONEST 2nd trait</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. HONEST 3rd trait</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. HONEST 4th trait</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. HONEST 5th trait</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. HONEST 6th trait</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. HONEST 7th trait</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.03
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.23g. Order of Pres cand trait OPTIMISTIC

Order of trait OPTIMISTIC in administration of Presidential candidate traits

Respondents were assigned a random order for the administration of the Presidential candidate traits questions, in K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 or in K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7.
and K2b1-K2b7. The order of traits was the same for both Presidential candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. OPTIMISTIC 1st trait</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. OPTIMISTIC 2nd trait</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. OPTIMISTIC 3rd trait</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. OPTIMISTIC 4th trait</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. OPTIMISTIC 5th trait</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. OPTIMISTIC 6th trait</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. OPTIMISTIC 7th trait</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>711-711(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of services-spending questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate services-spending questions or the Republican Presidential candidate services-spending questions asked first, in N1c1 and N1c2 or in N1f1 and N1f2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1185</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082425</th>
<th>PreRandom.25. Order of Dem-Rep Pres defense spend placements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>712-712(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.25. Order of Dem-Rep Pres defense spend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of defense spending questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate defense spending questions or the Republican Presidential candidate defense spending questions asked first, in N2c1 and N2c2 or in N2f1 and N2f2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>48.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>51.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.52
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>713-713(width: 1; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of government health insurance questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Democratic Presidential candidate health insurance questions or the Republican Presidential candidate health insurance questions asked first, in N3c1 and N3c2 or in N3h1 and N3h2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>50.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>49.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082427 PreRandom.27. Order of Dem-Rep Pres guar jobs placements**

Location: 714-714(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

PreRandom.27. Order of Dem-Rep Pres guar jobs

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of guaranteed jobs questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate guaranteed jobs questions or the Republican Presidential candidate guaranteed jobs questions asked first, in N4c1 and N4c2 or in N4h1 and N4h2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>49.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreRandom.28. Order of Dem-Rep Pres aid to blacks placements

Location: 715-715(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.28. Order of Dem-Rep Pres aid to blacks
Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of aid to blacks questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate aid to blacks question (N5c1) or the Republican Presidential candidate aid to blacks question (N5c2) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.29a. Order of SOCIAL SECURITY federal spend item

Location: 716-717(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.29a. Order of SOCIAL SECURITY federal spend
Order of SOCIAL SECURITY in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PreRandom.29b. Order of PUBLIC SCHOOLS federal spending item

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

### V082429B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.96
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.24

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PreRandom.29c. Order of SCIENCE-TECHNGY federal spend item**

| Location: | 720-721(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.29c. Order of SCIENCE-TECHNGY federal spend |

**Order of SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY in administration of federal spending items**

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.93
PreRandom.29d. Order of CRIME federal spending item

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -

- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.10

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PreRandom.29e. Order of WELFARE federal spending item

Order of WELFARE in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.97
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.17

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.29f. Order of CHILD CARE federal spending item

Order of CHILD CARE in administration of federal spending items
The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.95
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.15

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082429G PreRandom.29g. Order of FOREIGN AID federal spending item

Location: 728-729(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.29g. Order of FOREIGN AID federal spending

Order of FOREIGN AID in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.
### V082429H PreRandom.29h. Order of AID TO POOR federal spending item

| Location: | 730-731(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | PreRandom.29h. Order of AID TO POOR federal spending |

Order of AID TO THE POOR in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.07
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.11

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082429J  PreRandom.29j. Order of BORDER SECURITY federal spend item

Location: 732-733(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.29j. Order of BORDER SECURITY federal

Order of TIGHTENING THE BORDER AGAINST ILLEGAL ALIENS in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 6.04  
Median: 6.00  
Mode: 7.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 11.00  
Standard Deviation: 3.18  

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V082429K PreRandom.29k. Order of WAR ON TERRORISM federal spend item**

Location: 734-735(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: PreRandom.29k. Order of WAR ON TERRORISM federal

Order of WAR ON TERRORISM in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 6.10  
Median: 6.00  
Mode: 11.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 11.00  
Standard Deviation: 3.21  

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Order of PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT in administration of federal spending items

The order in which spending programs were administered in the federal spending questions (P1b-P1n1) was randomized. P1a (highways) was fixed as the first federal spending item; the order here indicates the order of the spending items which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. 1st federal spending item</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd federal spending item</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd federal spending item</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. 4th federal spending item</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. 5th federal spending item</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. 6th federal spending item</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. 7th federal spending item</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. 8th federal spending item</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. 9th federal spending item</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. 10th federal spending item</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. 11th federal spending item</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.85
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.13

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
candidate in administration of environment-jobs questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential environment-jobs question or the Republican Presidential candidate environment-jobs question asked first, in P4c1-P4c2 or in P4f1-P4f2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>51.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>49.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V082431</th>
<th>PreRandom.31. Order of Dem-Rep Pres womens role placements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>739-740(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.31. Order of Dem-Rep Pres womens role</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of women's role questions

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential women's role question (P7c1) or the Republican Presidential candidate women's role question (P7c2) asked first.

Women's role questions are standard version (VERSION OLD) only (see PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>25.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>24.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48
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- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082432  PreRandom.32. VERSION R or S election of black President

| Location:  | 741-741(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

**Question:**

PreRandom.32. VERSION R or S election of black

**Question version:** R or S

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two versions for questions on election of a black president, in Q2a1-Q2a2 (VERSION R) or Q2b1-Q2b2 (VERSION S).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION R</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION S</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>48.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V082433A  PreRandom.33a. Order stereotype racial group BLACKS

| Location:  | 742-742(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

**Question:**

PreRandom.33a. Order stereotype racial group BLACKS

Order BLACKS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions X10b-X10d and X11b-X11d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions.
WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.04  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PreRandom.33b. Order stereotype racial group HISPANICS

| Location: 743-743(width: 1; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Question: PreRandom.33b. Order stereotype racial group HISPANICS  
Order HISPANIC-AMERICANS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions X10b-X10d and X11b-X11d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions. WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.98  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00
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- Standard Deviation: 0.81

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>744-744(width: 1; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.33c. Order stereotype racial group ASIANS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order ASIAN-AMERICANS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions X10b-X10d and X11b-X11d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions. WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>33.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>33.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>745-745(width: 1; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PreRandom.34. VERSION M or N marital status question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question version: M or N

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard-wording marital status question Y2a or to new-wording question Y2b.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION M</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION N</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083001A**  
**A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION A]**

| Location: | 746-747(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

Question:

A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION A]

IF R SELECTED FOR CAMPAIGN INTEREST QUESTION VERSION A: Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH interested, SOMewhat interested or NOT MUCH interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard campaign interest question A1a (VERSION A) or to new campaign interest question A1b (VERSION B). See PreRandom.3 (note that the A/B randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election campaign interest questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very much interested</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat interested</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much interested</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION B</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 1179 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083001B**  
A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION B]

| Location: | 748-749(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |
| Question: | A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION B] |

**IF R SELECTED FOR CAMPAIGN INTEREST QUESTION VERSION B:**

How interested are you in information about what's going on in government and politics?
EXTREMELY INTERESTED, VERY INTERESTED, MODERATELY INTERESTED, SLIGHTLY INTERESTED, or NOT INTERESTED AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard campaign interest question A1a (VERSION A) or to new campaign interest question A1b (VERSION B). See PreRandom.3 (note that the A/B randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election campaign interest questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely interested</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very interested</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately interested</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly interested</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not interested at all</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION A</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>50.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 2.53
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 2.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 1143 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083002</th>
<th>A2. How much has R thought about election for President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>750-751(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>How much have you thought about the upcoming elections for president? QUITE A LOT or ONLY A LITTLE?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Quite a lot</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>73.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Only a little</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>26.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.07
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.77

Based upon 2319 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083003</th>
<th>A3. Does R know where to go to vote in neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>752-753(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Do you happen to know where people who live in your neighborhood go to vote?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A4. Has R ever voted in precinct or election district

**Location:** 754-755(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

A4. Has R ever voted in precinct or election district

**IF R DID NOT INDICATE VOTE BY MAIL ONLY:** Have you ever voted in your precinct or election district?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. R VOL: Vote by mail only</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7 in A3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.36
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 2295 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### A5. How often does R vote

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. R VOL: Vote by mail only</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A5. How often does R vote

How often would you say you vote? ALWAYS, NEARLY ALWAYS, PART OF THE TIME, or SELDOM?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Always</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>33.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Nearly always</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>26.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Part of the time</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Seldom</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>26.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.32
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 2308 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A6. How definite is R's intention to vote or not

If "1" represents someone who will DEFINITELY NOT VOTE and "10" represents someone who DEFINITELY WILL VOTE, where on this scale would you place yourself?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Definitely will not vote</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Definitely will vote</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.83
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.96

Based upon 2316 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083007 A7. Did R vote for President in 2004

Location: 760-761(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

In 2004 John Kerry ran on the Democratic ticket against George W. Bush for the Republicans. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, voted</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, didn't vote</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.47
Based upon 2279 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.


Location: 762-763(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

**Question:**


IF R SAYS VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 2004:
Which one did you vote for?

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. John Kerry</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>31.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. George W. Bush</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>26.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 inA7</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>37.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.05
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Based upon 1398 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083008  A8a. Is there anything R likes about Democratic Pres cand**

Location: 764-765(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

A8a. Is there anything R likes about Democratic Pres

Is there anything in particular about BARACK OBAMA that might make you want to vote for him?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>64.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>34.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Based upon 2294 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A8b. What is it that R likes about Democratic Pres cand

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND: (What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083010 A8c. Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand**

Location: 768-769(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A8c. Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic

Is there anything in particular about BARACK OBAMA that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.36
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 2294 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083011 A8d. What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand**

Location: 770-771(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3
A8d. What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:
(What is that?)

(PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A9a. Is there anything R likes about Republican Pres cand

Is there anything in particular about JOHN MCCAIN that might make you want to vote for him?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>38.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>59.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2286 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083013**

**A9b. What is it that R likes about Republican Pres cand**

Location: 774-775 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3
Question:

A9b. What is it that R likes about Republican Pres

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR REPUBLICAN PRES CAND: (What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d) or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083014**

**A9c. Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Pres cand**

Location: 776-777 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A9c. Is there anything R dislikes about Republican

Is there anything in particular about JOHN MCCAIN that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either
the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d)
or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes
(A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1369</td>
<td>58.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>39.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.61
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 2289 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083015  A9d. What is it that R dislikes about Republican Pres cand

Location: 778-779(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3

Question:

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:
(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either
the Republican Presidential candidate likes-dislikes (A8a-A8d)
or the Democratic Presidential candidate likes-dislikes
(A9a-A9d) first. See PreRandom.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A10a. Will R feel happy or sad if Democratic Pres cand won</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Happy</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>49.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Sad</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither happy nor sad</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>35.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.72
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.78

Based upon 2302 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A10a1. How happy/sad will R be if Democratic Pres cand won

IF R SAYS R WOULD BE HAPPY IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND WINS IN NOVEMBER/ IF R SAYS R WOULD BE SAD IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND WINS IN NOVEMBER: EXTREMELY happy, MODERATELY happy, or SLIGHTLY happy? / EXTREMELY sad, MODERATELY sad, or SLIGHTLY sad?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>35.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,-8,-9 in A10a</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.08
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 1487 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083016X  A10a1x. SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Democratic Pres cand won

Location: 784-785(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question: A10a1x. SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Democratic Pres cand won

SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Democratic Pres cand won

Built from A10a and A10a1.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely happy</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately happy</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>17.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly happy</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither happy nor sad</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>35.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly sad</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Moderately sad</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely sad</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (A10a or A10a1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (A10a or A10a1)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 3.14  
Median: 4.00  
Mode: 4.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 7.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2299 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>786-787</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
<td>A10b. Will R feel happy or sad if Republican Pres cand won</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If JOHNMCCAIN wins the presidential election in November, will you feel HAPPY, SAD or NEITHER HAPPY NOR SAD?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Happy</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>23.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Sad</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither happy nor sad</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>41.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 4.90  
Median: 5.00  
Mode: 7.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 7.00  
Standard Deviation: 2.34

Based upon 2296 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>788-789</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
<td>A10b1a. How happy/sad will R be if Republican Pres cand won</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IF R SAYS R WOULD BE HAPPY IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND WINS IN NOVEMBER/IF R SAYS R WOULD BE SAD IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND WINS IN NOVEMBER: EXTREMELY happy, MODERATELY happy, or SLIGHTLY happy? /</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTREMELY sad, MODERATELY sad, or SLIGHTLY sad?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,-8,-9 in A10b</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1326 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083017X

**A10b1x. SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Republican Pres cand won**

Location: 790-791(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A10b1x. SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Republican Pres cand

SUMMARY: R happy/sad if Republican Pres cand won

Built from A10b and A10b1.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely happy</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately happy</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A11. Does R have access to the internet

Do you have access to the internet or the World Wide Web?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.22
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 2321 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A11b. Days past week watched natl news on TV [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you watch the NATIONAL network news on TV?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.61
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.75

Based upon 1153 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083019A A11b1. Attention to national (network) news [OLD]

Location: 796-797(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:
A11b1. Attention to national (network) news [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

Please look at page 1:2 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on NATIONAL news shows about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1; note that the Respondent Booklet page reference differs for the reverse-order version (page 2) compared to the forward-order version (page 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW; 0,-8,-9 in A11b</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>60.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.30
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 910 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 798-799(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |
A11c1a. Days past wk watched loc TV news aft/early eve

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you watch the LOCAL TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the LATE AFTERNOON or EARLY-EVENING?

(PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER)

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>12.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.39
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.69

Based upon 1155 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083020B A11c1b. Days past wk watched local TV news late eve [OLD]

| Location: 800-801(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1 |
| Question: A11c1b. Days past wk watched local TV news late eve |
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you watch the LOCAL TV news shows in the LATE EVENING?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre-media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.69

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083020C**
**A11c2. Attention to local news [OLD]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>802-803(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A11c2. Attention to local news [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":

- 217 -
**A11c1a and A11c1b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW; 0,-8,-9 in both</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Based upon 965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083021A</th>
<th>A11d1a. Days in past week read a daily newspaper [OLD]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>804-805(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A11d1a. Days in past week read a daily newspaper [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":**

- Study 25383 -

IF NUMBER OF DAYS IS NOT 0/DK/RF FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL TV NEWS:
(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.) How much attention do you pay to news on LOCAL news shows about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1; note that the Respondent Booklet page reference differs for the reverse-order version (page 2) compared to the forward-order version (page 1).
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>19.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.67

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083021B**  
**A11d1b. Days past week read a daily online newspaper [OLD]**

Location: 806-807(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question: A11d1b. Days past week read a daily online newspaper

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":  
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper on the Internet?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}
This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre-media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>37.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.01  
- Median: 0.00  
- Mode: 0.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.05

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083021C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
<th>numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>808-809(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A11d2. Did R read about campaign in newspaper [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":**

**IF R READ PRINT NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK / IF R READ ONLINE NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS PAST WK:**

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Premedia questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

A11d1a and A11d1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW; 0,-8,-9 in both</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>65.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.33
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 800 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083021D  A11d2a. Attention to newspaper articles [OLD]

Location: 810-811(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: A11d2a. Attention to newspaper articles [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF R READ PRINT NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK /
IF R READ ONLINE NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS PAST WK:
IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles about the
campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY
LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1; note that the Respondent Booklet page reference differs for the reverse-order version (page 2) compared to the forward-order version (page 1).

A11d1a and A11d1b; 5,-8,-9 in A11d2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW; 0,-8,-9 in both</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>77.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.56
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 533 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083022 A11e. Days in past week listen to radio news [OLD]

Location: 812-813(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

A11e. Days in past week listen to radio news [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
How many days in the PAST WEEK did you listen to news on the radio?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>24.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.21
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 0.00
• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.64

Based upon 1154 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083022A A11e1. Attention to radio news [OLD]

Location: 814-815(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

A11e1. Attention to radio news [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF R LISTENED TO NEWS ON THE RADIO ANY DAY DURING THE PAST WEEK:
(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to news on the radio about the
campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY
LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a
are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1; note that the Respondent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version NEW; 0,-8,-9 in A11e</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>74.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.62  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 593 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083023**  
V083023  
A12a1. Days in typical week review news on internet [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>816-817(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

A12a1. Days in typical week review news on internet

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
During a typical week, how many days do you watch, read, or listen to news on the Internet, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>25.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.79

Based upon 1167 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083023A A12a1a. Time in typical day review news on internet [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>818-820(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

A12a1a. Time in typical day review news on internet

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":**

**IF NUMBER OF DAYS ATTENDING NEWS ON INTERNET IS MORE THAN 0:**

On a typical day when you watch, read, or listen to news on the internet, about how much time do you spend watching or reading news on the internet, not including sports?

{ENTER in order hours, minutes.}

ENTER HOURS:  (enter 0 if minutes only)
ENTER MINUTES:  (enter 0 if hours only)}

This variable provides the total number of minutes in the respondent's mention of hours and minutes, as (hours*60)+minutes.

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a
are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12a1</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 55.96
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 60.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 543.00
- Standard Deviation: 65.52

Based upon 567 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083023B</th>
<th>A12a1a1. Attention to internet news [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>821-822(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A12a1a1. Attention to internet news [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF NUMBER OF DAYS ATTENDING NEWS ON INTERNET IS MORE THAN 0:
How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on
the Internet? A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE,
or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a
are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12a1</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V083024**  
A12b. Days in typical week watch news on TV [NEW]

**Location:**  
823-824(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**  
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**  
-9, -8, -1

**Question:**

A12b. Days in typical week watch news on TV [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION “NEW”:
During a typical week, how many days do you watch news on TV, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either “OLD” or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)  
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.02  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  

Based upon 557 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

- Study 25383 -
A12b1. Time in typical day watch news on TV [NEW]

Location: 825-828(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF NUMBER OF DAYS R WATCHES NEWS ON TV IS MORE THAN 0:
On a typical day when you watch news on TV, about how much time do you spend watching news on TV, not including sports

{ENTER in order hours, minutes.
ENTER HOURS: (enter 0 if minutes only)
ENTER MINUTES: (enter 0 if hours only)}

This variable provides the total number of minutes in the respondent's mention of hours and minutes, as (hours*60)+minutes.
Case 1940 gave a response of 2 for number of hours, and a response "don't know" for number of minutes. For case number of hours mention).
This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12b</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>54.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 79.97
**A12b1a. Attention to TV news [NEW]**

**Question:** How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on TV? A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12b</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 2.78  
- **Median:** 3.00  
- **Mode:** 3.00  
- **Minimum:** 1.00  
- **Maximum:** 5.00  
- **Standard Deviation:** 1.12
Based upon 1063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083025</th>
<th>A12c. Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>831-832(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A12c. Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":**

During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>444 19.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>One day</td>
<td>170 7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Two days</td>
<td>108 4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Three days</td>
<td>80 3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Four days</td>
<td>43 1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Five days</td>
<td>57 2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Six days</td>
<td>36 1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Seven days</td>
<td>228 9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>INAP, R selected for version OLD</td>
<td>1156 49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.74

Based upon 1166 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A12c1. Time in typical day read news in print newspaper [NEW]

Location: 833-835(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

A12c1. Time in typical day read news in print newspaper

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF NUMBER OF DAYS R READS PRINT NEWSPAPER IS MORE THAN 0:
On a typical day when you read news in a printed newspaper, about
how much time do you spend reading news in a printed newspaper,
not including sports?

{ENTER in order hours, minutes.
ENTER HOURS: (enter 0 if minutes only)
ENTER MINUTES: (enter 0 if hours only)}

This variable provides the total number of minutes in
the respondent's mention of hours and minutes, as
(hours*60)+minutes.
This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a
are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12c</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>68.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 46.01
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 900.00
- Standard Deviation: 53.30

Based upon 719 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083025B**

**A12c1a. Attention to printed newspaper news [NEW]**

| Location: | 836-837(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

A12c1a. Attention to printed newspaper news [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF NUMBER OF DAYS R READS PRINT NEWSPAPER >0:
How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in printed newspapers? A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12c</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>69.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.07
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 3.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 717 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083026**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A12d. Days in typical week listen news on radio [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 838-839(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

A12d. Days in typical week listen news on radio [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on the radio, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF "every day" ASK FOR NUMBER}

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.32
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.76

Based upon 1167 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083026A**

**A12d1. Time in typical day listen news on radio [NEW]**

**Location:**
840-842(width: 3; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -8, -1

**Question:**

A12d1. Time in typical day listen news on radio [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":

IF NUMBER OF DAYS R LISTENS TO NEWS ON RADIO IS MORE THAN 0:

On a typical day when you listen to news on the radio, about how much time do you spend listening to news on the radio, not including sports?

{ENTER in order hours, minutes.
ENTER HOURS: (enter 0 if minutes only)
ENTER MINUTES: (enter 0 if hours only)}

This variable provides the total number of minutes in the respondent's mention of hours and minutes, as (hours*60)+minutes.

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a
are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12d</td>
<td>1737</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 63.79
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 960.00
- Standard Deviation: 89.25

Based upon 581 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 843-844(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1 | Question: |

A12d1a. Attention to radio news [NEW]

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":**

**IF NUMBER OF DAYS R LISTENS TO NEWS ON RADIO IS MORE THAN 0:**

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the radio? A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Pre-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A11b-A11e1 are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A12a-A12d1a are "NEW". See PreRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for version OLD; 0,-8,-9 in A12d</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>75.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96
A13. Are things in the country on right track

Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the RIGHT DIRECTION, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the WRONG TRACK?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Right direction</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Wrong track</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>88.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A14a. Approve/disapprove President handling job as Pres

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Unweighted Frequency
- %

- Mean: 4.08
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Based upon 2248 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14a1</td>
<td>How much approve/disapp Pres handling job</td>
<td>849-850</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
<td>A14a1. How much approve/disapp Pres handling job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A14a</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.15
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 2244 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14a1x</td>
<td>SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling job</td>
<td>851-852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- - 240 -
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A14a1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling job
SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling job
Built from A14a and A14a1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td>59.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14a or A14a1)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in A14a or A14a1)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.06  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Based upon 2244 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083029  
**A14b. Approve/disapprove President handling economy**

Location: 853-854(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A14b. Approve/disapprove President handling economy

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the economy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>79.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 4.33  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 2230 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083029A</th>
<th>A14b1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>855-856(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A14b1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>73.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>22.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A14b</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.95  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2227 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083029X</th>
<th>A14b1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>857-858(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A14b1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Built from A14b and A14b1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>65.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14b or A14b1)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in A14b or A14b1)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.27  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2227 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 859-860(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8 |

V083030  
A14c. Approve/disapprove President handling foreign relation

Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling relations with foreign countries?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>70.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.94  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 2212 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A14c1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling foreign rel

IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:
Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /
Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>72.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A14c</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.95
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2208 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A14c1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling foreign rel

SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling foreign rel

Built from A14c and A14c1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>57.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V083031 A14d. Approve/disapprove President handling the environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14c or A14c1)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in A14c or A14c1)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.93
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 2208 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083031 A14d1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.66
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 2023 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING THE ENVIRONMENT:
Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /
Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in A14d</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.40
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 2020 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083031X | A14d1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling environment
Location: 869-870(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

A14d1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling

SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling environment

Built from A14d and A14d1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14d or A14d1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in A14d or A14d1)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.67
- Median: 4.00
- Study 25383 -

- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Based upon 2020 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083032

**A14e. Approve/disapprove President handling health care**

| Location: | 871-872(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

**Question:**
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling health care?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>69.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.02
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 2132 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083032A

**A14e1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling health care**

| Location: | 873-874(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**
IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING HEALTH CARE: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V083032X
**A14e1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling health care**

- Location: 875-876 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): 
- Question: A14e1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling health

SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling health care

Built from A14e and A14e1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>56.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14e or A14e1)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in A14e or A14e1)</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.00
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 2125 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

### A14f. Approve/disapprove President handling war in Iraq

- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A14e</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.07
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Based upon 2125 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A14f. Approve/disapprove President handling war in

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the war in Iraq?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.05  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2257 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083033A  
A14f1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling war in Iraq

Location: 879-880 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question: A14f1. How much approve/disapprove Pres handling war

IF R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF GW BUSH HANDLING THE WAR IN IRAQ:  
Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?  /  
Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A14f</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.61
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 2253 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14f1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling war in Iraq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 881-882(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: A14f1x. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling war in
SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Pres handling war in Iraq
Built from A14f and A14f1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>66.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14f or A14f1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in A14f or A14f1)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.05
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 2253 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A15. Care who wins House election</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 883-884(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: A15. Care who wins House election
As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts
all around the country.
How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care VERY MUCH, PRETTY MUCH, NOT VERY MUCH or NOT AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very much</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pretty much</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very much</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.07
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 2294 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083035  
A16. Approval of Congress handling its job

Location: 885-886(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 3.75
Median: 5.00
Mode: 5.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 2050 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 887-888(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**A16a. Strength appr/disapprove Congress handling its job**

**Question:**

A16a. Strength appr/disapprove Congress handling its

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB /
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB::
Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /
Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>53.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>34.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A16</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.56
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2045 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 889-890(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 |

**A16ax. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Congress handling job**

**Question:**

A16ax. SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Congress handling job

SUMMARY: R approve/disapp Congress handling job
Built from A16 and A16a.

Please look at page 3 of the booklet.
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>18.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>42.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in A14a or A14a1)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in A16 or A16a)</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.72
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 2045 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083036**

**B1a. Feeling Thermometer: President**

Location: 891-893(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6
Question: Looking at page 3 of the booklet:
How would you rate:
GEORGE W. BUSH
On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 37.58
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.72

Based upon 2309 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>894-896(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B1b1. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Presidential cand**

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
BARACK OBAMA

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b2) first after the thermometer for President (B1a). See PreRandom.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable: B1b2. Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential cand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 64.27
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.32

Based upon 2293 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083037B**

**B1b2. Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential cand**

| Location: | 897-899(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 |
| Question: | B1b2. Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential |

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
How would you rate: JOHN MCCAIN

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (B1b2) first after the thermometer for President (B1a). See PreRandom.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>18.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 48.59
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.94

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083038**

**B1c. Names: Feeling Thermometer Vice Presidential cand**

| Location: | 900-900(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Question: | B1c. Names: Feeling Thermometer Vice Presidential |

Names: Feeling Thermometer Vice Presidential candidates

The thermometers for Democratic and Republican Vice Presidential candidates was administered with stand-in names "Jim Webb" and "Tim Pawlenty" until the final candidate names, "Joe Biden" and "Sarah Palin," was decided early in September (after the field period had already commenced).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Names: Joe Biden and Sarah Palin</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Names: Jim Webb and Tim Pawlenty</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.01
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083039A**

**B1c1. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand**

| Location: | 901-903(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6
Question:

B1c1. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
JOE BIDEN

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 57.10
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 24.19

Based upon 1708 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M):</th>
<th>Question:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083039B</td>
<td></td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-9, -8, -6</td>
<td>B1c2. Feeling Thermometer: Republican Vice-Pres cand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
SARAH PALIN

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
Enter "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 49.41
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.91

Based upon 1947 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083040**  **B1d. Feeling Thermometer: Hillary Clinton**

- Location: 907-909(width: 3; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6
Question:

B1d. Feeling Thermometer: Hillary Clinton

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
HILLARY CLINTON

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 62.95  
- Median: 70.00  
- Mode: 85.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 27.12

Based upon 2306 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083041  B1e. Feeling Thermometer: Bill Clinton**

Location: 910-912(width: 3; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6  
Question:

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)  
(How would you rate:)  
BILL CLINTON

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?  
ENTER number 0-100  
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"  
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.  
Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 63.35
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.70

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083042**  
**B1f. Feeling Thermometer: Condoleezza Rice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>913-915(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1f. Feeling Thermometer: Condoleezza Rice
How would you rate: CONDOLEEZZA RICE

(Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".)

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 56.66  
- Median: 60.00  
- Mode: 50.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 24.48

Based upon 1965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083043</th>
<th>B1g. Feeling Thermometer: Rush Limbaugh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Location: | 916-918(width: 3; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6  
| Question: | B1g. Feeling Thermometer: Rush Limbaugh  
| | (Looking at page 3 of the booklet)  
| | (How would you rate:)  
| | RUSH LIMBAUGH  
| | {Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?  
| | ENTER number 0-100  
| | ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"  
| | ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".)  
| | On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers B1c1-B1g in random order. See PreRandom.6f.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>469</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 37.56
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.38

Based upon 1741 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083044A</td>
<td>B1h. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location:** 919-921(width: 3; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -6

**Question:** B1h. Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Party

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:) the DEMOCRATIC PARTY
(Probes for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".)

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic party thermometer (B1h) or the Republican party thermometer (B1j) first. See PreRandom.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 63.04
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.79

Based upon 2259 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083044B**

| Location: | 922-924(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6 |

**B1j. Feeling Thermometer: Republican Party**

(Looking at page 3 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
the REPUBLICAN PARTY

{Probe for don't know response: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?
ENTER number 0-100
ENTER "777" for "Don't recognize"
ENTER "888" for "Don't know where to rate".}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic party thermometer (B1h) or the Republican party thermometer (B1j) first. See PreRandom.7.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two NATIONAL PARTIES.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 44.79  
- Median: 50.00  
- Mode: 50.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 26.00

Based upon 2252 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083045**  
**C1a. Is there anything R likes about Democratic Party**

| Location: | 925-926(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |
| Question: | |
C1a. Is there anything R likes about Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Democratic party?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2242 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083046  C1b. What does R like about Democratic party

Location: 927-928(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3

Question:

C1b. What does R like about Democratic party

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083047**  
**C1c. Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Party**

Location: 929-930(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question:

C1c. Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic

Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Democratic party?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2245 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083048**  
**C1d. What does R dislike about the Democratic party**

Location: 931-932(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -3  
Question:

C1d. What does R dislike about the Democratic party

- Mean: 3.32  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.97  

Based upon 2245 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY: (What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083049</th>
<th>C2a. Is there anything R likes about Republican Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>933-934(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C2a. Is there anything R likes about Republican Party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Republican party?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>36.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>59.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.47
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
C2b. What does R like about Republican party

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY: (What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data coded according to new master codes will be available in a future release.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C2c. Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Republican party?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Party likes-dislikes (C1a-C1d) or the
Republican party likes-dislikes (C2a-C2d) first. See PreRandom.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2237 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C2d. What does R dislike about the Republican party

Location: 939-940(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3

Question:

C2d. What does R dislike about the Republican party

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY: (What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
NOTE: for the ANES 2008 Time Series Study, master codes and coding procedures for open-ended questions are being revised; data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C3. Is it better when one party controls presidency and Cong

Location: 941-942(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

C3. Is it better when one party controls presidency

Do you think it is better when ONE PARTY CONTROLS both the presidency and Congress, better when CONTROL IS SPLIT between the Democrats and Republicans, or DOESN'T IT MATTER?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Better when one party controls both</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Better when control is split</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. It doesn't matter</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.08
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 2250 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083054 C4a. How similar are Republicans to one another

Location: 943-944(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

C4a. How similar are Republicans to one another

How similar are Republicans to one another in terms of what they want government to do and not do? EXTREMELY SIMILAR, VERY SIMILAR, MODERATELY SIMILAR, SLIGHTLY SIMILAR, or NOT SIMILAR AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely similar</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very similar</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>24.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately similar</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>33.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly similar</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not similar at all</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.94
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2159 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083055**

**C4b. How similar are Democrats to one another**

Location: 945-946(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

**Question:**

How similar are Democrats to one another in terms of what they want government to do and not do?
EXTREMELY SIMILAR, VERY SIMILAR, MODERATELY SIMILAR, SLIGHTLY SIMILAR, or NOT SIMILAR AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely similar</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very similar</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>29.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately similar</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly similar</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not similar at all</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.75
C5. R living with family members

Now on another topic. Are you living at home with one or more persons who are family members?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C5. R better/worse off than 1 year ago

IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS /
IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that [you / you and your family living here] are BETTER off or WORSE off than you were a year ago?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Better</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Worse</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>49.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. The same (VOL)</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.71  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.39

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 951-952(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

C5a. R how much better/worse off than 1 year ago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>29.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>51.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C5</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>18.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.54  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 1901 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083057X  
C5ax. SUMMARY: R better/worse off than 1 year ago
C5ax. SUMMARY: R better/worse off than 1 year ago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Somewhat better</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Same (VOL)</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in C5 or C5a)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.26
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.29

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C6. Will R be financially better/worse off one year from now

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you / you and your family living here] will be BETTER OFF financially, WORSE OFF, or JUST ABOUT THE SAME as now?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The same</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V083058A  C6a. R how much better/worse off 1 year from now

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C6</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.12  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.87

Based upon 2207 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083058X  C6x. SUMMARY: R better/worse off 1 year from now

| Location: | 957-958(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 |
| Question: | C6x. SUMMARY: R better/worse off 1 year from now |

- Mean: 3.28  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 1172 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
SUMMARY: R better/worse off 1 year from now

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Somewhat better</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>20.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Same (VOL)</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>44.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Somewhat worse</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Much worse</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know (in C6 or C6a)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Based upon 2206 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083059 C7. Does R or spouse have any money invested in stock market

Location: 961-962(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:
Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>63.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.55
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.92
Based upon 2302 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083060</th>
<th>C8. Does R have health insurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>963-964(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C8. Does R have health insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he or she has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>80.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>19.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 2319 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083061</th>
<th>D1a. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: angry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>965-966(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>D1a. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: angry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE : [Think about BARACK OBAMA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 283 -
Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY

Affects were administered for each candidate in the same random order. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about.." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for the candidate.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d2) first. See PreRandom.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>20.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>78.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.16
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.63

Based upon 2304 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083061A**

**D1a1. How often affect angry about Democratic Pres cand**

**Location:** 967-968(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

D1a1. How often affect angry about Democratic Pres

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY: How often would you say you've felt angry -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D1a</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>79.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.68  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Based upon 484 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083062**  
**D1b. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: hopeful**

Location: 969-970(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question: D1b. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: hopeful

IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /  
IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :  
[Think about BARACK OBAMA. Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:] HOPEFUL

Affects were administered for each candidate in the same random order. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about.." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for the candidate. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d2) first. See PreRandom.9.
Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.36
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Based upon 2300 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083062A D1b1. How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres cand

Location: 971-972(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

D1b1. How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D1b</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.09
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 1515 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083063</td>
<td>D1c. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: afraid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location:** 973-974(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8

**Question:**

D1c. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: afraid

IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
AFRAID /
Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:] AFRAID

Affects were administered for each candidate in the same random order. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for the candidate.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d2) first. See PreRandom.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>73.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.75

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083063A</td>
<td>D1c1. How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres cand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location:** 975-976(width: 2; decimal: 0)
D1c1. How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY
OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D1c</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>74.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.46
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Based upon 588 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

D1d. Affect for Democratic Pres cand: proud

IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
PROUD /
Has Barack Obama -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:

PROUD

Affects were administered for each candidate in the same random order. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about..." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for the candidate.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d2) first. See PreRandom.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>54.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>44.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.80
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2288 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083064A**

**D1d1. How often affect proud about Democratic Pres cand**

| Location: | 979-980(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

Question:

**D1d1. How often affect proud about Democratic Pres**

**IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:**

How often would you say you've felt proud -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**- Study 25383 -**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. NOT AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>45.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 1258 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083065**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D2a. Affect for Republican Pres cand: angry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 981-982(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE : [Think about JOHN MCCAIN. Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY / Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:] ANGRY

Affects were administered for each candidate in the same random order. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about..." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for the candidate.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential candidate affects (D1a-D1d1) or Republican Presidential candidate affects (D2a-D2d2) first. See PreRandom.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>66.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.67
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.88

Based upon 2296 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083065A**  
**D2a1. How often affect angry about Republican Pres cand**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 983-984(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

**D2a1. How often affect angry about Republican Pres**

**IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:**
How often would you say you've felt angry -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D2a</td>
<td>1562</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05
Based upon 761 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083066**

**D2b. Affect for Republican Pres cand: hopeful**

| Location: | 985-986(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

Question:

D2b. Affect for Republican Pres cand: hopeful

IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[Think about JOHN MCCAIN. Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:] HOPEFUL

Order of Presidential candidates randomized (same for both candidates).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>38.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>60.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.43
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2292 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083066A**

**D2b1. How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres cand**

| Location: | 987-988(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

Question:

D2b1. How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY
OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D2b</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 896 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083067 D2c. Affect for Republican Pres cand: afraid

Location: 989-990(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8
Question: D2c. Affect for Republican Pres cand: afraid

IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
AFRAID /
Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:] AFRAID

Order of Presidential candidates randomized (same for both candidates).
Order of affects randomized (same for both candidates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.74  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2296 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083067A</th>
<th>D2c1. How often affect afraid about Republican Pres cand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>991-992(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

D2c1. How often affect afraid about Republican Pres

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D2c</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mean: 2.36  
Median: 3.00  
Mode: 3.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 4.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 721 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083068**  
**D2d. Affect for Republican Pres cand: proud**

**Location:** 993-994 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8  
**Question:**

D2d. Affect for Republican Pres cand: proud

IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /  
IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :  
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.  
Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or  
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:  
PROUD /  
Has John McCain -- because of the kind of person he is or  
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:]  
PROUD

Order of Presidential candidates randomized (same for both  
candidates).  
Order of affects randomized (same for both candidates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>43.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>55.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.24  
Median: 5.00  
Mode: 5.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 5.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2293 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083068A**  
**D2d1. How often affect proud about Republican Pres cand**
D2d1. How often affect proud about Republican Pres

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
How often would you say you've felt proud -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY
OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1

Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk
these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in D2d</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Based upon 1004 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083069 E1a. Liberal/conservative self-placement -7-point scale

Location: 997-998(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -7
Question: E1a. Liberal/conservative self-placement -7-point
**Study 25383**

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about it</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.14  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.54

Based upon 1626 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**E1b. If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placement**

**Location:** 999-1000(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -1  
**Question:**

E1b. If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placement

**IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT IT:**  
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Liberal</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Conservative</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Moderate (VOL)</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,-9 in E1a</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 297 -
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- Mean: 2.83
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 1092 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083070A</th>
<th>E2a. Liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1001-1002(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>E2a. Liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Still looking at page 3)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential placement (E2a) or Republican Presidential candidate placement (E2b) first. See PreRandom.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.29
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
(Still looking at page 3)

Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Presidential placement (E2a) or Republican Presidential candidate placement (E2b) first. See PreRandom.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.86
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
E3a. Liberal/conservative Dem party

(Still looking at page 3)
Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Party placement (E2a) or Republican Party placement (E2b) first. See PreRandom.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.31
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Based upon 2127 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E3b. Liberal/conservative Rep party

(Still looking at page 3)
Where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either Democratic Party placement (E2a) or Republican Party placement (E2b) first. See PreRandom.12.

V083071B
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placement (E2b) first. See PreRandom.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>27.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.95
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Based upon 2110 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083072 E4. Care who wins Presidential Election**

| Location: | 1009-1010(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |
| Question: | E4. Care who wins Presidential Election |

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally CARE A GOOD DEAL who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you DON’T CARE VERY MUCH who wins?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Care a good deal</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>80.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Don’t care very much</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.39
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
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- Standard Deviation: 0.79

Based upon 2313 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083073 E5. Who does R think will be elected President**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1011-1012(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>64.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. John McCain</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>25.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other Specify (VOL)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0   %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083074 E5a. Will Pres race be a close or will (winner) win by a lot**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1013-1014(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Will Pres race be a close or will (winner) win by

IF R THINKS MAJOR PARTY PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION OR DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN:
Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE or will [-NAME-/one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT?

If R names the Democratic Presidential candidate or Republican Presidential candidate in E5, then the name of that candidate is filled into the E5a question text; if R names another candidate in E5 or answers DK in E5, then "one candidate" is filled into the question
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text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Will be close</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Win by quite a bit</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -9 in E5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.98
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 2271 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083075  E6. Which Pres cand will carry state

Location: 1015-1016(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: E6. Which Pres cand will carry state

What about here in -STATE-?
Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state?

{IF NECESSARY: Which candidate will WIN in this state?}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. John McCain</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other Specify (VOL)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.81
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03
Based upon 2127 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083076**  
**E6a. Will Pres race be close in state**

| Location: | 1017-1018(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |
| Question: | E6a. Will Pres race be close in state |

**Question:**

IF R NAMES CANDIDATE THINKS WILL WIN PRES ELECTION IN STATE/  
IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN OR DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN IN STATE:  
Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE here in -STATE- or will [-NAME-/one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT?

If R names the Democratic Presidential candidate or Republican Presidential candidate in E6, then the name of that candidate is filled into the E6a question text; if R names another candidate in E6 or answers DK in E6, then "one candidate" is filled into the question text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Will be close</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>52.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Win by quite a bit</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>44.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -9 in E6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.83  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2234 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083077**  
**E7. Did R vote in the Presidential primary or caucus**

| Location: | 1019-1020(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 |
| Question: | E7. Did R vote in the Presidential primary or caucus |
Did you vote in a presidential primary election or caucus?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.35  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 2290 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083077A**  
**E7a. For which candidate did R vote in Presidential primary**

| Location: 1021-1022(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
| Question: E7a. For which candidate did R vote in Presidential  

**IF R VOTED IN A PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY OR CAUCUS:**  
For which presidential candidate did you cast a vote?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Joe Biden</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Hillary Clinton</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Chris Dodd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. John Edwards</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Rudy Giuliani</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Mike Gravel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Mike Huckabee</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Duncan Hunter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Alan Keyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Dennis Kucinich</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. John McCain</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Barack Obama</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Ron Paul</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Bill Richardson</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Mitt Romney</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %  
--- | --- | --- | ---  
16 | 16. Tom Tancredo | 0 | 0.0 %  
17 | 17. Fred Thompson | 4 | 0.2 %  
30 | 30. Someone else (SPECIFY) | 23 | 1.0 %  
-9 | -9. Refused | 19 | 0.8 %  
-8 | -8. Don’t know | 34 | 1.5 %  
-1 | -1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in E7 | 1379 | 59.4 %  

- Mean: 9.25  
- Median: 11.00  
- Mode: 12.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 5.69

Based upon 891 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083078 E8. Does R believe in duty to vote in every natl election

Location: 1023-1024(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question:

E8. Does R believe in duty to vote in every natl

Generally speaking, do you believe that you HAVE A DUTY to vote in every national election, or do you believe that you DO NOT HAVE A DUTY to vote in every national election?

Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %  
--- | --- | --- | ---  
1 | 1. Yes, have a duty | 1918 | 82.6 %  
5 | 5. No, don't have a duty | 387 | 16.7 %  
-9 | -9. Refused | 8 | 0.3 %  
-8 | -8. Don’t know | 10 | 0.4 %  

- Mean: 1.67  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 2305 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
E9a. Politics/govt too complicated to understand [VERSION C]

**Question:**
Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?

**Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.31
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 1151 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E9b. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION C]

**Location:** 1027-1028(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
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Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

E9b. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION

IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:

(Looking at page 5 in the booklet)

'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 1147 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083079C  E9c. Publ officials don't care what people think [VERSION C]

Location: 1029-1030(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

E9c. Publ officials don't care what people think
Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

Based upon 1144 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083079D**

**E9d. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION C]**

Location: 1031-1032\(^{\text{width: 2; decimal: 0}}\)
Variable Type: numeric \(\text{(ISO)}\)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: E9d. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION C]

IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:
(Looking at page 5 in the booklet)
‘People like me don’t have any say about what the government does.’
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.89
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 1145 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083080A E10a. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION D]

Location: 1033-1034(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question: E10a. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand

IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:
How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? ALL THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
### Analysis of Question E10b

- **Mean:** 3.02
- **Median:** 3.00
- **Mode:** 4.00
- **Minimum:** 1.00
- **Maximum:** 5.00
- **Standard Deviation:** 1.11

Based upon 1169 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### Response Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All the time</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half the time</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Some of the time</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

E10b. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION D]

**IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:**

How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? **EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?**

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Unweighted Frequency
- Label
- Value

- Mean: 2.92
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 1166 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1037-1038(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)             |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  |
| Question: E10c. Publ officials don't care what peopl think [VERSION D] |

**E10c. Publ officials don't care what peopl think**

**IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:**
How much do public officials care what people like you think?
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.39
- Median: 3.00
E10d. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION D]

IF R SELECTED FOR EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:
How much can people like you affect what the government does?
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D). See PreRandom.14 (note that the C/D randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election efficacy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 3.03
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Based upon 1163 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
E11. Extent successfully defend opinion

If you wanted to defend an opinion of yours, how successfully do you think you could do that? EXTREMELY SUCCESSFULLY, VERY SUCCESSFULLY, MODERATELY SUCCESSFULLY, SLIGHTLY SUCCESSFULLY, or NOT SUCCESSFULLY AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely successfully</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very successfully</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>22.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately successfully</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly successfully</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>19.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not successfully at all</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.01
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 2301 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E12. How often see both disagreeing parties as right

Of the situations when you see two people disagreeing with one another, in how many of them can you see how both people could be right? ALL OF THEM, MOST OF THEM, ABOUT HALF OF THEM, A FEW OF THEM, or NONE OF THEM?
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All of them</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of them</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>26.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half of them</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>38.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few of them</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>24.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None of them</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083083  F1. National economy better/worse in last year

Location: 1045-1046(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Gotten better</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Gotten worse</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>88.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.73
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### F1a. How much economy better/worse in last year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 1047-1048(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
<th>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1</td>
<td>Question: F1a. How much economy better/worse in last year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

IF R THINKS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR /
IF R THINKS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:
MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? /
MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>66.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in F1</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.08
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Based upon 2112 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### F1ax. SUMMARY: economy better worse in last year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 1049-1050(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
<th>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8</td>
<td>Question: F1ax. SUMMARY: economy better worse in last year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

SUMMARY: economy better worse in last year
- Study 25383 -

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F1 or F1a)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F1 or F1a)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- Mean: 4.52
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.78

Based upon 2310 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083084**  
**F2a. Economy better or worse in next 12 months [VERSION E]**

Location: 1051-1052(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question:

F2a. Economy better or worse in next 12 months

IF R SELECTED FOR ECONOMY QUESTIONS VERSION E:  
What about the next 12 months? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get BETTER, stay ABOUT THE SAME, or get WORSE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Get better</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stay about the same</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Get worse</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Based upon 1163 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION F</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.87  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14

Based upon 724 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION F; 3,-8,-9 in F2a</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.48  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.94
F2a1x. SUMMARY: economy better/worse next 12 mos [VERSION E]

Location: 1055-1056(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stay about the same</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F2a or F2a1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F2a or F2a1)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION F</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>48.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.94
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 1161 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

F2b1. Economy better/worse if Dem Pres cand wins [VERSION F]

Location: 1057-1058(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

F2b1. Economy better/worse if Dem Pres cand wins
IF R SELECTED FOR ECONOMY QUESTIONS VERSION F:

What about the next 12 months? If BARACK OBAMA wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get BETTER, stay ABOUT THE SAME, or get WORSE?

The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15. Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Get better</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stay about the same</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Get worse</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.38
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.46

Based upon 1091 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083085A  F2b1a. How much econ bett/worse if Dem Pres wins [VERSION F]

Location: 1059-1060(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question: F2b1a. How much econ bett/worse if Dem Pres wins

IF R SELECTED FOR ECONOMY QUESTIONS VERSION F:
IF R SAYS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER/WORSE IF OBAMA WINS:
How much better will the economy get if BARACK OBAMA wins the election? MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? /
How much worse will the economy get if BARACK OBAMA wins the election? MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15. Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>17.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E; 3,-8,-9 in F2b1</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>70.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.35
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 677 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083085X**

F2b1ax. SUMMARY: bett/wrse if Dem Pres cand win [VERSION F]

Location: 1061-1062(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: F2b1ax. SUMMARY: bett/wrse if Dem Pres cand win

SUMMARY: bett/wrse if Dem Pres cand win [VERSION F]

Built from F2b1 and F2b1a.

The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15. Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stay about the same</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F2b1 or F2b1a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F2b1 or F2b1a)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15. Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Based upon 1085 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083086   F2b2. Economy better/worse if Rep Pres cand wins [VERSION F]

Location: 1063-1064(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: F2b2. Economy better/worse if Rep Pres cand wins

IF R SELECTED FOR ECONOMY QUESTIONS VERSION F:
If JOHN MCCAIN wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get BETTER, stay ABOUT THE SAME, or get WORSE?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.28  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.39

Based upon 1092 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

F2b2a. How much econ bett/worse if Rep Pres wins [VERSION F]

**V083086A**

Location: 1065-1066(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  
Question: F2b2a. How much econ bett/worse if Rep Pres wins

**IF R SELECTED FOR ECONOMY QUESTIONS VERSION F:**  
**IF R SAYS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER/WORSE IF MCCAIN WINS:**  
How much better will the economy get if JOHN MCCAIN wins the election? MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? /  
How much worse will the economy get if JOHN MCCAIN wins the election? MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E; 3,-8,-9 in F2b2</td>
<td>1776</td>
<td>76.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Summary: bett/wrse if Rep Pres cand win [VERSION F]

Built from F2b2 and F2b2a. The order in which the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate were administered in F2a-F2a1 was randomized. See PreRandom.15. Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard economy question F2a-F2a1 (VERSION E) or to new economy questions F2b1-F2b2a (VERSION F). See PreRandom.14 (note that the E/F randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election economy questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stay about the same</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F2b2 or F2b2a)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F2b2 or F2b2a)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION E</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.06
Based upon 1089 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083087</th>
<th>F3. Unemployment better or worse in last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1069-1070(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>F3. Unemployment better or worse in last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten BETTER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Better</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Worse</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>81.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.59
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 2284 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083087A</th>
<th>F3a. How much unemployment better or worse in last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1071-1072(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>F3a. How much unemployment better or worse in last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN BETTER / IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN WORSE: Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in F3</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.55  
• Median: 1.00  
• Mode: 1.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 5.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 1954 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location:     | 1073-1074(width: 2; decimal: 0)       |
| Variable Type:| numeric (ISO)                          |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

Question:  
F3ax. SUMMARY: unemployment better/worse in last year

SUMMARY: unemployment better/worse in last year

Built from F3 and F3a.
Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>1185</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F3 or F3a)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F3 or F3a)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 4.31
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.85

Based upon 2281 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083088</th>
<th>F4. More or less unemployment in next year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1075-1076(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>F4. More or less unemployment in next year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How about people out of work during THE COMING 12 MONTHS-- do you think that there will be MORE unemployment than now, ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS?

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>37.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Less</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.36
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 2258 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083089</th>
<th>F5. Inflation better or worse in last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1077-1078(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

F5. Inflation better or worse in last year

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, inflation has gotten BETTER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Better</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Worse</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.60
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87

Based upon 2273 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083089A | F5a. How much inflation better or worse in last year

Location: 1079-1080(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

F5a. How much inflation better or worse in last year

IF R SAYS INFLATION HAS GOTTEN BETTER /
IF R SAYS INFLATION HAS GOTTEN WORSE:
Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? /
Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in F5 or F5a)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in F5 or F5a)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in F5</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.61
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 1884 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083089X</th>
<th>F5ax. SUMMARY: inflation better/worse in last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1081-1082(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** F5ax. SUMMARY: inflation better/worse in last year

**SUMMARY:** inflation better/worse in last year

Built from F5 and F5a.
Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4 unemployment questions or F5-F6 inflation questions asked first. See PreRandom.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much better</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat better</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat worse</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>31.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much worse</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>48.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in F5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.29
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 2273 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083090</th>
<th>F6. More or less inflation in next year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1083-1084(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6. More or less inflation in next year

How about inflation during the COMING 12 MONTHS -- do you think that there will be HIGHER inflation than now, ABOUT THE SAME, or LOWER inflation?

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either F3-F4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>36.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>45.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Less</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Based upon 2219 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083091</th>
<th>F7. Could R borrow money if R needed to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1085-1086(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F7. Could R borrow money if R needed to

If you really needed to borrow money, could you borrow money from a family member or a close friend, or is there no family
member or close friend you could borrow money from?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Could borrow from someone</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>68.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. There is no one</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>30.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 2289 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083091A

**F7a. How much money could R borrow if R needed to**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1087-1093(width: 7; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

**F7a. How much money could R borrow if R needed to**

**IF R HAS FAMILY MEMBER OR FRIEND FROM WHOM R COULD BORROW MONEY:**

What is the largest TOTAL amount of money that you could borrow from all family members and close friends combined?

(IF NEEDED, PROBE: What would be your best guess? RECORD IN WHOLE U.S. DOLLARS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in F7</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 42249.91
- Median: 3000.00
- Mode: 1000.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9999999.00
- Standard Deviation: 462680.37

Based upon 1340 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083092A**

**F8a. Can people be trusted [VERSION G]**

**Location:** 1094-1095(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

F8a. Can people be trusted [VERSION G]

**IF R SELECTED FOR SOCIAL TRUST VERSION G:**

Generally speaking, would you say that most people CAN BE TRUSTED, or that you CANT BE TOO CAREFUL in dealing with people?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard trust question F8a (VERSION G) or to new trust question F8b (VERSION H). See PreRandom.17 (note that the G/H randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election trust questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Most people can be trusted</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Can't be too careful</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION H</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.70  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Based upon 1173 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083092B  F8b. Can people be trusted [VERSION H]

Location: 1096-1097(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: F8b. Can people be trusted [VERSION H]

IF R SELECTED FOR SOCIAL TRUST VERSION H:

Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people?  
ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, ONCE IN A WHILE, or NEVER?

 Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard trust question F8a (VERSION G) or to new trust question F8b (VERSION H). See PreRandom.17 (note that the G/H randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election trust questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Always</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half the time</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once in a while</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION G</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.01
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 1134 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083093 G1. Which party better: handling nations economy**

**Location:** 1098-1099(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8

**Question:**

G1. Which party better: handling nations economy

Which party do you think would do a better job of HANDLING THE NATION'S ECONOMY... [the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS / the REPUBLICANS, the DEMOCRATS], or WOULDN'T THERE BE MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

(IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE)

The order of the major parties in the question text of party performance items G1, G2a, and G2b was randomized. See PreRandom.18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republicans</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much difference between them</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither party (VOL)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.83
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
G2a. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION P]
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2254 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1100-1101(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

Question:

G2a. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION P]:

IF R SELECTED FOR PARTY-PERFORMANCE ON WAR VERSION P:
Looking ahead, do you think the problem of KEEPING OUT OF WAR would be handled better in the next four years by [the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS / the REPUBLICANS, the DEMOCRATS], or ABOUT THE SAME by both?

(IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE)

The order of the major parties in the question text of party performance items G1, G2a, and G2b was randomized. See PreRandom.18.
Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard war question G2a (VERSION P) or to new war question G2b (VERSION Q). See PreRandom.19 (note that the P/Q randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election war questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>26.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republicans</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same by both</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither party (VOL)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION Q</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>48.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.92
Based upon 1132 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083094B</th>
<th>G2b. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION Q]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1102-1103(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>G2b. Which party better: keeping out of war [VERSION Q]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR PARTY-PERFORMANCE ON WAR VERSION Q: Looking ahead, which party would be more likely to involve the United States in another war in the next four years-- [the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS / the REPUBLICANS, the DEMOCRATS], or ABOUT THE SAME by both?

(IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE)

The order of the major parties in the question text of party performance items G1, G2a, and G2b was randomized. See PreRandom.18.

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard war question G2a (VERSION P) or to new war question G2b (VERSION Q). See PreRandom.19 (note that the P/Q randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election war questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republicans</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>26.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same by both</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>18.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither party (VOL)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION P</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>51.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.70
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 1102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083095</th>
<th>H1. During last year, U.S. position in world weaker/stronger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1104-1105(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 337 -
H1. During last year, U.S. position in world

Turning to some other types of issues facing the country.
During the past year, would you say that the United States’
position in the world has grown WEAKER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME,
or has it grown STRONGER?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Weaker</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>64.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>26.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stronger</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 2285 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083096  

H2. Country would be better off if we just stayed home

Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement:
'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>31.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>65.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2252 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrat</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>42.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>18.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Independent</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other party (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No preference (VOL)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2287 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

J1a. Party Identification strong - Democrat/Republican

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT: / 
IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN: 
Would you call yourself a STRONG Democrat or a NOT VERY STRONG Democrat / 
Would you call yourself a STRONG Republican or a NOT VERY STRONG Republican?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not very strong</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 in J1</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.69
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 1403 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

J1b. No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps

V083098B  J1b. No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps

Location: 1112-1113(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: J1b. No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK: 
Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J / IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K: [Please look at page 6;7 of the booklet.]

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name. / 
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or
phrase describes the candidate I name.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Closer to Republican</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither (VOL)</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Closer to Democratic</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,-9 in J1</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>61.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.38
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.63

Based upon 879 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

K1a1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION J]

Location: 1114-1115(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

K1a1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.]
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is MORAL'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1. 

If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Based upon 1080 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083099B  K1a2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION J]

Location: 1116-1117(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: K1a2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF Trait IS NOT 1ST Trait FOR Democratic Presidential Candidate:

( Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet. )
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
‘he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP’
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
( Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet. )
( What about )
‘PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP’?
( Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? )

{ DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW }

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 2.01
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 2.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 1103 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083099C</th>
<th>K1a3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares [VERSION J]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1118-1119(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>K1a3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares [VERSION J]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?

(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See
PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.05
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 1097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083099D  K1a4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J]

Location: 1120-1121 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: K1a4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'KNOWLEDGEABLE'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.

### Value Label Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.87
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.80

Based upon 1114 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083099E K1a5. Pres Dem cand trait intelligent [VERSION J]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1122-1123(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

K1a5. Pres Dem cand trait intelligent [VERSION J]

If R is selected for presidential cand traits questions version J:
If trait is 1st trait for democratic presidential candidate:
If trait is not 1st trait for democratic presidential candidate:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

(What about)

'INTELLIGENT'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1. If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1115 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083099F K1a6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION J]
Location: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question:

K1a6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is HONEST'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'HONEST'? 
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Value | Label                      | Unweighted Frequency | %  
--- | -------------------------- |----------------------|----
2   | 2. Quite well             | 490                  | 21.1 %
3   | 3. Not too well           | 227                  | 9.8 %
4   | 4. Not well at all        | 104                  | 4.5 %
-9  | -9. Refused               | 8                    | 0.3 %
-8  | -8. Don't know            | 45                   | 1.9 %
-1  | -1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K | 1189           | 51.2 %

- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.16
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 1081 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083099G  K1a7. Pres Dem cand trait optimistic [VERSION J]

Location: 1126-1127 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

K1a7. Pres Dem cand trait optimistic [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'the is OPTIMISTIC' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
]/
(What about)
'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>21.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4   %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.77

Based upon 1095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083100A  
K1b1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION K]
Location: 1128-1129(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

K1b1. Pres Dem cand trait moral [VERSION K]
IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is MORAL'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about)
'MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K
randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election
Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent
of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 1123 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083100B  K1b2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K]
Location: 1130-1131(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

- 351 -
Question:

K1b2. Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K] 

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.]
In your opinion, does the phrase 
'the PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,
SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about)
'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,
MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K
randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election
Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent
of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential
candidate traits questions, the order of administration
for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates
was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits
questions, the order in which traits were administered
was randomized in common order for both candidates. See
PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.62
- Median: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

Based upon 1150 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083100C K1b3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares[VERSION K]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1132-1133(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>K1b3. Pres Dem cand trait really cares[VERSION K]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:**
**IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:**
[Think about BARACK OBAMA. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? / (What about) 'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'? (Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered
was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.67
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Based upon 1161 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083100D
K1b4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K]

Location: 1134-1135(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

K1b4. Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'
describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,
SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

/ (What about)
‘KNOWLEDGEABLE’?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,
MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

- 354 -
VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.38
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 1166 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
K1b5. Pres Dem cand trait intelligent [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? /
(What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>21.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 2.23
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.44

Based upon 1173 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083100F</th>
<th>K1b6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1138-1139(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>K1b6. Pres Dem cand trait honest [VERSION K]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? / (What about) 'HONEST'? (Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.32

Based upon 1132 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083100G**

**K1b7. Pres Dem cand trait optimistic [VERSION K]**

Location: 1140-1141(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about BARACK OBAMA.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is OPTIMISTIC' describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about) 'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe Barack Obama EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 1147 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083101A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K2a1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION J]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1142-1143(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: K2a1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION J]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase 
'he is MORAL'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.30
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87

Based upon 1078 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083101B  K2a2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION J]

Location: 1144-1145(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:
- Study 25383 -

K2a2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE
WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K
randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election
Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent
of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential
candidate traits questions, the order of administration
for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates
was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits
questions, the order in which traits were administered
was randomized in common order for both candidates. See
PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.35
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 1092 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083101C K2a3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION J]**

Location: 1146-1147 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

**K2a3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION J]**

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he REALLY Cares ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the pre-election presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.79
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 1096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083101D</th>
<th>K2a4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1148-1149(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

K2a4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)

Think about JOHN MCCAIN.

In your opinion, does the phrase

‘he is KNOWLEDGEABLE’

describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

/ (Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)

(What about)

‘KNOWLEDGEABLE’?

(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.12  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 1109 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083101E K2a5. Pres Rep cand trait intelligent [VERSION J]**

Location: 1150-1151(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question:

K2a5. Pres Rep cand trait intelligent [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J :  
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /  
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:  
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)  
Think about JOHN MCCAIN.  
In your opinion, does the phrase  
'he is INTELLIGENT'  
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,  
or NOT WELL AT ALL?  
/  
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)  
(What about)  
'INTELLIGENT'?  
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE  
WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)  

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version  
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7  
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of  
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and  
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K
randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.07
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 1113 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**K2a6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION J]**

1152-1153(width: 2; decimal: 0)

numeric (ISO)

-9, -8, -1

K2a6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.]

Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

[Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.]

(What about)
"HONEST"?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.45
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 2.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 1077 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083101G  K2a7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION J]

Location:  1154-1155(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -9 , -8 , -1
Question:  K2a7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION J]
IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is OPTIMISTIC'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE
WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.85

Based upon 1084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083102A | K2b1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION K]
Location: | 1156-1157(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1
Question:
K2b1. Pres Rep cand trait moral [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
 IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
 IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
 [Think about JOHN MCCAIN.]
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL'
 describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
 / (What about) 'MORAL'?
 (Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 1118 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083102B K2b2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K]

**Location:** 1158-1159(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

K2b2. Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /

IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.]

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP’

describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,

SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

(What about)

'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP’?

(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,

MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)


{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

**VERSION K traits were administered without use of a**

Respondent Booklet.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version

sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7

and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of

Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and

K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K

randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election

Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent

of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

For both the standard and new versions of Presidential
candidate traits questions, the order of administration

for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates

was randomized. See PreRandom.21.

For each version of Presidential candidate traits

questions, the order in which traits were administered

was randomized in common order for both candidates. See

PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.29

Based upon 1152 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083102C K2b3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION K]

Location: 1160-1161(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

K2b3. Pres Rep cand trait really cares [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/

(What about)
'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21. For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.19
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

Based upon 1146 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083102D K2b4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1162-1163(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**K2b4. Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable [VERSION K]**

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /

- 371 -
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about)
'KNOWLEDGEABLE'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.

For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.46
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Based upon 1161 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>1164-1165(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

K2b5. Pres Rep cand trait intelligent [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,
SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? /
(What about)
'INTELLIGENT'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,
MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 1160 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083102F  
K2b6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION K]

Location: 1166-1167(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  

Question:

K2b6. Pres Rep cand trait honest [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:  
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /  
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:  
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.  
In your opinion, does the phrase  
'he is HONEST' 
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,  
SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?  
/  
(What about) 'HONEST'?  
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,  
MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7 and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.21.
For each version of Presidential candidate traits questions, the order in which traits were administered was randomized in common order for both candidates. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 1122 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083102G  K2b7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION K]

| Location: | 1168-1169(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |
| Question: | K2b7. Pres Rep cand trait optimistic [VERSION K] |
IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL CAND TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
[Think about JOHN MCCAIN.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is OPTIMISTIC'
describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL,
SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/ (What about)
'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe John McCain EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL,
MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential candidate traits questions K1a1-K1a7
and K2a1-K2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of
Presidential candidate traits questions K1b1-K1b7 and
K2b1-K2b7 (VERSION K). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K
randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election
Presidential candidate traits questions only and is independent
of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For both the standard and new versions of Presidential
candidate traits questions, the order of administration

Now we want to ask you about the current war in Iraq.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.72
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
V083103  M1a. Was Iraq war worth the cost

Location: 1170-1171(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question: M1a. Was Iraq war worth the cost

Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Iraq has been WORTH THE COST or NOT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Worth it</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not worth it</td>
<td>1776</td>
<td>76.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.16
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.63

Based upon 2251 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083104  M2. Iraq war increased or decreased threat of terrorism

Location: 1172-1173(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question: M2. Iraq war increased or decreased threat of terrorism

As a result of the United States military action in Iraq, do you think the threat of terrorism against the United States has INCREASED, DECREASED, or stayed ABOUT THE SAME?

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Please look at page 8 of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce
spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stayed about the same</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.25  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.75

Based upon 2288 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**N1a. Spending and Services - 7-point scale self [OLD]**

Location: 1174-1175(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -7, -1

Question: N1a. Spending and Services - 7-point scale self [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD": Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should provide many fewer services</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should provide many more services</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.66  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Based upon 953 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083106**  
**N1b. Importance of spending-services issue to R [OLD]**

Location: 1176-1177(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  
Question: N1b. Importance of spending-services issue to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD": How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stay 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.73
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 1148 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083107A N1c1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [OLD]**

| Location: | 1178-1179 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

N1c1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at page 8 of the booklet)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should provide many fewer services</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should provide many more services</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study** 25383
### Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.30
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 1082 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>1180-1181(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N1c2. Spending and Services: Rep Pres cand [OLD]**

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at page 8 of the booklet)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should provide many fewer services</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should provide many more services</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 381 -
Mean: 3.63
Median: 4.00
Mode: 4.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 1070 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1182-1183(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

Question:

N1d. Spending and Services - self [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Do you think the government should provide MORE services than it does now, FEWER services than it does now, or ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER of services as it does now?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More services</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fewer services</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same services</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.81
Median: 3.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 1140 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1184-1185(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
- Study 25383 -

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -1

**Question:**

N1d1. Amount more/less spending and Services - self

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES THAN IT DOES NOW:
     Do you think that the government should provide A LOT more services, SOMewhat more services, or SLIGHTLY more services than it does now? /
     Do you think that the government should provide A LOT fewer services, SOMewhat fewer services, or SLIGHTLY fewer services than it does now?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N1d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>68.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.29
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 729 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083108X**

**N1d1x. SUMMARY: R position on services-spending [NEW]**

**Location:** 1186-1187(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -1

**Question:**
Built from N1d and N1d1. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight fewer</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat fewer</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot fewer</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N1d or N1d1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N1d or N1d1)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 1137 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083109   N1e. Importance of spending-services issue to R [NEW]

Location: 1188-1189(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: N1e. Importance of spending-services issue to R [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":

How important is this issue to you personally?
(NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.57
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Based upon 1158 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083110**

**N1f1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1190-1191(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>N1f1. Spending and Services: Dem Pres cand [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about BARACK OBAMA?
(Does Barack Obama think the government should provide MORE services than it does now, FEWER services than it does now, or ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER of services as it does now?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.
• Mean: 2.07
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.61

Based upon 1071 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083110A N1f1a. Amt more/less spending-services: Dem Pres cand [NEW]**

| Location: | 1192-1193(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

Question:

N1f1a. Amt more/less spending-services: Dem Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES THAN NOW /
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES THAN NOW:
Does he think that the government should provide A LOT more services, SOMewhat more services, or SLIGHTLY more services than it does now? /
Does he think that the government should provide A LOT fewer services, SOMewhat fewer services, or SLIGHTLY fewer services than it does now?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N1f1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.32  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 846 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083110X</th>
<th>N1f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on serv-spend [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1194-1195(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>N1f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on serv-spend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on serv-spend [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Built from N1f1 and N1f1a.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a.  
See PreRandom.2.  
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized.  See PreRandom.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight fewer</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat fewer</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot fewer</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 387 -
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N1f1 or N1f1a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N1f1 or N1f1a)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.69
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 1058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083111**

**N1f2. Spending and Services: Rep Pres cand [NEW]**

Location: 1196-1197(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

N1f2. Spending and Services: Rep Pres cand [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about JOHN MCCAIN?
(Does John McCain think the government should provide MORE
services than it does now, FEWER services than it does now, or
ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER of services as it does now?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION
OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a.
See PreRandom.24.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican
Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More services</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fewer services</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same services</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 3.00
V083111A  N1f2a. Amt more/less spending-services: Rep Pres cand [NEW]

Location: 1198-1199(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

N1f2a. Amt more/less spending-services: Rep Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR SPENDING-SERVICES QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES THAN NOW /
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES THAN NOW:

Does he think that the government should provide A LOT more services, SOMewhat more services, or SLIGHTLY more services than it does now? /
Does he think that the government should provide A LOT fewer services, SOMewhat fewer services, or SLIGHTLY fewer services than it does now?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N1f2; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>74.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.83
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Based upon 587 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083111X

| Location: | 1200-1201(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

N1f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand position on serv-spend [NEW]

**Built from N1f2 and N1f2a.**

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version government spending-services questions N1a-N1c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version services questions N1d-N1f2a. See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.24.

---

**IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":**

Please look at page 9 of the booklet.

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.

Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.

And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight fewer</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat fewer</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot fewer</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N1f2 or N1f2a)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N1f2 or N1f2a)</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.15
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.67

Based upon 1044 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### N2a. Defense spending - 7-point scale self [OLD]

**Location:** 1202-1203(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -7 , -1

**Question:**

N2a. Defense spending - 7-point scale self [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should decrease defense spending</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should increase defense spending</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.17
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 963 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083113** **N2b. Importance of defense spending issue to R [OLD]**

Location: 1204-1205(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

N2b. Importance of defense spending issue to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD": How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.57
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 0.96

Based upon 1150 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083114A     N2c1. Defense spending scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

Location: 1206-1207(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: N2c1. Defense spending scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should decrease defense spending</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should increase defense spending</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.69
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.67
Based upon 1067 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1208-1209(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

N2c2. Defense spending scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should decrease defense spending</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should increase defense spending</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.39
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 1082 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
N2d. Defense spending - self [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Do you think that the government should spend MORE on national
defense, LESS on national defense, or ABOUT THE SAME on
national defense as it does now?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
defense spending questions N2-N2c2 (VERSION OLD), or else
to new-version defense-spending questions N2d-N2f2a
(VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.46
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 1125 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
SOMETHAT more, or SLIGHTLY more than it does now? / Do you think that the government should spend A LOT less, SOMEWAT less, or SLIGHTLY less than it does now?

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N2d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1714</td>
<td>73.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.61
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Based upon 605 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083115X**

**N2d1x. SUMMARY: R position on def spend [NEW]**

Location: 1214-1215(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: N2d1x. SUMMARY: R position on def spend [NEW]

SUMMARY: R position on def spend [NEW]

Built from N2d and N2d1.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight less</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat less</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot less</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N2d or N2d1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N2d or N2d1)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Based upon 1121 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083116 N2e. Importance of defense spend issue to R [NEW]**

**Location:** 1216-1217(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

N2e. Importance of defense spend issue to R [NEW]

**IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":**

How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 1159 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083117 N2f1. Defense spending: Dem Pres cand [NEW]

Location: 1218-1219(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

N2f1. Defense spending: Dem Pres cand [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about BARACK OBAMA?
(Does Barack Obama think the government should spend MORE on national defense, LESS on national defense, or ABOUT THE SAME on national defense?)

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

V083117 N2f1. Defense spending: Dem Pres cand [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 3.37
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

Based upon 1048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083117A</th>
<th>N2f1a. Amt more/less defense spend: Dem Pres cand [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1220-1221(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

N2f1a. Amt more/less defense spend: Dem Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD SPEND MORE ON DEFENSE THAN NOW /
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD SPEND LESS ON DEFENSE THAN NOW:
Does he think that the government should spend A LOT more,
SOMewhat more, or SLIGHTLY more than it does now? /
Does he think that the government should spend A LOT less,
SOMewhat less, or SLIGHTLY less than it does now?

For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N2f1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>70.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 3.00
N2f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on def spend [NEW]

Location: 1222-1223(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

N2f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on def spend
SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand position on def spend [NEW]

Built from N2f1 and N2f1a.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight less</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat less</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot less</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N2f1 or N2f1a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in N2f1 or N2f1a)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.68
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76
Based upon 1037 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083118**

**N2f2. Defense spending: Rep Pres cand [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1224-1225 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>N2f2. Defense spending: Rep Pres cand [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about JOHN MCCAIN?
(Does John McCain think the government should spend MORE on national defense, LESS on national defense, or ABOUT THE SAME on national defense?)

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>25.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Based upon 1052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083118A**

**N2f2a. Amt more/less defense spend: Rep Pres cand [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1226-1227 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N2f2a. Amt more/less defense spend: Rep Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR DEFENSE SPENDING QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD SPEND MORE ON DEFENSE THAN NOW /
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND THINKS GOVT SHOULD SPEND LESS ON DEFENSE THAN NOW:
Does he think that the government should spend A LOT more,
SOMewhat more, or SLIGHTLY more than it does now? /
Does he think that the government should spend A LOT less,
SOMewhat less, or SLIGHTLY less than it does now?

For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See
PreRandom.25.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See
PreRandom.25.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in N2f2; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>71.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Based upon 649 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

N2f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand position on def spend

SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand position on def spend [NEW]

Built from N2f2 and N2f2a.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.25.

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Please look at page 10 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.
Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slight less</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat less</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot less</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N2f2 or N2f2a)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in N2f2 or N2f2a)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 1042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 1230-1231 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -7, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: N3a. Govt/private medical insur scale: self-placement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** N3a. Govt/private medical insur scale: self-placement

**IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":**

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt insurance plan</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Private insurance plan</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about it</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.46  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00
N3b. Importance of govt insurance issue to R [OLD]

If R selected for health insurance questions version "OLD":
How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO IMPORTANT, SOMewhat IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, OR EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>24.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.03  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.86  

Based upon 1151 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
N3c1. Insurance scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at Page 10)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt insurance plan</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Private insurance plan</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistics:**
- Mean: 2.95
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Based upon 1055 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083121B N3c2. Insurance scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1236-1237(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N3c2. Insurance scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this issue?

(DO NOT PROBE DK)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt insurance plan</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Private insurance plan</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.15
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 1038 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083122 N3d. Favor/oppp prescription drug coverage for seniors [NEW]

Location: 1238-1239(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

N3d. Favor/oppp prescription drug coverage for seniors

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW": Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government paying for all of the cost of prescription drugs for senior citizens who are living on very little income?
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>41.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.25
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083122A  N3d1. How much favor/opp prescr drug cover for seniors [NEW]

Location: 1240-1241(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF R FAVORS PRESCRIPTION Drug COVERAGE FOR LOW INCOME SENIORS /
IF R OPPOSES PRESCRIPTION Drug COVERAGE FOR LOW INCOME SENIORS:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>33.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3.-8.,-9 in N3d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>54.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Based upon 1063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083122X  
N3d1x. SUMMARY: R position on senior prescr drug cover [NEW]

Location: 1242-1243(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

N3d1x. SUMMARY: R position on senior prescr drug cover

SUMMARY: R position on senior prescr drug cover [NEW]

Built from N3d and N3d1.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>31.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N3d or N3d1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N3d or N3d1)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 1.93
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.60

Based upon 1155 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083123</th>
<th>N3e. Importance of prescript drug coverage for seniors [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1244-1245(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>N3e. Importance of prescript drug coverage for seniors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>19.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Based upon 1165 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083124</th>
<th>N3f. Favor/oppose universal health coverage [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- 410 -
N3f. Favor/oppose universal health coverage [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S.
government paying for all necessary medical care for all
Americans?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD, or else

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>27.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.72

Based upon 1145 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>27.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N3f; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1336</td>
<td>57.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.43
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.63

Based upon 987 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083124X  N3f1x. SUMMARY: R position on universal health care [NEW]

| Location: | 1250-1251(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

N3f1x. SUMMARY: R position on universal health care

SUMMARY: R position on universal health care [NEW]

Built from N3f and N3f1. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>19.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N3f or N3f1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (in N3f or N3f1)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.28
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.35

Based upon 1145 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083125**

| Location: | 1252-1253 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**N3g. Importance of universal health coverage [NEW]**

**Question:**

How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.74
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 1163 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083126 N3h1. Dem pres cand favor/oppos universal health cover [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1254-1255(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

**N3h1. Dem pres cand favor/oppos universal health cover**

**IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":**

What about BARACK OBAMA? (Does Barack Obama FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government paying for all necessary medical care for all Americans?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.33
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 988 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083126A</td>
<td>N3h1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opp univ health cover [NEW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1256-1257(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

N3h1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opp univ health cover

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":

IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FAVORS UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE / IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE OPPOSES UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE:

Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /

Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>22.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N3h1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>62.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00
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- Standard Deviation: 0.65

Based upon 869 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1258-1259(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**V083126X**  
**N3h1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand posn on univ healthcare [NEW]**

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>20.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N3h1 or N3h1a)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N3h1 or N3h1a)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083127**  
**N3h2. Rep pres cand favor/opp universal health cover [NEW]**

Location: 1260-1261(width: 2; decimal: 0)
N3h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/opp universal health cover [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FAVORS UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE /

- Study 25383 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

N3h2a. Rep pres cand favor/opponent universal health cover

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about JOHN MCCAIN?
(Does John McCain FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government paying for all necessary medical care for all Americans?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.01
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.61

Based upon 954 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083127A N3h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/opp universal health cover [NEW]

Location: 1262-1263(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

N3h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/opp universal health cover

IF R SELECTED FOR HEALTH INSURANCE QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FAVORS UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE /
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE OPPOSES UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE:
Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N3h2; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>66.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.65
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 763 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083127TX N3h2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand posn on univ healthcare [NEW]

Location: 1264-1265(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: N3h2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand posn on univ healthcare
SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand posn on univ healthcare [NEW]

Built from N3h2 and N3h2a.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version health insurance questions N3-N3c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version defense-spending questions N3d-N3h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
- Study 25383 -

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.26.

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.
Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N3h2 or N3h2a)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N3h2 or N3h2a)</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.12
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Based upon 944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083128  N4a. Guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement [OLD]

Location: 1266-1267(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -7, -1
Question:

N4a. Guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 1024 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083129 | N4b. Importance of guaranteed job-income issue to [OLD]

Location: 1268-1269(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question:

N4b. Importance of guaranteed job-income issue to

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT
AT ALL, NOT TOO IMPORTANT, SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, OR EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.71
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 1146 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083130A | N4c1. Guar job scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

Location: 1270-1271(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD": (Still looking at Page 11)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.11  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 1044 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083130B**  
**N4c2. Guar job scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]**

Location: 1272-1273(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  

Question:  
N4c2. Guar job scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]  

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":  
(Still looking at Page 11)  
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.  
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.16  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 1037 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083131**  
**N4d. Favor/oppose illegal immigrant work period [NEW]**

Location: 1274-1275(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8 , -1  
Question:

N4d. Favor/oppose illegal immigrant work period [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Citizens of other countries who have come to live in the United States without the permission of the U.S. government are called "illegal immigrants."
Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE allowing illegal immigrants to work in the United States for up to three years, after which they would have to go back to their home country?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>25.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.91  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1139 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083131A  
N4d1. How much favor/opp illegal immigrant work period [NEW]

Location: 1276-1277(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question: N4d1. How much favor/opp illegal immigrant work period

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":  
IF R FAVORS ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT WORK PERIOD /  
IF R OPPOSES ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT WORK PERIOD :  
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /  
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N4d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>60.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.47
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 913 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083131X</th>
<th>N4d1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr work period [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1278-1279(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N4d1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr work period [NEW]

SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr work period [NEW]

Built from N4d and N4d1.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>17.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N4d or N4d1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N4d or N4d1)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.68
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
Based upon 1138 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### N4e. Importance of illegal immigrant work period [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1280-1281(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":

How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 1160 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### N4f. Favor/opp citizenship process for illeg immigrants [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1282-1283(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

N4f. Favor/oppickenship process for illeg immigrants

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government making it possible for illegal immigrants to become U.S. citizens?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.74

Based upon 1142 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083133A N4f1. How much favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW]

Location: 1284-1285(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

N4f1. How much favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF R FAVORS ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT CITIZENSHIP PROCESS /
IF R OPPOSES ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT CITIZENSHIP PROCESS:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>21.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N4f; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>58.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.58  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.68

Based upon 957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083133X**  
**N4f1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr citizenship process[NEW]**

**Location:**  
1286-1287(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**  
-9, -8, -1

**Question:**

N4f1x. SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr citizenship

SUMMARY: R on illegal immigr citizenship process[NEW]

Built from N4f and N4f1.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 3.56  
Median: 3.00  
Mode: 2.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 7.00  
Standard Deviation: 2.26

Based upon 1140 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083134**  
**N4g. Importance of citizenship illegals issue [NEW]**

**Location:** 1288-1289(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1  
**Question:**

N4g. Importance of citizenship illegals issue [NEW]

**IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":**

How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>13.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 3.21
Median: 3.00
Mode: 4.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.18

Based upon 1159 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

```
V083135  N4h1. Dem Pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW]
Location: 1290-1291(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

N4h1. Dem Pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about BARACK OBAMA?
(Does Barack Obama FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE
the U.S. government making it possible for illegal immigrants
to become U.S. citizens?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else
to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a
(VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See
PreRandom.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Mean: 1.61
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 3.00
Standard Deviation: 0.83
Based upon 798 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**N4h1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opp citizenship illegals [NEW]**

**Location:** 1292-1293 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

N4h1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opp citizenship illegals

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND FAVORS CITIZENSHIP PROCESS FOR ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS /
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND OPPOSES CITIZENSHIP PROCESS FOR ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS:

Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N4h1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 613 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**N4h1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr citizenship [NEW]**

**Location:** 1294-1295 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

- 431 -
Question:

N4h1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr
SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr citznshp[NEW]

Built from N4h1 and N4h1a.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N4h1 or N4h1a)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N4h1 or N4h1a)</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>15.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 791 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083136 N4h2. 2nd pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW]

Location: 1296-1297(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question:

N4h2. 2nd pres cand favor/oppose citizenship illegals

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about JOHN MCCAIN?
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.83
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 828 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083136A**

**N4h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/oppose citizenship illegals [NEW]**

Location: 1298-1299(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: N4h2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/oppose citizenship illegals

IF R SELECTED FOR JOBS QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND FAVORS CITIZENSHIP PROCESS FOR ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS /
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND OPPOSES CITIZENSHIP PROCESS FOR ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS:
Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else
to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in N4h2; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1645</td>
<td>70.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.74
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.70

Based upon 670 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083136X**

**N4h2ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand on illeg immigr citznshp[NEW]**

| Location: | 1300-1301(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Built from N4h2 and N4h2a.**
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version guaranteed jobs questions N4-N4c2 (VERSION OLD, or else to new-version illegal immigrants questions N4d-N4h2a (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.27.
IF R SELECTED FOR AID TO BLACKS QUESTIONS [OLD]:
Please look at page 12 of the booklet.
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.)
Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.)
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

A 1/2 sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version aid to blacks questions N5-N5c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in N4h2 or N4h2a)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in N4h2 or N4h2a)</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.31
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 7.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.12

Based upon 820 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083137  N5a. Govt assistance to blacks scale: self-placement

Location: 1302-1303(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -7
Question: N5a. Govt assistance to blacks scale: self-placement
- Study 25383 -

IF R SELECTED FOR AID TO BLACKS QUESTIONS [OLD]:
(Still looking at page 12 of the booklet.)
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version aid to blacks questions N5-N5c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should help blacks</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>19.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Blacks should help themselves</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>15.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.51
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 1923 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083138A N5b1. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [OLD]**

Location: 1304-1305(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: N5b1. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR IMPORTANCE QUESTION VERSION "OLD":
How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO IMPORTANT, SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version importance of aid to blacks question N5b1 (VERSION OLD).
See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.36
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 1144 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083138B  N5b2. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [NEW]**

- Location: 1306-1307(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

**Question:** N5b2. Importance of aid to blacks issue to R [NEW]

**IF R SELECTED FOR IMPORTANCE QUESTION VERSION "NEW":**
How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to new-version importance of aid to blacks question N5b2 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.09
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 1158 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083139A  
N5c1. Aid to blacks Placement: Dem Pres cand

Location: 1308-1309(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: N5c1. Aid to blacks Placement: Dem Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR AID TO BLACKS QUESTIONS [OLD]:
(Still looking at page 12 of the booklet.)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.28.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should help blacks</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>23.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>15.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Blacks should help themselves</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.12
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083139B | N5c2. Aid to blacks Placement: Rep Pres cand
--- | ---
**Location:** | 1310-1311 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** | numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** | -9 , -8

**Question:**

N5c2. Aid to blacks Placement: Rep Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR AID TO BLACKS QUESTIONS [OLD]:
(Still looking at page 12 of the booklet.)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.28.

(Not looking at the booklet.)
Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED. The first program is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Govt should help blacks</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Blacks should help themselves</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>19.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.96
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
Based upon 1977 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2292 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Based upon 2292 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? / Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>19.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1a</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>48.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.76
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 1199 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083140X | P1a1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on highways

Location: 1316-1317(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: P1a1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on highways

Built from P1a and P1a1.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V083141  
#### P1b. Federal Budget Spending: Social Security

| Location: | 1318-1319(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

**Question:**

P1b. Federal Budget Spending: Social Security  
(What about) SOCIAL SECURITY  
(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

---

#### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.09  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 2287 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

#### Study 25383  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.60
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 2287 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083141A</th>
<th>P1b1. How much increase or decrease spend on Soc Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1320-1321(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
P1b1. How much increase or decrease spend on Soc

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY SHOULD BE INCREASED /
IF R THINKS SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY SHOULD BE DECREASED :
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>27.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1b</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>34.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1528 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083141X</th>
<th>P1b1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spend on Soc Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1322-1323(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

P1b1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spend on Soc Security
SUMMARY: increase or decrease spend on Soc Security

Built from P1b and P1b1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.04
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 2286 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083142       P1c. Federal Budget Spending: public schools

Location: 1324-1325(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

P1c. Federal Budget Spending: public schools
(What about)
PUBLIC SCHOOLS
(Should federal spending on public schools be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>54.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely {VOL}</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Based upon 2283 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P1c1. How much increase or decrease spend on public schools

V083142A | P1c1. How much increase or decrease spend on public schools

Location: 1326-1327(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON PUBLIC SCHOOLS SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON PUBLIC SCHOOLS SHOULD BE DECREASED:
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>34.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>24.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1c</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>33.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.61
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1548 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>1328-1329(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V083142X**

**P1c1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spend on public schools**

**Question:**

**SUMMARY: increase or decrease spend on public schools**

Built from P1c and P1c1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>29.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>19.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V083143**  
P1d. Federal Budget Spending: science and technology

Location: 1330-1331 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question: (What about) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
(Should federal spending on science and technology be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>54.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.54  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00
Based upon 2276 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1332-1333(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**P1d1. How much increase/decrease spend on science and technology?**

If you think spending on science and technology should be increased / increased:
If you think spending on science and technology should be decreased:
Should it be increased a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little? /
Should it be decreased a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>34.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1d</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>33.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.68

Based upon 1550 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

P1d1x. SUMMARY: increase/decrease spend on science and technology.

SUMMARY: increase/decrease spend on science and technology.

Built from P1d and P1d1.

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.95
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 2273 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083144 P1e. Federal Budget Spending: dealing with crime

Location: 1336-1337(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Question:

P1e. Federal Budget Spending: dealing with crime

(What about) DEALING WITH CRIME
(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED,
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely {VOL}</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2267 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083144A**

**P1e1. How much increase or decrease spending on crime**

Location: 1338-1339(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question: P1e1. How much increase or decrease spending on crime

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON DEALING WITH CRIME SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON DEALING WITH CRIME SHOULD BE DECREASED :
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? / Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 450 -
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>25.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-7,-8,-9 in P1e</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.57
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 1572 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083144X | P1e1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on crime

Location: 1340-1341(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8
Question: P1e1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on crime

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on crime

Built from P1e and P1e1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>30.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>29.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.88  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 8.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 2265 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>1342-1343(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P1f. Federal Budget Spending: welfare programs**

**Question:**

P1f. Federal Budget Spending: welfare programs

(What about) WELFARE PROGRAMS  
(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2271 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
P1f1. How much increase or decrease spending on welfare

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON WELFARE PROGRAMS SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON WELFARE PROGRAMS SHOULD BE DECREASED:
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1f</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.68

Based upon 1573 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P1f1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on welfare

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on welfare
Built from P1f and P1f1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>29.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 2270 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**P1g. Federal Budget Spending: child care**

(What about)
CHILD CARE
(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-
PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>54.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2274 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083146A  P1g1. How much increase or decrease spending on child care

Location: 1350-1351(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: P1g1. How much increase or decrease spending on child care

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON CHILD CARE SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON CHILD CARE SHOULD BE DECREASED :
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-
PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>35.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1g</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1567 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083146X</th>
<th>P1g1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on child care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1352-1353(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

P1g1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on child care

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on child care

Built from P1g and P1g1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>30.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.94
- Median: 2.00
P1h. Federal Budget Spending: foreign aid

(What about)
FOREIGN AID
(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>52.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>31.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1h1. How much increase or decrease spending on foreign aid
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• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 2273 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
P1h1. How much increase or decrease spending on

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON FOREIGN AID SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON FOREIGN AID SHOULD BE DECREASED :
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1h</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1513 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083147X  P1h1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on foreign aid

Location: 1358-1359(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8
Question: P1h1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on foreign aid

Built from P1h and P1h1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>28.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>19.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>31.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.99
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2266 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083148</th>
<th>P1j. Federal Budget Spending: aid to the poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1360-1361(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P1j. Federal Budget Spending: aid to the poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(What about)</td>
<td>AID TO THE POOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Should federal spending on aid to the poor be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>52.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely {VOL}</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2260 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083148A</th>
<th>P1j1. How much increase or decrease spending on aid to poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1362-1363(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P1j1. How much increase or decrease spending on aid to poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R THINKS SPENDING ON AID TO THE POOR SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON AID TO THE POOR SHOULD BE DECREASED**

Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>33.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>23.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1j</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>36.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 1.00
P1j1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on aid to poor

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>28.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.98  
Median: 3.00  
Mode: 4.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 8.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.79
TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION
(Should federal spending on tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>54.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>31.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2272 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY SHOULD BE DECREASED :
Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>23.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1k</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>34.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 1528 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083149X**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1k1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on border</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1370-1371(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

P1k1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on

SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on border

Built from P1k and P1k1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely {VOL}</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.93
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2272 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083150

**P1m. Federal Budget Spending: war on terrorism**

**Location:** 1372-1373(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8

**Question:**

P1m. Federal Budget Spending: war on terrorism

(What about) THE WAR ON TERRORISM (Should federal spending on the war on terrorism be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely {VOL}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2273 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083150A**

**P1m1. How much increase/decrease spendg on war on terrorism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

**Question:**

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON THE WAR ON TERRORISM SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON THE WAR ON TERRORISM SHOULD BE DECREASED:

Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? /
Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
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- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 1506 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083150X</th>
<th>P1m1x. SUMMARY: increase/decrease spendg on war on terrorism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1376-1377(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P1m1x. SUMMARY: increase/decrease spendg on war on terrorism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Built from P1m and P1m1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>29.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>18.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>32.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 2270 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
1378-1379

Location: 1378-1379

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:  

P1n. Federal Budget Spending: protecting the

(What about)

PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT

(Should federal spending on protecting the environment

be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept ABOUT THE SAME?)

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was

administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-

PreRandom.29n.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decreased</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Kept about the same</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 2271 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P1n1. How much increase or decrease spending on environment

IF R THINKS SPENDING ON PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT SHOULD BE INCREASED / IF R THINKS SPENDING ON PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT SHOULD BE DECREASED:

Should it be increased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE? / Should it be decreased A GREAT DEAL, A MODERATE AMOUNT, or A LITTLE?

The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was
administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A moderate amount</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>23.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P1n</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

Based upon 1543 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083151X**
**P1n1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on environment**

Location: 1382-1383(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8
Question: P1n1x. SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on environment

**SUMMARY: increase or decrease spending on environment**

Built from P1n and P1n1.
The order in which federal spending items P1b-P1n was administered was randomized. See PreRandom.29a-PreRandom.29n.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a great deal</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>31.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a moderate amount</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>20.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increased a little</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Kept about the same</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>30.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decreased a moderate amount</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decreased a great deal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Cut out entirely (VOL)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 8.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Based upon 2267 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083152  
P2. Statement best agrees with R about graduated tax

Location: 1384-1385(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  

Question:

P2. Statement best agrees with R about graduated tax

Please look at page 13 of the Respondent Booklet.
Which one of the following opinions best agrees with your view?
You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
One:
People who make more money should pay a LARGER PERCENT of their income in taxes to the government than people who make less money.
Two:
people who make more money should pay a SMALLER PERCENT of their income in taxes to the government than people who make less money.
Three: the amount of money people make SHOULD NOT DETERMINE what percent of their income they pay in taxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger percent</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>54.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Smaller percent</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Income should not determine tax rate</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>39.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.84  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 2272 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083153  
**P3. Big companies pay larger or smaller perc of profits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1386-1387(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Do you think that big companies should pay a LARGER percent of their profits in taxes than small businesses do, that big companies should pay a SMALLER percent of their profits in taxes than small businesses do, or that big companies and small businesses should pay the SAME percent of their profits in taxes?

### IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.  
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point number 1).  
Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7).  
And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6).

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>64.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Smaller</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The same</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>32.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 2277 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083154  P4a. Environment vs. jobs tradeoff scale - self-place [OLD]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 1388-1389 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -7, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:
P4a. Environment vs. jobs tradeoff scale - self-place

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protect environment, even if it costs jobs &amp; standard of living</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Jobs &amp; standard of living more important than environment</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.02
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 925 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083155  P4b. Importance of environment/jobs issue to R [OLD]

Location: 1390-1391(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

P4b. Importance of environment/jobs issue to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD": How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
Based upon 1144 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083156A**

| Location: | 1392-1393(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

P4c1. Protect Environment/Jobs: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at page 14 of the booklet)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA (on this issue)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protect environment, even if it costs jobs &amp; standard of living</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Jobs &amp; standard of living more important than environment</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.85
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61
Based upon 962 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083156B</td>
<td>P4c2. Protect Environment/Jobs: Rep Pres cand [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 1394-1395 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

P4c2. Protect Environment/Jobs: Rep Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "OLD":
(Still looking at page 14 of the booklet)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN (on this issue)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protect environment, even if it costs jobs &amp; standard of living</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Jobs &amp; standard of living more important than environment</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.44
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 959 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083157 | P4d. Favor/oppose lower emission stds [NEW]
Next, we’d like to ask whether you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a series of ways that the federal government might try to reduce future global warming.

Power plants put gases into the air that could cause global warming. Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government lowering the amount of these gases that power plants are allowed to put into the air?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>32.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.47
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.74

Based upon 1131 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in P4d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

Based upon 964 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.73
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 1131 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083158**

**P4e. Importance of emission std issue [NEW]**

**Location:** 1402-1403(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

P4e. Importance of emission std issue [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW": How important is this issue to you personally? (NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.30
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 1154 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083159**  
P4f1. Favor/oppose lower emission stds: Dem Pres cand [NEW]

Location: 1404-1405(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

P4f1. Favor/oppose lower emission stds: Dem Pres cand

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
What about BARACK OBAMA?
(Does Barack Obama FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE
the federal government lowering the amount of these gases
that power plants are allowed to put into the air?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else
to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1
(VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions,
the order of administration for the Democratic and
Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See
PreRandom.30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>25.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.44
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.74

Based upon 847 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083159A</th>
<th>P4f1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opplower emissions [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1406-1407(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Question: |

P4f1a. How much Dem Pres cand fav/opplower emissions

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND FAVORS LOWER POWER PLANT EMISSIONS STANDARDS /
IF DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND OPPOSES LOWER POWER PLANT EMISSIONS STANDARDS:
Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in P4f1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>69.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.62

Based upon 712 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1408-1409(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**P4f1ax. SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand fav/opp lower emissions [NEW]**

**SUMMARY: Dem Pres cand fav/opp lower emissions [NEW]**

Built from P4f1 and P4f1a.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.56
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 840 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1410-1411(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

P4f2. Favor/oppose lower emission stds: Rep Pres cand [NEW]

Question:

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW": What about JOHN MCCAIN? (Does John McCain FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government lowering the amount of these gases that power plants are allowed to put into the air?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.75
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Based upon 804 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1412-1413(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

Question:

P4f2a. How much Rep Pres cand fav/opp lower emissions [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND FAVORS LOWER POWER PLANT EMISSIONS STANDARDS /
IF REPUBLICAN PRES CAND OPPOSES LOWER POWER PLANT EMISSIONS STANDARDS:
Does he favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Does he oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in P4f2; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>74.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.82
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.68
Based upon 592 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P4f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand fav/opplower emissions</td>
<td>1414-1415(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
<td>P4f2ax. SUMMARY: Rep Pres cand fav/opplower emissions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Built from P4f2 and P4f2a.**

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

For both the standard and new version sets of questions, the order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.30.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.43
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.88

Based upon 798 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
P4g. Favor/oppose higher fuel standards [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal
government requiring automakers to build cars that use less
gasoline?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else
to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1
(VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>43.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.19
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.52

Based upon 1149 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P4g1. How much favor/oppose higher fuel std [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF R FAVORS HIGHER FUEL STANDARDS /
IF R OPPOSES HIGHER FUEL STANDARDS:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in P4g; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.33
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

Based upon 1079 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083161X**

| Location: | 1420-1421(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**P4g1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher fuel std [NEW]**

SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher fuel std [NEW]

Built from P4g and P4g1.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.82  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 1148 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083162  P4h. Favor/oppose higher gas taxes [NEW]**

**Location:** 1422-1423(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1  
**Question:**

P4h. Favor/oppose higher gas taxes [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing taxes on gasoline so people either drive less or buy cars that use less gas?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Oppose</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>35.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,-8,-9 in P4h; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.95
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

Based upon 1141 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083162A  P4h1. How much favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW]

Location: 1424-1425(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: P4h1. How much favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS VERSION "NEW":
IF R FAVORS INCREASING GASOLINE TAXES /
IF R OPPOSES INCREASING GASOLINE TAXES :
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE? /

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else
to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1
(VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.40
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- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.63

Based upon 1008 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>1426-1427(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>P4h1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P4h1x. SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW]

SUMMARY: favor/oppose higher tas taxes [NEW]

Built from P4h and P4h1.

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version environment-jobs questions P4-P4c2 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version environmental standards questions P4d-P4h1 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>25.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.54
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99
Based upon 1141 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083163**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1428-1429(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P5. R favor/oppose death penalty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P5. R favor/oppose death penalty

(Not looking at the booklet now.)
Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>59.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.92

Based upon 2136 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083163A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1430-1431(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P5a. Strength R favors/opposes death penalty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P5a. Strength R favors/opposes death penalty

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER / IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:
(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder)
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /
(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder)
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>65.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>26.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in P5</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.15
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 2130 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### Question

P5ax. SUMMARY: favor/oppose death penalty

**V083163X**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1432-1433(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

P5ax. SUMMARY: favor/oppose death penalty

SUMMARY: favor/oppose death penalty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in P5</td>
<td>2136</td>
<td>92.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### Question

P6. Should fed govt make it more difficult to buy a gun

**V083164**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1434-1435(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

P6. Should fed govt make it more difficult to buy a gun

Do you think the federal government should make it MORE DIFFICULT for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it EASIER for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules ABOUT THE SAME as
they are now?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More difficult</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>52.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Make it easier</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Keep these rules about the same</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>42.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.81
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 2294 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083164A**

**P6a1. Importance of gun access issue to R [OLD]**

| Location: 1436-1437(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

P6a1. Importance of gun access issue to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR IMPORTANCE QUESTION VERSION "OLD":
How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version importance question P6a1 (VERSION OLD), or else to new-version importance question P6a2 (VERSION NEW). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.60
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 1151 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083164B**  
P6a2. Importance of gun access issue to R [NEW]

Location: 1438-1439(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question: P6a2. Importance of gun access issue to R [NEW]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.48
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 1162 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083165**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1440-1441(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>P6b. Does R have a gun in his or her home or garage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you happen to have in your home or garage any guns or revolvers?

**IF R SELECTED FOR WOMEN'S ROLE QUESTIONS:**
Please look at page 15 of the booklet.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

A 1/2-sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version women's role questions P7-P7c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>69.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.85
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81
V083166  P7a. Women's role self-placement [OLD]

Location:  1442-1443(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -9, -8, -1
Question:  

P7a. Women's role self-placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR WOMEN'S ROLE QUESTIONS:
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

A 1/2-sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version women's role questions P7-P7c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Women and men should have equal roles</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A woman's place is in the home</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.28
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.68

Based upon 1152 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083167  P7b. Importance of women's role to R [OLD]

Location:  1444-1445(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -9, -8, -1
Question:  

- 494 -
P7b. Importance of women's role to R [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR WOMEN'S ROLE QUESTIONS:
How important is this issue to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMewhat important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

A 1/2-sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version women's role questions P7-P7c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.81
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 1151 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083168A

| Location: 1446-1447(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)             |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1 |

P7c1. Women's role scale: Dem Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR WOMEN'S ROLE QUESTIONS:
(Still looking at page 15)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA (on this issue)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

A 1/2-sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version women's role questions P7-P7c2 (VERSION
The order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Women and men should have equal roles</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>16.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A woman's place is in the home</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -9 in Q1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Based upon 1008 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083168B  | P7c2. Women's role scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]
Location:  | 1448-1449(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1
Question: P7c2. Women's role scale: Rep Pres cand [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR WOMEN'S ROLE QUESTIONS: (Still looking at page 15)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN (on this issue)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

A 1/2-sample of respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version women's role questions P7-P7c2 (VERSION OLD). See PreRandom.2. The order of administration for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PreRandom.2.
- Study 25383 -

PreRandom.31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Women and men should have equal roles</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A woman's place is in the home</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Q1a; -9 in Q1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>50.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.26
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 1001 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083169</th>
<th>Q1. Does R think will vote this November</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1450-1451(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Q1. Does R think will vote this November</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Not looking at the booklet now.)
So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not? /
So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>85.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.52
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 2280 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083169A</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1452-1453(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q1a. Who does R think will/would vote for President**

**IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION** /  
**IF WILL NOT VOTE/ DK WHETHER WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER:**

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President? /  
If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?

{PROBE: Needless to say, the election for President of the United States is a long time away in November. But I'd like to ask you for your best guess about who you will vote for in the election for President in November.) /  
{PROBE: Needless to say, the election for President of the United States is a long time away in November. But I'd like to ask you for your best guess about who you would vote for in the election for President in November.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>58.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. John McCain</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>28.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None (if voter, will not vote for president) {VOL}</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -9 in Q1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.54  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2115 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Q1a1. Strength of preference for Pres cand R will/would vote**

| Location: | 1454-1455(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

Q1a1. Strength of preference for Pres cand R

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE AND INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS DEMOCRATIC CAND /
IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE AND INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS REPUBLICAN CAND /
IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE AND INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS OTHER CAND :
Would you say that your preference for [Barack Obama / John McCain / that candidate] is STRONG or NOT STRONG?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>71.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strong</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Q1a</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.78
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 2059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Q2a1a. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION R]**

| Location: | 1456-1457(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

Q2a1a. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION]

IF R SELECTED FOR BLACK PRESIDENT QUESTIONS VERSION R:
Thinking not about Barack Obama but instead thinking about all of the other black people who could be president in the future, does the idea of a black person being president make you feel EXTREMELY UNCOMFORTABLE, VERY UNCOMFORTABLE, MODERATELY UNCOMFORTABLE, SLIGHTLY UNCOMFORTABLE, or NOT UNCOMFORTABLE
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See PreRandom.32 Notethat these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely uncomfortable</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very uncomfortable</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately uncomfortable</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly uncomfortable</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not uncomfortable at all</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>40.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION S</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>48.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.62
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

Based upon 1178 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083170B**

Q2a1b. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION S]

| Location: | 1458-1459(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |
| Question: | Q2a1b. Black President make R uncomfortable [VERSION S] |

IF R SELECTED FOR BLACK PRESIDENT QUESTIONS VERSION S:
Thinking about all of the black people who could be president in the future, does the idea of a black person being president make you feel EXTREMELY UNCOMFORTABLE, VERY UNCOMFORTABLE, MODERATELY UNCOMFORTABLE, SLIGHTLY UNCOMFORTABLE, or NOT UNCOMFORTABLE AT ALL?
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See PreRandom.32
Note that these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely uncomfortable</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very uncomfortable</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately uncomfortable</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly uncomfortable</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not uncomfortable at all</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>37.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION R</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.60
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89

Based upon 1108 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083170X Q2a1x. SUMMARY: Black President make R uncomfortable
Location: 1460-1461(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

Q2a1x. SUMMARY: Black President make R uncomfortable
SUMMARY: Black President make R uncomfortable

Built from Q2a1a and Q2a1b.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See
PreRandom.32
Note that these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely uncomfortable</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very uncomfortable</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately uncomfortable</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly uncomfortable</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not uncomfortable at all</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.61
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87

Based upon 2286 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083171A**

**Q2a2a. Black President make R pleased [VERSION R]**

**Location:** 1462-1463(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -1

**Question:**

**Q2a2a. Black President make R pleased [VERSION R]**

**IF R SELECTED FOR BLACK PRESIDENT QUESTIONS VERSION R:**

Thinking not about Barack Obama but instead thinking about all of the other black people who could be president in the future, does the idea of a black person being president make you feel EXTREMELY PLEASED, VERY PLEASED, MODERATELY PLEASED, SLIGHTLY PLEASED, or NOT PLEASED AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See PreRandom.32
Note that these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely Pleased</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very Pleased</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately Pleased</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly Pleased</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not Pleased at all</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION S</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 1107 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083171B**

**Q2a2b. Black President make R pleased [VERSION S]**

| Location: | 1464-1465(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Question:**

Q2a2b. Black President make R pleased [VERSION S]

**IF R SELECTED FOR BLACK PRESIDENT QUESTIONS VERSION S:**
Thinking about all of the black people who could be president in the future, does the idea of a black person being president make you feel EXTREMELY PLEASED, VERY PLEASED, MODERATELY PLEASED, SLIGHTLY PLEASED, or NOT PLEASED AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See PreRandom.32

Note that these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black
President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely Pleased</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very Pleased</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately Pleased</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly Pleased</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not Pleased at all</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION R</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 1066 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083171X  Q2a2x. SUMMARY: Black President make R pleased

Location: 1466-1467(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question: Q2a2x. SUMMARY: Black President make R pleased

SUMMARY: Black President make R pleased

Built from Q2a2a and Q2a2b.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of 2 versions of the pair of questions on black Presidents, either version Q2a1-Qa2 or else version Q2b1-Q2b2. See PreRandom.32
Note that these are all new questions; the R/S randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election black President questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely Pleased</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very Pleased</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately Pleased</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly Pleased</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not Pleased at all</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Based upon 2173 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083172  
Q3. Hope that US has African-American President

Location: 1468-1469(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8  
Question: Generally speaking, do you personally hope that the United States has an African American president in your lifetime, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1566</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.73
Based upon 2080 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083173**  
**Q4. US ready for African-American President**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1470-1471(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Q4. US ready for African-American President

Do you think America is ready for an African American president, or not?

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward George W. Bush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1426</td>
<td>61.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.41
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 2202 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083174**  
**R1a. Affect for GW Bush: angry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1472-1473(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

R1a. Affect for GW Bush: angry

IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH / 
IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH : 
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH Has George W. Bush – because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY /
Has George W. Bush – because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY]

Affects were administered in the same random order used for Presidential candidates. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about..." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for President George W. Bush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>67.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>32.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.29
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.87

Based upon 2303 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083174A R1a1. How often GW Bush affect angry

Location: 1474-1475(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

R1a1. How often GW Bush affect angry

IF R SAYS PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY: How often would you say you've felt angry -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>22.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 2.23
Median: 2.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 1555 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**R1b. Affect for GW Bush: hopeful**

**Location:** 1476-1477(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8

**Question:**

IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH :
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL /
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL]

Affects were administered in the same random order used for Presidential candidates. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about.." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for President George W. Bush.
- Study 25383 -

• Mean: 3.58
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.92

Based upon 2297 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083175A  R1b1. How often GW Bush affect

Location: 1478-1479(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: R1b1. How often GW Bush affect

IF R SAYS PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY
OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>15.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R1b</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>64.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.77
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 3.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 815 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083176  R1c. Affect for GW Bush: afraid

Location: 1480-1481(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

R1c. Affect for GW Bush: afraid

IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH / IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
AFRAID /
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: AFRAID]

Affects were administered in the same random order used for Presidential candidates. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about..." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for President George W. Bush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.99
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2306 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083176A  R1c1. How often GW Bush affect

Location: 1482-1483(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

R1c1. How often GW Bush affect

IF R SAYS PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY
OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R1c</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>50.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.29
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Based upon 1158 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>R1d. Affect for GW Bush: proud</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R1d. Affect for GW Bush: proud

IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH :
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:
PROUD /
Has George W. Bush -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
PROUD]

Affects were administered in the same random order used for Presidential candidates. See PreRandom.10. Sentence "Think about.." included only when affect was the 1st affect administered for President George W. Bush.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>38.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>60.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.44
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2296 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083177A</th>
<th>R1d1. How often GW Bush affect proud</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1486-1487 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

R1d1. How often GW Bush affect proud

**IF R SAYS PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:**
How often would you say you’ve felt proud — VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OCCASIONALLY, or RARELY?

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

**IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J**
**IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:**
[Please look at page 6;7 of the booklet.
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use
to describe GEORGE W. BUSH. For each, tell me whether the word
or phrase describes him. /
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use
to describe GEORGE W. BUSH. For each, tell me whether the word
or phrase describes him.]

The reference to the Respondent Booklet appeared only if R was randomly assigned to the standard version (VERSION J) of the Presidential traits questions.

The reference to the Respondent Booklet appeared only if R was randomly assigned to the standard version (VERSION J)
of the Presidential traits questions. For respondents who were assigned to the new version of Presidential traits questions (VERSION K), the Respondent Rooklet was not used. For respondents assigned to VERSION J, if R was randomly assigned to forward order of the response options (see PreRandom.2), then the question text page reference was to Page 6; however, if R was randomly assigned to reverse order of response options, then the question text page reference was to page 7. See PreRandom.20. for random assignment to version of Presidential traits questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very often</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly often</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Occasionally</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Rarely</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R1d</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>61.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 889 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083178A**

R2a1. President trait: moral [VERSION J]

Location: 1488-1489(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

R2a1. President trait: moral [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? /
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 1100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
R2a2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION J]

Location: 1490-1491 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

R2a2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE
WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J),
or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions
R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-
version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential
candidates (K1a1-K1a7, K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7, K2b1-K2b7).
See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version
assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential
level traits questions only and is independent of the
"OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.92

- Study 25383 -
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 1120 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083178C</th>
<th>R2a3. President trait: really cares [VERSION J]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1492-1493(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

R2a3. President trait: really cares [VERSION J]

IF R is selected for Presidential traits questions VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'really CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.
If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response
options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.09  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 1112 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083178D**  
**R2a4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION J]**

Location: 1494-1495(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

R2a4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:  
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /  
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)  
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?  
/  
(What about)  
'KNOWLEDGEABLE'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]  

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
set of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.

This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.70
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 1117 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083178E**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>R2a5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION J]</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1496-1497(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: R2a5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION J]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH / 
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is INTELLIGENT'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'INTELLIGENT'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7).
See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1. If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.69
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 1114 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083178F</th>
<th>R2a6. President trait: honest [VERSION J]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1498-1499(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>R2a6. President trait: honest [VERSION J]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is HONEST'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'HONEST'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

### Table 1: Distribution of President Trait: Optimistic [VERSION J]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 1103 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083178G R2a7. President trait: optimistic [VERSION J]

Location: 1500-1501 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

R2a7. President trait: optimistic [VERSION J]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION J:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:

[(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is OPTIMISTIC'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(Looking at page 6;7 of the booklet.)
(What about)
'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7, K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7, K2b1-K2b7).
See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

If R was randomly assigned to forward order of response options, then the Respondent Booklet reference was to Page 6; if R was randomly assigned to reverse-order response options, then the RB reference was to Page 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite well</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not too well</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not well at all</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION K</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.62
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 1091 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R2b1. President trait: moral [VERSION K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about) 'MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2). For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.09
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.31

Based upon 1146 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
R2b2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION K]

Location: 1504-1505 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

R2b2. President trait: strong leadership [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K :
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'
describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about)
'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>15.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 1174 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083179C**

R2b3. President trait: really cares [VERSION K]

Location: 1506-1507(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

R2b3. President trait: really cares [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /

IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:

[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about)
'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a
Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version
sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J),
or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions

R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-
version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7, K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7, K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.56
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 1169 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083179D

**R2b4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION K]**

Location: 1508-1509(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

**R2b4. President trait: knowledgeable [VERSION K]**

**IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K :**

**IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /**

**IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEROGE W. BUSH:**

[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

(What about)

[KNOWLEDGEABLE']

(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet. Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7, K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7, K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait. This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.08
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 1170 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083179E**  
**R2b5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION K]**

| Location: | 1510-1511(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

R2b5. President trait: intelligent [VERSION K]
IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL? /
(What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For each version of Presidential traits questions, the order in which individual traits were administered was the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.08
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- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 1178 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083179F</th>
<th>R2b6. President trait: honest [VERSION K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1512-1513(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2b6. President trait: honest [VERSION K]

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about) 'HONEST'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7,K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7,K2b1-K2b7). See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the "OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.39
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 1158 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### Question:

**R2b7. President trait: optimistic [VERSION K]**

IF R IS SELECTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS QUESTIONS VERSION K:
IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH:
[Think about GEORGE W. BUSH.]
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is OPTIMISTIC' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
/
(What about) 'OPTIMISTIC'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

### Variables:

**V083179G**

- **Location:** 1514-1515(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1
- **Question:**

VERSION K traits were administered without use of a Respondent Booklet.
Respondents were randomly assigned to standard-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2a1-R2a7 (VERSION J), or else to new-version sets of Presidential traits questions R2b1-R2b7 (VERSION K); note that assignment to standard-version or new-version traits is the same as for Presidential candidates (K1a1-K1a7, K1b1-K1b7 or K2a1-K2a7, K2b1-K2b7).
See PreRandom.20 (note that the J/K randomized version assignment is specific to the Pre-election Presidential level traits questions only and is independent of the
"OLD/NEW" random assignment in PreRandom.2).
For each version of Presidential traits questions, the
order in which individual traits were administered was
the same randomized order used for Presidential candidate
traits. See PreRandom.23a-PreRandom.23g. Note that
wording differed slightly for 1st administered trait.
This question was included in the subset of Pre-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See PreRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION J</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.09
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Based upon 1145 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083180**
**R3. U.S. more or less secure than when Pres took office**

Location: 1516-1517(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

R3. U.S. more or less secure than when Pres took

Would you say that compared to 2000, the United States is
more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't
this changed very much?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More secure</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less secure</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>30.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No change</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>35.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.05
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.66

Based upon 2274 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083180A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1518-1519(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R3a. How much U.S. more/less secure than when Pres took ofc**

IF R THINKS U.S. MORE SECURE THAN WHEN PRES GEORGE W. BUSH TOOK OFFICE / IF R THINKS U.S. LESS SECURE THAN WHEN PRES GEORGE W. BUSH TOOK OFFICE :
(Would you say) MUCH more secure or SOMewhat more secure? /
(Would you say) MUCH less secure or SOMewhat less secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>29.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>33.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R3</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>37.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.11
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1454 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083180X**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1520-1521(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R3ax. SUMMARY: U.S. more/less secure than when Pres took ofc**
Question:

R3ax. SUMMARY: U.S. more/less secure than when Pres

SUMMARY: U.S. more/less secure than when Pres took ofc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much more secure</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more secure</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. No change</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat less secure</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much less secure</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.99
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 2272 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

W1. Is religion important part of R life

| Location:     | 1522-1523(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type:| numeric (ISO)                    |
| Range of Missing Values (M):| -9 , -8 |

Question:

W1. Is religion important part of R life

Now on another topic....
Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life, or NOT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Important</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.98
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
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- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 2309 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083182</th>
<th>W2. Religion provides some guidance in day-to-day living</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1524-1525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT: Would you say your religion provides SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or a GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day life?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Some</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Quite a bit</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A great deal</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>39.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in W1</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>24.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.67  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 1740 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083183</th>
<th>W3. How often does R pray</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1526-1527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

Please look at page 16 of the booklet. People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray SEVERAL TIMES A DAY,
ONCE A DAY, A FEW TIMES A WEEK, ONCE A WEEK OR LESS, or NEVER?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Several times a day</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>34.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Once a day</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A few times a week</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once a week or less</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.37

Based upon 2309 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**W4. Bible is word of God or men**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1528-1529(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally,</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>43.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>word for word.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>39.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be taken literally, word for word.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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X1. Ever attend church/religious services

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X1a. Attend religious services how often

Based upon 2279 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

X1a. Attend religious services how often

Based upon 2316 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Every week</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Almost every week</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Once or twice a month</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few times a year</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in X1</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.34
• Median: 2.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 1535 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083186A  X1a1. Attend church more often than once a week
Location: 1534-1535(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1
Question: X1a1. Attend church more often than once a week

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':
Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN than once a week?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Once a week</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. More often than once a week</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2,3,4,5,-8,-9 in X1a; 5,-8,-9 in X1</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.51
• Median: 2.00
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- • Mode: 2.00
- • Minimum: 1.00
- • Maximum: 2.00
- • Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 566 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1536-1537(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1 |

**X3. Ever think of self as part of church or denomination**

**Question:**

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in X1 and 1-4,-8,-9 in X1a</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- • Mean: 2.97
- • Median: 1.00
- • Mode: 1.00
- • Minimum: 1.00
- • Maximum: 5.00
- • Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 796 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1538-1539(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1 |

**X3a. (Attends church) R major religious group**

**Question:**

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is PROTESTANT, ROMAN
### V083188B X3b. (Nonattendance) R major religious group

| Location: | 1540-1541(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

#### Question:

**IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:**

Do you consider yourself PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or SOMETHING ELSE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protestant</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Catholic</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Jewish</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in X1 and 1-4 in X1a; 5,-8,-9 in X1</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>82.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.61
- Median: 2.00
Based upon 402 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083188X**

**X3x. SUMMARY: initial mention major religious group**

**Location:** 1542-1543(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

**SUMMARY: initial mention major religious group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protestant</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Catholic</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Jewish</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in X3</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 1920 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083189**

**X4. Major relig denomination**

**Location:** 1544-1545(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

**X4. Major relig denomination**

*IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
What church or denomination is that?*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Baptist</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Lutheran</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Methodist</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Just Protestant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Presbyterian</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Reformed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Brethren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Evangelical United Brethren</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Christian or just Christian</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Christian Scientist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Church (or Churches) of Christ</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Church of God</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Assembly of God</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Congregationalist</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Holiness</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Pentecostal</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Friends, Quaker</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Orthodox (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Non-denominational - Protestant</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Mormons</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Jehovah's Witnesses</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Latter Day Saints</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Unitarian/Universalist</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Buddhist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28. Hindu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Muslim/Islam</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>40.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.43
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.24
Based upon 1354 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X4a. Specific Baptist denomination</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Southern Baptist Convention</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. American Baptist Churches in USA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. American Baptist Association</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. National Baptist Convention</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Independent Baptist</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.81
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.14

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH 
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this
strictly a local church?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger Baptist group (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Local</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-4,7,-8,-9 in X4a; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>96.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.94  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.23

Based upon 88 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083191  
X4b. Specific Lutheran denomination

Location: 1550-1551(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  
Question: X4b. Specific Lutheran denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH 
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, 
the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Evangelical Lutheran Church</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Missouri Synod</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V083192 X4c. Specific Methodist denomination

**Location:** 1552-1553 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

X4c. Specific Methodist denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST:
Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African
Methodist Episcopal Church, African Methodist Episcopal Zion
Church, or some other Methodist group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. United Methodist Church</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. African Methodist Episcopal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. African Methodist Episcopal Zion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3.5-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>94.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.26
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 136 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
V083193

**X4d. Specific Presbyterian denomination**

**Location:** 1554-1555(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

X4d. Specific Presbyterian denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN:
Is this the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other
Presbyterian group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-5,7-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>99.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.27
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 22 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083194

**X4e. Specific Reformed denomination**

**Location:** 1556-1557(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

X4e. Specific Reformed denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED:
Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in
America or some other Reformed group?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Christian Reformed Church</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Reformed Church in America</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 8-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.75
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083195  
**X4f. Specific Brethren denomination**

Location: 1558-1559(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question:

**X4f. Specific Brethren denomination**

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':  
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN:  
Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren,  
or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Church of the Brethren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Plymouth Brethren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-7,9-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083196  
**X4g. Specific Disciples denomination**

Location: 1560-1561(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
X4g. Specific Disciples denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN':
When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. I am just a Christian</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-9,11-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2217</td>
<td>95.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Based upon 104 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083197
X4h. Specific Church of Christ denomination

Location: 1562-1563(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

X4h. Specific Church of Christ denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST:
Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Church of Christ</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-11,13-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083198 X4j. Specific Church of God denomination**

| Location: | 1564-1565(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Question:**

X4j. Specific Church of God denomination

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD:

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Anderson, Indiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Cleveland, Tennessee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Church of God in Christ</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-14,16-30,80,-8,-9 in X4; 2,3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9 in X3</td>
<td>2310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 13 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083199 X4k. Specific Holiness/Pentacostal denomination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1566-1567(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>X4k. Specific Holiness/Pentacostal denomination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:
What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is the church called?

{THERESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, the full religion summary will be available, built from the complete set of variables in the religious identification section, including this response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083200 X5a. Specific other denomination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1568-1569(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>X5a. Specific other denomination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':
What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?

{USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE}
The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, the full religion summary will be available, built from the complete set of variables in the religious identification section, including this response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083201**  
**X5b. Specific other denomination Christian**

Location: 1570-1571(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -1  
Question: X5b. Specific other denomination Christian

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7 in X3x and 1-30,-9 in X4; 1,2,3,-9 in X3x;</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>95.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.42  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 104 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083202A**  
**X6a. (Attends) Specific Jewish denomination**

Location: 1572-1573(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
X6a. (Attends) Specific Jewish denomination

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,7,-8,-9 in X3a; 5,-8,-9 in X1a; 5,-8,-9 in X1</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>99.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.41
- Median: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.62

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

X6b. (Nonattendance) Specific Jewish denomination

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:
Do you consider yourself ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,7,-8,-9 in X3b; 1,-8,-9 in X3; 1-4 in X3a</td>
<td>2317</td>
<td>99.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 3.33
Median: 3.00
Mode: 3.00
Minimum: 2.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083202X

**X6bx. SUMMARY: Specific Jewish denomination**

**Location:** 1576-1577 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,7,-8,-9 in X3x</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.65
Median: 3.00
Mode: 3.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 23 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083203

**X7. R consider self born again**

**Location:** 1578-1579 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

X7. R consider self born again

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:
Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7 in X3x and 1-39,80,-9 in X4; 3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.95
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1831 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>X8. R belief in transubstantiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>1580-1581(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X8. R belief in transubstantiation

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:
Do you believe that when people take Holy Communion, the bread and wine become the body and blood of Jesus Christ, or do you believe that does not happen?

Questions X8-X9a were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, does happen</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, does not happen</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7 in X3x and 1-39,80,-9 in X4; 3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.27
- Median: 1.00
**V083205  X8a. Importance of belief in transubstantiation [NEW]**

Location: 1582-1583(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

X8a. Importance of belief in transubstantiation [NEW]

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:
IF R BELIEVES IN TRANSSUBSTANTIATION:
How important is this belief to you personally? NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?

Questions X8-X9a were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not important at all</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in X8; 7 in X3x and 1-39,80,-9 in X4;</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.02
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 1232 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083206  X9. R tried to be good Christian**

Location: 1584-1585(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

X9. R tried to be good Christian

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:

Have there been times in your life when you tried to be a good Christian, or is that not something you have tried to do?

Questions X8-X9 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, have been times</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, not tried to do</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7 in X3x and 1-39,80,-9 in X4; 3,-8,-9 in X3x; 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.31
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 1830 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083206A X9a. In what way R tried to be good Christian

Location: 1586-1587(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

X9a. In what way R tried to be good Christian

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:

IF R SAYS R HAS TRIED TO BE A GOOD CHRISTIAN:

When you have tried to be a good Christian, which did you try to do more: avoid doing sinful things yourself, or help other people?

{PROBE: Which did you try to do more?}

Questions X8-X9 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
Please turn to page 18 of the booklet. 
Next are some questions about different groups in our society. 
Please look, in the booklet, at a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in X9; 7 in X3x and 1-39,80,-9 in X4; 3,-8,-9</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Help others</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>38.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Avoid sin</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>33.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.54  
• Median: 2.00  
• Mode: 2.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 2.00  
• Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1677 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083207A**  
**X10a. Stereotype: whites hardworking**

Location: 1588-1589(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question: X10a. Stereotype: whites hardworking

In the first statement, a score of ‘1’ means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of ‘7’ means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of ‘4’ means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.  
Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hard-working</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Lazy</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.15
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Based upon 2248 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1590-1591(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

V083207B  
X10b. Stereotype: blacks hardworking

Question: Still looking at page 18 of the booklet.
Where would you rate BLACKS on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview). The order in which X10b-X10d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PreRandom.33a.
Based upon 2246 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083207C  |  X10c. Stereotype: Hispanic-Americans hardworking

| Location:  | 1592-1593(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8 |

Question: X10c. Stereotype: Hispanic-Americans hardworking
Still looking at page 18 of the booklet.
Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview). The order in which X10b-X10d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PreRandom.33b.
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 2251 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083207D</th>
<th>X10d. Stereotype: Asian-Americans hardworking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1594-1595 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X10d. Stereotype: Asian-Americans hardworking

Still looking at page 18 of the booklet.
Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
The order in which X10b-X10d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PreRandom.33c.

Please turn to page 19 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent".

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hard-working</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Lazy</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.76
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49
Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>20.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>27.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Unintelligent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.82
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.31

Based upon 2254 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1598-1599(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(S still looking at page 19 of the booklet.)
Where would you rate BLACKS on this scale?
- Study 25383 -

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
The order in which X11b-X11d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized; note that the order is the same as for X10b-X11d. See PreRandom.33a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>35.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Unintelligent</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.45
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.46

Based upon 2248 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**X11c. Stereotype: Hispanic-Americans intelligent**

Location: 1600-1601(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8

Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
The order in which X11b-X11d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized; note that the order is the same as for X10b-X11d. See PreRandom.33b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>19.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>34.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Unintelligent</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.49  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

Based upon 2238 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Location: 1602-1603(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
| Question: X11d. Stereotype: Asian-Americans intelligent |

X11d. Stereotype: Asian-Americans intelligent

(Still looking at page 19 of the booklet.)
Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS on this scale?

Questions X10-X10d and X11-X11d were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview). The order in which X11b-X11d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized; note that the order is the same as for X10b-X11d. See PreRandom.33c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2227 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083209**

**X12. Sexual orientation of R**

| Location: | 1604-1605(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 |

**Question:**

Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay (or lesbian), or bisexual?

Questions X12–X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Heterosexual or straight</td>
<td>2181</td>
<td>93.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Bisexual</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.12
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.60

Based upon 2274 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
1606-1607

Location: numeric (ISO)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

X12a. Sexual orientation of family and friends

Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?

Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>49.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>48.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2272 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

1608-1609

Location: numeric (ISO)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8
Question:

X13. Should laws protect homosexuals against job discrim

Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>69.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.12
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 2227 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>X13a. How much fav/opp laws protect gays against job discrim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>1610-1611(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>X13a. How much fav/opp laws protect gays against job</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/
IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
Do you favor such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? /
Do you oppose such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in X13</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.25
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2223 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
X13x. SUMMARY: fav/oppl laws protect gays against job discrim

Built from X13 and X13a.
Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 2223 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

X14. Should homosexuals serve in U.S. armed forces

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 2233 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083212A**  
**X14a. Strength favor/opp allowing gays to serve in military**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1616-1617(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

X14a. Strength favor/opp allowing gays to serve in

**IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/**
**IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:**
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should be allowed to serve? /
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in X14</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.17
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 5.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.82  

Based upon 2228 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083212X**  
**X14. SUMMARY: favor/opp allowing gays to serve in military**  
Location: 1618-1619(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question: 

X14. SUMMARY: favor/opp allowing gays to serve in military  

SUMMARY: favor/opp allowing gays to serve in military  

Built from X14 and X14a.  
Questions X12--X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Feel strongly should be allowed to serve</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>54.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Feel not strongly should be allowed to serve</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>21.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Feel not strongly should not be allowed to serve</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Feel strongly should not be allowed to serve</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.45  

Based upon 2228 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083213**  
**X15. Should homosexual couples be allowed to adopt**  
Location: 1620-1621(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8  
Question: 

X15. Should homosexual couples be allowed to adopt  

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?
Questions X12–X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>48.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>48.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2240 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083214**

**X16. R position on gay marriage**

Location: 1622-1623(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8

Question:

X16. R position on gay marriage

Should same-sex couples be ALLOWED to marry, or do you think they should NOT BE ALLOWED to marry?

Questions X12–X16 were administered ACASI (Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Should be allowed</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>36.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Should not be allowed</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>35.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Should not be allowed to marry but should be allowed to legally form a civil union {VOL}</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>23.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.80
- Median: 3.00
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- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 2253 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1624-1627(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

Y1a. Birthdate Year

(What is the month, day and year of your birth?)

(ENTER YEAR 1900-1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1919</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1921</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1922</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1923</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1925</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1926</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1927</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1937</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value 
#### Label
#### Unweighted Frequency  
#### %
1  

1980 -  
1981 -  
1982 -  
1983 -  
1984 -  
1985 -  
1986 -  
1987 -  
1988 -  
1989 -  
1990 -  
-9 -9. Refused  
-8 -8. Don't know  

• Mean: 1960.63  
• Median: 1961.00  
• Minimum: 1915.00  
• Maximum: 1990.00  
• Standard Deviation: 17.38

Based upon 2277 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083215B  
#### Y1b. Birthdate Month

| Location: | 1628-1629(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4 |
| Question: | What is the month, day and year of your birth? |

{ENTER in order month, day, year.}

ENTER HERE: month)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. January</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. February</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. March</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. April</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. May</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. June</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Y1c. Birthdate Day

(What is the month, day and year of your birth?)

{ENTER DAY 1-31}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.48
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 31.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.69

Based upon 2279 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083215X**

**Y1x. Age of Respondent**

**Location:**
1632-1633(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -8

**Question:**
Y1x. Age of Respondent

AGE OF RESPONDENT

- 574 -
For respondents born in 1990, age is calculated as 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 47.37
- Median: 47.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 93.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.38

Based upon 2277 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083216A**

**Y2a. Marital status [VERSION M]**

Location: 1634-1635(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question: Y2a. Marital status [VERSION M]

IF R SELECTED FOR MARITAL STATUS QUESTION VERSION M:
Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered
VERSION M (Y2a) or VERSION N (Y2b) marital status question.
See PreRandom.34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Married</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Widowed</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Divorced</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Separated</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never married</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Partnered, not married {VOL}</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION N</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

- 577 -
- Study 25383 -

Based upon 1203 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083216B  Y2b. Marital status [VERSION N]**

Location: 1636-1637(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

Y2b. Marital status [VERSION N]

IF R SELECTED FOR MARITAL STATUS QUESTION VERSION N:
Are you married, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered VERSION M (Y2a) or VERSION N (Y2b) marital status question. See PreRandom.34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Married</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Divorced</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Separated</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Widowed</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never married</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Partnered, not married {VOL}</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION M</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>52.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.69
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Based upon 1105 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083216X  Y2x. SUMMARY: Marital status**

Location: 1638-1639(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question:

Y2x. SUMMARY: Marital status
SUMMARY: Marital status

Built from Y2a and Y2b. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered VERSION M (Y2a) or VERSION N (Y2b) marital status question. See PreRandom.34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.  Married</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.  Divorced</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.  Separated</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.  Widowed</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.  Never married</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.  Partnered, not married {VOL}</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9.  Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8.  Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1.  INAP, R selected for VERSION M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.74

Based upon 2308 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y3. Highest grade of school or year of college R completed**

| Location: | 1640-1641(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 |
| Question: | What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? |

{INTERVIEWER: 'YEAR' REFERS TO COMPLETED GRADE LEVEL, NOT CALENDAR YEAR ENTER number 0-17:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>725</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.08
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 17.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.59

Based upon 2312 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V083218A Y3a. Did R get high school diploma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1642-1643(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y3a. Did R get high school diploma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Y3a. Did R get high school diploma**

**IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:**
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>33.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 13-17 in Y3</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>52.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.23
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 1110 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083218B**

**Y3b. Highest degree R has earned**

Location: 1644-1645(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question:

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

(PROBE: IF VOCATIONAL OR TECHNICAL DEGREE OR DIPLOMA, ASK:
"Is that an Associate's Degree?" IF NOT AN ASSOCIATE'S DEGREE,
CODE 'No degree earned')

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No degree earned</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>19.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Master's degree</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. LLB, JD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. JDC, STD, THD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Associate degree (AA)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0-12,-8,-9 in Y3</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>48.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 2.09
Median: 1.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 2.70

Based upon 1205 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083219  Y4. Spouse: highest grade or year of college

Location: 1646-1647(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: Y4. Spouse: highest grade or year of college

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED IN Y2a/Y2b:
What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

{INTERVIEWER: 'YEAR' REFERS TO COMPLETED GRADE LEVEL, NOT CALENDAR YEAR
ENTER number 0-17:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Y4a. Did spouse get high school diploma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.97
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 17.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

Based upon 995 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### Y4b. Highest degree spouse has earned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 13-17 in Y4; 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 471 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

Y4b. Highest degree spouse has earned

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED IN Y2:
IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:
What is the highest degree that (he/she) has earned?

(PROBE: IF VOCATIONAL OR TECHNICAL DEGREE OR DIPLOMA, ASK:
"Is that an Associate's Degree?" IF NOT AN ASSOCIATE'S DEGREE,
CODE 'No degree earned')

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No degree earned</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Master's degree</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. LLB, JD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. JDC, STD, THD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Associate degree (AA)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0-12,-8,-9 in Y4; 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>77.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.22
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.69

Based upon 524 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y5. Is R or has R ever been in the military

Have you ever served or are you currently serving in the US military, the National Guard, or military reserves?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Currently serving</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not currently serving but previously served</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. R has never served in the military</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Based upon 2318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083222**

**Y6. Employment status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1654-1655(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y6. Employment status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please look at page 20 of the booklet. We’d like to know if YOU are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

{INTERVIEWER: ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

This represents the initial employment status of the respondent to begin the employment-occupation series. A summary variable for employment status that incorporates additional work status information determined in later questions of the employment-occupation series will be provided in a future release. Note that for respondents with an initial employment status other than 1 'Working now' or 4 'Unemployed,' the remaining questions in the employment/occupation series (section Y7a-Y16k) will be administered with inclusion of a question that specifically asks if the respondent is currently working; if the answer is yes (currently working), questions on the current employment are then administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Working now</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Temporarily laid off</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Unemployed</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Retired</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Permanently disabled</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Homemaker</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Student</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.82  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 8.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.34

Based upon 2317 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1656-1657(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  

**Y7a. Initial status Homemaker/student: working now**

**Question:** IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.30  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 207 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Y7b. Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6 months

Location: 1658-1659(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y7b. Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT:
IF R IS NOT ALSO WORKING FOR PAY NOW:
Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 1,2,4,5,6,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>2152</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Based upon 171 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y8a. Initial status retiree - when retired Year

Location: 1660-1663(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y8a. Initial status retiree - when retired Year

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIREE:
(When did you retire?)

(ENTER YEAR 1920-2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4,6,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1935</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1995.64  
- Median: 1998.00  
- Mode: 2007.00  
- Minimum: 1945.00  
- Maximum: 2008.00  
- Standard Deviation: 10.71

Based upon 374 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083225B Y8b. Initial status retiree - when retired Month**

Location: 1664-1665(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

**Question:**
Y8b. Initial status retiree - when retired Month

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIREE:  
When did you retire?

{ENTER in order month, day, year  
ENTER HERE: month }

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. January</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. February</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. March</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. April</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. May</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. June</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. July</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. August</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. September</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. October</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. November</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. December</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4,6,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1935</td>
<td>83.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.38
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.22

Based upon 319 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083225C</th>
<th>Y8c. Initial status retiree - when retired Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1666-1667(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y8c. Initial status retiree - when retired Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIREE:</td>
<td>(When did you retire?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{ENTER DAY 1-31}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4,6,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1935</td>
<td>83.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.40
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 31.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.77

Based upon 240 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083226 Y9. Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work for pay**

| Location: | 1668-1669(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

Y9. Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS DISABLED:
Have you ever done any work for pay?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,5,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>86.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.26  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 313 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083227 Y10a. Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)

Location: 1670-1671 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -3  
Question:

Y10a. Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIRED/  
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /  
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /  
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS HMKR/STUDENT AND HAS WORKED LAST 6 MOS:  
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job?  
(What was your occupation)? What were your most important activities or duties?)

{CONSULT HELP SCREEN}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, occupation coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083228 Y10c. Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)

Location: 1672-1673 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -3  
Question:

Y10c. Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIRED/
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS HMKR/STUDENT AND HAS WORKED LAST 6 MOS:
What kind of business/industry was that?

{CONSULT HELP SCREEN}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, industry coding
will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083229 Y10d. Past self-empl status (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Location: 1674-1675(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question:

Y10d. Past self-empl status (R

IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS RETIRED/
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIALLY IDENTIFIES SELF AS HMKR/STUDENT AND HAS WORKED LAST 6 MOS:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Someone else</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>27.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both self and someone else</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Self-employed</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y7b; 5,-8,-9 in Y9; 1,2,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>69.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.41
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 716 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1676-1677(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1 |

**Y10e. Past occupation: govt work (R)**

**Question:**
If R initially identifies self as retired /
If R initially identifies self as unemployed and has ever worked for pay /
If R initially identifies self as disabled and has ever worked for pay /
If R initially identifies self as hmrk/student and has worked last 6 mos:
If R did not work exclusively for self:
Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>20.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y10d; 5,-8,-9 in Y7b; 1,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>71.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.00
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.73

Based upon 647 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1678-1679(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1 |

**Y11a. Initial status unempl/ret/disabled: job in last 6 mos**

**Question:**
If initial status is unemployed and has ever worked for pay /
If initial status is retired /
If initial status is disabled and has ever worked for pay:
Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y9; 1,2,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.40
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 681 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083232**

**Y12. Past/recent occupation: how many hours worked avg week**

- Location: 1680-1682(width: 3; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

Y12. Past/recent occupation: how many hours worked avg

**IF INITIAL STATUS IS HMKR/STUD/RET/UNEMP/DISABLED AND HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS:** About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

{ENTER NUMBER OF HOURS 1-168}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in Y11a; 5, -8, -9 in Y7b; 1, -8, -9 in Y7a; 5, -8, -9</td>
<td>2183</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 37.92
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 110.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.16

Based upon 140 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083233</th>
<th>Y13a. Initial status retired/disabled: working now</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1683-1684(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y13a. Initial status retired/disabled: working now</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF INITIAL STATUS IS RETIRED /
IF INITIAL STATUS IS DISABLED AND HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS:
## Study 25383

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y11a; 1,2,4,7,8,-8,-9 in Y6</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>75.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.70
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 559 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083233A

**Y14. Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: look for wk**

- Location: 1685-1686(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1


**IF INITIAL STATUS IS RETIRED AND NOT ALSO WORKING /**
**IF INITIAL STATUS IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY/**
**IF INITIAL STATUS IS HOMEMKR/STUD, NOT ALSO WORKG BUT HAS WORKD IN PAST 6 MO /**
**IF INITIAL STATUS IS UNEMPLOYED:**

Are you looking for work at the present time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5 in Y6 and 1 in Y13a; 6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y9;</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.16
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.63
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Based upon 809 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1687-1688(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Y15. Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmrk/st: worry find**

**Question:**

Y15. Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: worry

IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED, NOT ALSO WORKING, AND LOOKING FOR WORK /
IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, AND LOOKING FOR WORK /
IF INIT STATUS HMKR/STUD, NOT ALSO WORKG, WKD PAST 6 MO, AND LOOKG FOR WORK /
IF INITIAL STATUS IS UNEMPLOYED, AND LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future: A LOT, SOMETHING, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much at all</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y14; 5 in Y6 and 1 in Y13a; 6 in Y6 and 5,</td>
<td>2154</td>
<td>92.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 168 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1689-1690(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Y16a. Working/TLO now - occupation**

**Question:**

Y16a. Working/TLO now - occupation

IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):

What is your main occupation?
(What kind of work do you do? What are your most important activities or duties?)
(CONSULT HELP SCREEN)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, occupation coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1691-1692(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -3 |

Y16c. Working/TLO - industry

IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):
What kind of business/industry is that?

(CONSULT HELP SCREEN)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, industry coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 1693-1694(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1 |

Y16d. Working/TLO now - work for self

IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):
Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Someone else</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both self and someone else</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Self-employed</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.54
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.32

Based upon 1484 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1695-1696(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Y16e. Working/TLO now - work for govt**

Question:

*IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS): IF R WORKS FOR SOMEONE ELSE OR FOR BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF: Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y16d; 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a;</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 1294 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | -600 - |
| Variable Type: | - |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | - |

**Y16f. Working/TLO now - how many hours R works**
Y16f. Working/TLO now - how many hours R works

IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):
About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>36.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 40.33
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 125.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.36

Based upon 1480 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fewer</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About right</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>41.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in Y16f; 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>36.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.86
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 1480 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

- Y16g. Working/TLO now: hours works OK

| Location: | 1700-1701(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

Question:

Y16g. Working/TLO now: hours works OK

IF R IS WORKING NOW/TEMP LAID OFF (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):
IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/RF:
Is that MORE hours than you want to work, FEWER hours than you want to work, or generally ABOUT RIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fewer</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About right</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>41.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in Y16f; 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>36.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Y16h. Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near future

| Location: | 1702-1703(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

Question:

Y16h. Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near
How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unweighted Frequency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.91
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.54

Based upon 1484 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y083241 Y16j. Working now: out of work or laid off in last 6 mos**

- Location: 1704-1705(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

**Question:**

IF R IS WORKING NOW (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):
Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unweighted Frequency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.54
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
V083242  
**Y16k. Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay cut**

| Location: | 1706-1707 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

**Y16k. Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay**

**IF R IS WORKING NOW (INCL COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER STATUS):**

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,8 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9 in Y7a; 5,6 in Y6 and 5,-8,-9</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>38.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.33
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 1435 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083244A  
**Y18a. Spouse/partner working status mention 1**

| Location: | 1708-1709 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

**Question:**

**Y18a. Spouse/partner working status mention 1**

**IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED:**

Please look at page 20 of the booklet.

We'd like to know about your (HUSBAND/WIFE/PARTNER). Is (he/she) working now, temporarily laid off, or is (he/she) unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?
### Study 25383

**Y18b. Spouse/partner working status mention 2**

**Question:**
IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED:
Please look at page 20 of the booklet.
We'd like to know about your (HUSBAND/WIFE/PARTNER).
Is (he/she) working now, temporarily laid off, or is (he/she)
unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student,
or what?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Working now</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Temporarily laid off</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Unemployed</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Retired</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Permanently disabled</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Homemaker</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Student</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>56.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.80  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 8.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.39

Based upon 1005 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V083244B**

| Location: | 1710-1711(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Retired</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Permanently disabled</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Homemaker</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Student</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>2282</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.02  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 5.00  
- Maximum: 8.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 41 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083244C Y18c. Spouse/partner working status mention 3**

Location: 1712-1713(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  
Question: Y18c. Spouse/partner working status mention 3

IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED:  
Please look at page 20 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know about your (HUSBAND/WIFE/PARTNER).  
Is (he/she) working now, temporarily laid off, or is (he/she) unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Working now</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Temporarily laid off</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Unemployed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Retired</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Permanently disabled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Homemaker</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Student</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-5,-8,-9 in Y2a or Y2b</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.50
- Median: 7.50
- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083245**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y19. Anyone in HH belong to labor union</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1714-1715(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>2033</td>
<td>87.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.52
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2308 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083246**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y19a. Who in HH belongs to union</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1716-1717(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

If someone in household belongs to labor union:

- 608 -
Who is it that belongs?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Respondent only</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Respondent and spouse/partner</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Respondent and someone else</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Spouse/partner only</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Spouse/partner and someone else</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Someone else only</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y19</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 16.01
• Median: 10.00
• Mode: 10.00
• Minimum: 10.00
• Maximum: 40.00
• Standard Deviation: 9.43

Based upon 275 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083247  Y20. IWR INCOME CHECKPOINT- persons in HH age 14 or older

Location: 1718-1718(width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Question: Y20. IWR INCOME CHECKPOINT- persons in HH age 14 or older

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT (choose one):

{INTERVIEWER: If unsure, CODE "1".}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Someone IN ADDITION TO RESPONDENT is age 14 or older in household</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. NO ONE OTHER THAN RESPONDENT is age 14 or older in household</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.63
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083248</th>
<th>Y21a. Household income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1719-1720(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y21a. Household income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ / IF R IS MARRIED/PARNTERED AND ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14+:
Please look at page 21 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2007 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

{IF R SAYS "don't know", write it in the COMMENT box and probe with "what would be your best estimate?")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A. None or less than $2,999</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. B. $3,000-$4,999</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. C. $5,000-$7,499</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. D. $7,500-$9,999</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. E. $10,000-$10,999</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. F. $11,000-$12,499</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. G. $12,500-$14,999</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. H. $15,000-$16,999</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. J. $17,000-$19,999</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. K. $20,000-$21,999</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. M. $22,000-$24,999</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. N. $25,000-$29,999</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. P. $30,000-$34,999</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Q. $35,000-$39,999</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. R. $40,000-$44,999</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. S. $45,000-$49,999</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. T. $50,000-$59,999</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. U. $60,000-$74,999</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. V. $75,000-$89,999</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. W. $90,000-$99,999</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. X. $100,000-$109,999</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Y. $110,000-$119,999</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Z. $120,000-$134,999</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. AA. $135,000-$149,999</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. BB. $150,000 and over</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5 in Y20 and not married/partnered in Y2a/Y2b</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Unweighted Frequency Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A. None or less than $2,999</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. B. $3,000 - $4,999</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. C. $5,000 - $7,499</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. D. $7,500 - $9,999</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. E. $10,000 - $10,999</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. F. $11,000 - $12,499</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. G. $12,500 - $14,999</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. H. $15,000 - $16,999</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. J. $17,000 - $19,999</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. K. $20,000 - $21,999</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. M. $22,000 - $24,999</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. N. $25,000 - $29,999</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. P. $30,000 - $34,999</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Q. $35,000 - $39,999</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. R. $40,000 - $44,999</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. S. $45,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. T. $50,000 - $59,999</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. U. $60,000 - $74,999</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. V. $75,000 - $89,999</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. W. $90,000 - $99,999</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. X. $100,000 - $109,999</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Y. $110,000 - $119,999</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Z. $120,000 - $134,999</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. AA. $135,000 - $149,999</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. BB. $150,000 and over</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 10.52
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 25.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.20

Based upon 2172 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083250

**Y22. Think of self as belonging to class**

- **Location:** 1723-1724(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
Y22. Think of self as belonging to class

(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

A social class identification summary will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>67.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>31.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.27
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2298 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y22a. Social Class: Working or Middle

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MEMBER OF EITHER MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS: Which one?

A social class identification summary will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Middle class</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Working class</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>34.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Study 25383**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y22</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.11  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.04

Based upon 1563 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083250B**  
**Y22b. Social Class: had to choose working/Middle**

| Location: | 1727-1728(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Question:**  
**Y22b. Social Class: had to choose working/Middle**

**IF R DOESN'T THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:**  
Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself MIDDLE CLASS or WORKING CLASS?  

A social class identification summary will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Upper class (VOL)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Middle class</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Working class</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lower class (VOL)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in Y22</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>67.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.94  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 0.00
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- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 701 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083250C</th>
<th>Y23. Social class: average or upper working/middle class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1729-1730(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y23. Social class: average or upper working/middle class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE CLASS /
IF R THINKS OF SELF AS WORKING CLASS:
Would you say that you are about AVERAGE middle class or that you are in the UPPER PART of the middle class? /
Would you say that you are about AVERAGE working class or that you are in the UPPER PART of the working class?

A social class identification summary will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Average</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>73.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Upper</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,6,7,-8,-9 in Y22b; 7,-8,-9 in Y22a</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.90
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Based upon 2168 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083251A</th>
<th>Y24a. Race of Respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1731-1732(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y24a. Race of Respondent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Black</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>24.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Asian</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>18.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. White</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>51.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 38.46  
• Median: 50.00  
• Mode: 50.00  
• Minimum: 10.00  
• Maximum: 90.00  
• Standard Deviation: 18.39

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083251B  Y24b. Race of Respondent

Location: 1733-1734(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1  
Question: Y24b. Race of Respondent

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Asian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. White</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no further mention</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 47.08
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 20.00
- Maximum: 90.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.25

Based upon 48 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y083251C **

**Y24c. Race of Respondent**

Location: 1735-1736(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1
Question: Y24c. Race of Respondent

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. White</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no further mention</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 38.00
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 30.00
- Maximum: 50.00
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- Standard Deviation: 10.95

Based upon 5 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083251D</th>
<th>Y24d. Race of Respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1737-1738(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y24d. Race of Respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. White</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no further mention</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 65.00
- Median: 65.00
- Minimum: 40.00
- Maximum: 90.00
- Standard Deviation: 35.36

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083251E</th>
<th>Y24e. Race of Respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1739-1740(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y24e. Race of Respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Y25. Main ethnic or nationality group

**Y25. Main ethnic or nationality group**

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, ethnicity coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083252

**V083252**  

| Location: | 1741-1742(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -3 |

**Question:**

Y25. Main ethnic or nationality group

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, ethnicity coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

Y26. IWR CHECKPOINT: number of ethnic mentions

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
Number of ethnic groups mentioned by R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>65.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two or more</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Respondent refused to answer or doesn't know nationality</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.01
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083254 Y26a. Ethnic group most close

Location: 1744-1745 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3
Question:

Y26a. Ethnic group most close

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:
With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, ethnicity coding will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083255 Y27. IWR CHECKPOINT: MENTION ETHNIC HISPANIC

Location: 1746-1747 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1
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Question:

Y27. IWR CHECKPOINT: MENTION ETHNIC HISPANIC

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DID R MENTION HISPANIC GROUP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no response to ethnicity question</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.08
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Based upon 2299 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083256 Y28a. No ethnic mention Hispanic: is R of Hispanic descent

Location: 1748-1749(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y28a. No ethnic mention Hispanic: is R of Hispanic

IF DID NOT INCLUDE HISPANIC IN MENTION OF RACE OR ETHNICITY:
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in Y27 or no response to ethnicity question or 40 in Y24a-Y24e</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.94
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49
Based upon 1738 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y28b. Hispanic: type Hispanic

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:
Please look at page 22 of the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Mexican</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Puerto Rican</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Cuban</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Latin American</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Central American</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Spanish</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY) {VOL}</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y28a and not Hispanic in Y24a-Y24e</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>75.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.71
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.25

Based upon 563 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y29a. Native status of parents

Where were your parents born: were they both born in the U.S., was one born in the U.S., or were both born in another country?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Both parents born in the U. S.</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. One parent born in the U. S.</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither parent born in the U. S.</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.70

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083258**  
**Y29b. LATINO Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other country**

| Location: | 1754-1755(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Question:**

Y29b. LATINO Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:
Were you born in the mainland United States, Puerto Rico or some other country?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Mainland U. S.</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some other country {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1,5 in Y27 and 1 not in Y28a</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.46
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 580 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083259**  
**Y29c. LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside the U.S.**
Y29c. LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:
How many of your grandparents, if any, were born outside the U.S.A.?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. None</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. All</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1.5 in Y27 and 1 not in Y28a</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.33
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.67

Based upon 553 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y29d. LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage

V083260

Location: 1758-1759(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Y29d. LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:
Families of Hispanic or Latino background in the United States come from many different countries.
From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

{IF MORE THAN 1 COUNTRY GIVEN: "Which country does most of your family come from?
IF RESPONSE IS 'U.S.: "Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than}
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the U.S.?}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Argentina</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Bolivia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Chile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Colombia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Costa Rica</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Cuba</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Dominican Republic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Ecuador</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. El Salvador</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Guatemala</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Honduras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Mexico</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Nicaragua</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Panama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Paraguay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Peru</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Spain</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Uruguay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Venezuela</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. U. S. A.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. OTHER {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1,5 in Y27 and 1 not in Y28a</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>75.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.78
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 77.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.05

Based upon 554 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083261</th>
<th>Y29g. LATINO Rs: When did R arrive in U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1760-1763(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y29g. LATINO Rs: When did R arrive in U.S.

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:
IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.
When did you first arrive to live in the U.S. (mainland)?

{RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR 1910-2008}
and 1 not in Y28a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1938</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in Y29b; 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1,5 in Y27</td>
<td>2182</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1977.47
- Median: 1978.00
- Mode: 1980.00
- Minimum: 1938.00
- Maximum: 2007.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.99

Based upon 139 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V083262</td>
<td>Y29h. LATINO Rs: In what year did R become a U.S. citizen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Location:
1764-1767(width: 4; decimal: 0)

#### Variable Type:
numeric (ISO)

#### Range of Missing Values (M):
-9 , -8 , -1

#### Question:
Y29h. LATINO Rs: In what year did R become a U.S.

**IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:**
**IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.**
In what year did you become a naturalized U.S. citizen?

(RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR 1910-2008 OR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7777</td>
<td>7777. Born outside of U.S. but born a U.S. citizen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1,5 in Y27 and 1 not in Y28a</td>
<td>2182</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2344.08  
- Median: 1997.00  
- Minimum: 1944.00  
- Maximum: 7777.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1390.95

Based upon 131 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083263**  
**Y29j. LATINO Rs: language at home**

| Location: | 1768-1769(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -1 |

**Question:**

Y29j. LATINO Rs: language at home

IF R MENTIONS HISPANIC FOR RACE OR ETHNICITY:  
What language do you primarily speak at home with your family?  
Is it only English, mostly English, only Spanish, mostly Spanish, or both languages equally?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Only English</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Mostly English</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both languages equally</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Mostly Spanish</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Only Spanish</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Value Label Unweighted Frequency %

-8  -8. Don't know  0  0.0 %
-1  -1. INAP, 40 not in Y24a-Y24e and -1,5 in Y27 and 1 not in Y28a  1742  75.0 %

- Mean: 2.42  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 580 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083264</th>
<th>Y30. Where R grew up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1770-1771(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y30. Where R grew up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where was it that you grew up?

(IF UNITED STATES AND STATE(S) NOT PROVIDED, PROBE: WHAT STATE OR STATES?)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential. In a future release, coded data will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083265A</th>
<th>Y31a. How many children in HH age 10 and younger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1772-1773(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y31a. How many children in HH age 10 and younger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many children age 10 or younger live in this household?
### Y31b. How many children in HH age 11-17

How many children age 11 to 17 live in this household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.47
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 2317 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Y32a. How long lived in this community: years

How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

(ENTER years, THEN months:
ENTER ON THIS SCREEN- YEARS 0-75
ENTER 0 if answer was months only

These data represent the values as they were coded by the interviewer. In some instances both a high number of years and a high number of months were coded for Y32a and Y32b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 28.97
- Median: 20.00
- Mode: 77.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 90.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.10

Based upon 2310 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083266B

**Y32b. How long lived in this community - Months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1778-1779(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y32b. How long lived in this community - Months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

(ENTER month 0-23  
ENTER 0 if answer was years only)
These data represent the values coded by the interviewer. In some instances both a high number of years and a high number of months were coded for Y32a and Y32b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.79  
- Median: 0.00  
- Mode: 0.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 3.90

Based upon 2301 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y33. Where R lived previously</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1780-1781(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

Y33. Where R lived previously

IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
Where did you live before you moved here?

(ENTER city/county/state. PROBE for county if rural area
DO NOT ACCEPT STATE ALONE WITHOUT COUNTY OR CITY/TOWN)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is
confidential. In a future release, coded data will be
available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y34. Distance where R used to live (miles)

IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
How far away is that?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Less than 1 mile</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One mile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2-4 miles</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 5-9 miles</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 10-19 miles</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. 20-49 miles</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. 50-99 miles</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. 100-199 miles</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. 200-499 miles</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. 500 miles or more</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, less than 2 years in Y32a-Y32b</td>
<td>2113</td>
<td>91.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 208 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083269A</th>
<th>Y35a. How long lived in this dwelling unit: years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1784-1785(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y35a. How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

(ENTER years, THEN months:
ENTER ON THIS SCREEN- YEARS 0-75
ENTER 0 if answer was months only)

These data represent the values coded by the interviewer. In some instances both a high number of years and a high number of months were coded for Y35a and Y35b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y35b. How long lived in this dwelling unit - Months

How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

(ENTER month 0-23
ENTER 0 if answer was years only)

These data represent the values coded by the interviewer.
In some instances both a high number of years and a high number of months were coded for Y35a and Y35b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>60.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### Y36a. How many telephone numbers in HH

**How many telephone NUMBERS are there in this household, including regular phone numbers, fax numbers, and cell phone numbers that are answered by anyone who lives here?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.34

Based upon 2301 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### Unweighted Frequency Count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.38
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 2311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V083271A

**Y36b1. Business use - multiple HH phones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1790-1791(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y36b1. Business use - multiple HH phones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF 2-10 TELEPHONE NUMBERS IN HH:
How many of these -NUMBER- numbers are only for business use AND are never answered for personal phone calls?

{IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R}
## - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>58.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,1,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.62

Based upon 1638 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083271B Y36b2. Business use - 1 HH phone

**Location:** 1792-1793(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -1

#### Question:

**Y36b2. Business use - 1 HH phone**

**IF 1 TELEPHONE NUMBER IN HH:**

Is this number only for business use, or is it not for business use only?

{IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. INTREVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a business-only number</td>
<td></td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Business-only number</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,2-10,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td></td>
<td>1684</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.03
Based upon 638 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y36c1. Computer or fax - multiple phones

IF >1 NON-BUSINESS-ONLY PHONES IN HOUSEHOLD:

Of the -NUMBER- numbers that are NOT only for business use, how many are ever used for computers or fax machines?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>41.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,1,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.39
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

Based upon 1539 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y36c2. Computer or fax - 1 phone

Y36c2. Computer or fax - 1 phone
IF 1 NON-BUSINESS-ONLY PHONE IN HOUSEHOLD:
Is the one number that is NOT for business use ever used for a computer or fax machine?

(IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD.
INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not used for computer/fax</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Used for computer/fax</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,-8,-9 in Y36b2; 0,2-10,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>70.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 0.13
• Median: 0.00
• Mode: 0.00
• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.34

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083273A  Y36d1. Answered computer or fax - multiple phones

Location: 1798-1799(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -1

Question: Y36d1. Answered computer or fax - multiple phones

IF >1 NON-BUSINESS-ONLY NUMBERS ARE USED FOR COMPUTER OR FAX:
How many of these -NUMBER- numbers are ever answered for talking in response to personal, non-business telephone calls?

(IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD.
INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1.,-8.,-9 in Y36c1; 1.,-8.,-9 in Y36b1; 0,1.,-8.,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>2292</td>
<td>98.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.71
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1800-1801(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |

| Question: | Y36d2. Answered computer or fax - 1 phone |

**IF 1 NON-BUSINESS-ONLY NUMBER IS USED FOR COMPUTER OR FAX:**
Is this number ever answered for talking in response to personal, non-business telephone calls?

(IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not used for talking in personal phone calls</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Used for talking in personal phone calls</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0.,-8.,-9 in Y36c2; 0.,-8.,-9 in Y36b2; 0,2,10.,-8.,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>72.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.83
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Based upon 629 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 1802-1803(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
- Study 25383 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y36f1. Cell phone - multiple HH phones

IF >1 TELEPHONE NUMBERS IN HH:

How many of the -NUMBER- telephone numbers in this household are cell phone numbers?

{"MOBILE" PHONE COUNTS AS CELL PHONE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,1,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.01
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 1632 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y36f2. Cell phone - 1 HH phone

Location: 1804-1805(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y36f2. Cell phone - 1 HH phone

IF 1 TELEPHONE NUMBER IN HH:
Is this a cell phone number?

{"MOBILE" PHONE COUNTS AS CELL PHONE. 
IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. 
INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,2-10,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.41
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Based upon 637 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083275A**

**Y36g1. Cell phone business use - multiple phones**

Location: 1806-1807 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1

Question:

Y36g1. Cell phone business use - multiple phones

IF 2-10 CELL PHONE NUMBERS IN HH:
Of the -NUMBER- cell phone numbers, how many are for business use only AND never answered for PERSONAL phone calls?

{IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. 
INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Y36g2. Cell phone business use - 1 phone

**Location:** 1808-1809 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -1

**Question:**

> IF 1 CELL PHONE NUMBER IN HH THAT IS NOT THE ONLY PHONE:  
> Is this cell phone number for business use only, or is it not for business use only?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in Y36f1; 0,1,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>53.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.25

Based upon 531 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

### Y36h1. Non-business-only cell phones with use as modem/fax

**Value** | **Label** | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%** |
---|---|---|---|
0 | 0. Not a business-only number | 496 | 21.4 % |
1 | 1. Business-only number | 35 | 1.5 % |
-9 | -9. Refused | 0 | 0.0 % |
-8 | -8. Don't know | 0 | 0.0 % |
-1 | -1. INAP, 0,-8,-9 in Y36f2; 0,2-10,-8,-9 in Y36a | 1792 | 77.1 % |

- Mean: 0.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.25

Based upon 531 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Y36h1. Non-business-only cell phones with use as

IF > 1 NON-BUSINESS-ONLY CELL PHONES:

For the -NUMBER- cell phone numbers that are NOT only for business, how many are ever used for computers or fax machines?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>40.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in Y36g1; 1,-8,-9 in Y36f1; 0,1,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>56.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Based upon 1004 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y36h1.1 Non-business-only cell phone with use as modem/fax

V083276B
- Study 25383 -

INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not used for computer/fax</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Used for computer/fax</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,-1,-9 in Y36g2; 0,-8,-9 in Y36f2; 0,2-10,-8,-9 in Y36a</td>
<td>1777</td>
<td>76.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.16

Based upon 546 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083277A Y36j1. Answered non-bus-only cell phones w/use as modem/fax

| Location: | 1814-1815(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -1 |
| Question: | Y36j1. Answered non-bus-only cell phones w/use as |
| How many of these -NUMBER- cell phone numbers that are used for a computer or fax machine are also ever ANSWERED for PERSONAL phone calls? |

{IF R GIVES A SPOKEN DESCRIPTION, RECORD IN THE COMMENT FIELD. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in Y36h1; 1,-8,-9 in Y36g1; 1,-8,-9 in Y36f1;</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>99.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.39
- Median: 2.00
Based upon 18 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not answered for PERSONAL phone calls</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Answered for PERSONAL phone calls</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0,-8,-9 in Y36h2; 0,-8,-9 in Y36g2; 0,-8,-9 in Y36f2;</td>
<td>2261</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 62 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

IF NUMBERS INDICATED IN TELEPHONE SERIES IS MORE THAN INITIAL COUNT:
Earlier you said there were -NUMBER- telephone numbers in this household, including regular phone numbers, fax numbers, and cell phone numbers that are answered by anyone who lives here. Did I record that correctly as -NUMBER-, or are there more numbers than that?

{INTERVIEWER: RECORD ANY COMMENTS WHICH DESCRIBE HOUSEHOLD TELEPHONE NUMBERS. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE BY READING BACK TO R}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. NUMBER total numbers was recorded correctly</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>29.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. There are more telephone numbers than NUMBER</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {INTERVIEWER: RECORD DESCRIPTION IN COMMENT FIELD}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, numbers indicated in series not more than initial count</td>
<td>1618</td>
<td>69.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.09  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.62

Based upon 704 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083279**

**Y36k1. Phone discrepancy - set number**

Location: 1820-1821(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -1  
Question: Y36k1. Phone discrepancy - set number

IF MORE NUMBERS THAN PREVIOUSLY INDICATED:  
How many telephone numbers are there altogether, including cell phones?

{INTERVIEWER: RECORD ANY COMMENTS WHICH DESCRIBE HOUSEHOLD TELEPHONE NUMBERS. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in Y36k</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 2.50
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.34

Based upon 16 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V083280 Y36x. Verification of landlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1822-1823(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question:

As a final check that I have been recording information correctly, please give the total number of landlines in this household that are ANSWERED for PERSONAL phone calls.

{INTERVIEWER: RECORD ANY COMMENTS ABOUT TELEPHONE NUMBERS. INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM THE RESPONSE NUMBER BY READING BACK TO R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.85  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 10.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

Based upon 2314 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083281**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y37. Does R family own/rent home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1824-1825(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y37. Does R family own/rent home

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Own home</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Pay rent</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 2313 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083301A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZZ1a. PRE IWR OBS: others present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 1826-1829(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:
ZZ1a. PRE IWR OBS: others present

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Others present at time of interview:

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083302  ZZ2. PRE IWR OBS: R cooperation

Location: 1830-1831(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -8, -4

Question: ZZ2. PRE IWR OBS: R cooperation

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
R's cooperation was:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very good</td>
<td>1647</td>
<td>70.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Good</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fair</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Poor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.36
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.63
Based upon 2305 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083303  ZZ3. PRE IWR OBS: R level of information**

| Location: | 1832-1833(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**

**ZZ3. PRE IWR OBS: R level of information**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**

R’s general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.55  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083304  ZZ4. PRE IWR OBS: R intelligence**

| Location: | 1834-1835(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**

**ZZ4. PRE IWR OBS: R intelligence**

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**

R’s apparent intelligence:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election
survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.33
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083305**

**ZZ5. PRE IWR OBS: R suspicious**

| Location: | 1836-1837(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4 |

**Question:**

ZZ5. PRE IWR OBS: R suspicious

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not at all suspicious</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat suspicious</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Very suspicious</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.25
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.51

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083306**  
**ZZ6. PRE IWR OBS: R interest in IW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1838-1839(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.17
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083307**  
**ZZ7. PRE IWR OBS: R sincere**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>1840-1841(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?
Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Completely sincere</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>85.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Usually sincere</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Often seemed to be insincere</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.15  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V083308**  
**ZZ8. PRE IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity**

| Location: 1842-1843(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -4, -1  
| Question: ZZ8. PRE IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER: Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R’s sincerity?

{IF SO, NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER}

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in ZZ7</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>85.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.14  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Based upon 325 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083309</th>
<th>ZZ9. PRE IWR OBS: R seem to report income accurately</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1844-1845(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>ZZ9. PRE IWR OBS: R seem to report income accurately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:</td>
<td>Do you feel R reported income accurately?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, think R reported correctly</td>
<td>2094</td>
<td>90.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. No, think R reported incorrectly</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Refused income questions</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. No, think R reported dishonestly</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.14
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083309A</th>
<th>ZZ9a. PRE IWR OBS: estimate of family income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1846-1847(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>ZZ9a. PRE IWR OBS: estimate of family income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:</td>
<td>If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is ((before taxes in 2003)).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. A. None or less than $2,999</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. B. $3,000-$4,999</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. C. $5,000-$7,499</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. D. $7,500-$9,999</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. E. $10,000-$10,999</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. F. $11,000-$12,499</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. G. $12,500-$14,999</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. H. $15,000-$16,999</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. J. $17,000-$19,999</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. K. $20,000-$21,999</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. M. $22,000-$24,999</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. N. $25,000-$29,999</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. P. $30,000-$34,999</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Q. $35,000-$39,999</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. R. $40,000-$44,999</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. S. $45,000-$49,999</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. T. $50,000-$59,999</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. U. $60,000-$74,999</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. V. $75,000-$89,999</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. W. $90,000-$99,999</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. X. $100,000-$109,999</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Y. $110,000-$119,999</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Z. $120,000-$134,999</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. AA. $135,000-$149,999</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. BB. $150,000 and over</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>98. Impossible to estimate</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 16.73
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.58

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V083310 ZZ10. PRE IWR OBS: R age estimate

Location: 1848-1849(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4

Question:

ZZ10. PRE IWR OBS: R age estimate

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
What would you estimate R's age to be?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90. 90 and older</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>98. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 46.65  
- Median: 45.00  
- Mode: 50.00  
- Minimum: 17.00  
- Maximum: 90.00  
- Standard Deviation: 17.37

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V083311</th>
<th>ZZ11. PRE IWR OBS: R gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1850-1851(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:  
Is R male or female?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Male</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Female</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.57  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### ZZ12. PRE IWR OBS: R education estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Low - probably less than high school diploma</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>31.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Probably a little college</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>26.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Probably a college degree</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>24.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Hard to guess (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.61
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 2307 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### ZZ13a. PRE IWR OBS: R reactions to IW

PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:

R's reaction to interview:
- starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed
- ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R
- confused by questions couldn't understand the scales; interview was obviously hard for R
embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview
embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge
why do you come to the old folks home? why THIS neighborhood? why/why not blacks/Hispanics? why me? etc.

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084001A**  
**PostAdmin.1a. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: month**

Location: 1858-1861(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PostAdmin.1a. Beginning Date of Post-election IW:  
Beginning Date of Post-election IW: month  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084001B**  
**PostAdmin.1b. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: day**

Location: 1862-1865(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PostAdmin.1b. Beginning Date of Post-election IW:  
Beginning Date of Post-election IW: day  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084001C**  
**PostAdmin.1c. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: date MMDD**

Location: 1866-1866(width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: character (ISO)  
Question: PostAdmin.1c. Beginning Date of Post-election IW: date

Built from PostAdmin.1a and PostAdmin.1b.  
This is an alpha variable in format MMDD.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084002A**  
**PostAdmin.2a. Ending Date of Post-election IW: month**

Location: 1867-1870(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PostAdmin.2a. Ending Date of Post-election IW: month

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td></td>
<td>2323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084002B**  
**PostAdmin.2b. Ending Date of Post-election IW: day**

Location: 1871-1874(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.2b. Ending Date of Post-election IW: day

Ending Date of Post-election IW: day

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084002C PostAdmin.2c. Ending Date of Post-election IW: date MMDD

Location: 1875-1875 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)

Question:

PostAdmin.2c. Ending Date of Post-election IW: date

Ending Date of Post-election IW: date

Built from PostAdmin.2a and PostAdmin.2b.
This is an alpha variable in format MMDD
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084003A PostAdmin.3a. No.days since electn: Post-electn IW beg date

Location: 1876-1879 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.3a. No.days since electn: Post-electn IW beg

Number of days since election: Post-election IW beginning date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084003B**  
**PostAdmin.3b. No. days since electn: Post-electn IW end date**

| Location: | 1880-1883(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostAdmin.3b. No.days since electn: Post-electn IW end

Number of days since election: Post-election IW ending date

The 2008 national elections were held on November 4, 2008.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084004**  
**PostAdmin.4. Total no. IW sessions: Post-election IW**

| Location: | 1884-1887(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostAdmin.4. Total no. IW sessions: Post-election IW

Total number of interview sessions: Post-election IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084005**  
**PostAdmin.5. Total no. interviewers: Post-election IW**

| Location: | 1888-1891(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostAdmin.5. Total no. interviewers: Post-election IW

Total number of interviewers: Post-election IW
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084006**  
**PostAdmin.6. Version: Post-election IW**

Location: 1892-1895(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PostAdmin.6. Version: Post-election IW  
Version of survey instrument: Post-election  

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084007**  
**PostAdmin.7. Total no. calls: Post-election**

Location: 1896-1899(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PostAdmin.7. Total no. calls: Post-election  
Total number of calls: Post-election  

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084008**  
**PostAdmin.8. Interviewer IW number: Post-electn IW (nth IW)**

Location: 1900-1903(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- Study 25383 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.8. Interviewer IW number: Post-electn IW
Interviewer interview number: Post-election IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084009</th>
<th>PostAdmin.9. Mode of interview: Post-election IW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1904-1905(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostAdmin.9. Mode of interview: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of interview: Post-election IW

All Post-election interviews were conducted face-to-face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Face-to-face IW</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084010</th>
<th>PostAdmin.10. Length of interview: Post-election IW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1906-1907(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199 ,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 671 -
Question:

PostAdmin.10. Length of interview: Post-election IW

Length of interview: Post-election IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084011 PostAdmin.11. Language of interview: Post-election IW

| Location: | 1908-1909(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostAdmin.11. Language of interview: Post-election IW

Language of interview: Post-election IW

This is the language in which the post-election survey IW was conducted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. English</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>86.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spanish</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both English and Spanish</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.04
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.22

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084012A PostAdmin.12a. Interview verification: Post-election IW

Location: 1910-1911(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
PostAdmin.12a. Interview verification: Post-election

Verification: Post-election IW

Verification of interviews was conducted using review of audio-recorded files.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not a verified case</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Verified case</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>51.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.57
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostAdmin.12b. Interview evaluation: Post-election IW

Evaluation: Post-election IW

In the 2008 Time Series Study, an evaluation process for interviews that was separate from the verification process (see PostAdmin.12a) was not conducted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the 2008 Time Series Post-election</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PostAdmin.13. Result (Post-election)

Result (Post-election)

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostAdmin.14. Interview recorded: Post-election IW

Interview recorded: Post-election IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostAdmin.15. Respondent payment amount: Post-election IW

Payment amount made: Post-election IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084016</th>
<th>PostAdmin.16. Respondent payment mode: Post-election IW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1926-1929(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostAdmin.16. Respondent payment mode: Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Payment mode: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084017A</th>
<th>PostAdmin.17a. Refusal made: Post-election IW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1930-1933(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostAdmin.17a. Refusal made: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refusal made: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084017B</th>
<th>PostAdmin.17b. Refusal conversion: Post-election IW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>1934-1935(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostAdmin.17b. Refusal conversion: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refusal conversion: Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the Post-election IW, cases were not specifically flagged as 'refusal conversion' cases. Interview cases with 1 or more calls coded for refusal by a household member are indicated in PreAdmin.17a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the Post-election IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084018 PostAdmin.18. Respondent incentive: Post-election IW**

| Location: | 1936-1937(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No incentive was paid or given to the respondent in addition to the respondent payment as indicated in PostAdmin.16a.</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.00  
- Median: 0.00  
- Mode: 0.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 0.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.


| Location: | 1938-1938(width: 1; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |


| Question: | Beginning time: Post-election IW |
V084020  PostAdmin.20. Persuasion letter: Post-election IW

Location:  1939-1940(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -1
Question:  PostAdmin.20. Persuasion letter: Post-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not applicable to the Post-election IW</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084021A  PostAdmin.21a. COMMENT:SUMMARY: positive comment

Location:  1941-1944(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -199
Question:  PostAdmin.21a. COMMENT:SUMMARY: positive comment

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- 'I like to do things that HELP THE COMMUNITY'
- 'I ENJOY DOING SURVEYS'
- Other positive statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PostAdmin.22a-PostAdmin.22c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PostAdmin.21b. COMMENT:SUMMARY: time-delay comment**

**Location:**
1945-1948 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'I am TOO BUSY/ I don't have time'
- 'This is a BAD TIME / Can you COME BACK LATER'
- 'Let me THINK ABOUT IT'
- Other time delay statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PostAdmin.22d-PostAdmin.22g.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.21c. COMMENT:SUMMARY: negative comment**

**Location:**
1949-1952 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TIME'
- 'I DON'T TRUST SURVEYS'
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TAXPAYERS MONEY'
- 'NEVER DO SURVEYS'
- 'I'm NOT INTERESTED'
- Other negative statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PostAdmin.22h-PostAdmin.22p.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V084021D**

PostAdmin.21d. COMMENT:SUMMARY: eligibility comment

Location: 1953-1956(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING about the survey topic'
- 'I'm NOT MARRIED/ I don't have a partner/ I don't have any children'
- 'I'M TOO YOUNG/ I'm TOO OLD'
- 'I don't vote/ I can't vote'
- Other eligibility statement

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

Built from PostAdmin.22q-PostAdmin.22u.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V084021E**

PostAdmin.21e. COMMENT:SUMMARY: privacy comment

Location: 1957-1960(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'You'll ask me too many PERSONAL QUESTIONS / I don't want to talk about these kinds of things'
- 'The GOVERNMENT ALREADY KNOWS EVERYTHING about me already'
- Other privacy/ sensitivity statements
- Study 25383 -

(CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Built from PostAdmin.22v-PostAdmin.22y.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022A**

**PostAdmin.22a. Comment: positive - help community**

Location: 1961-1964(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- 'I like to do things that HELP THE COMMUNITY'

See also PostAdmin.21a.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022B**

**PostAdmin.22b. Comment: positive - enjoy surveys**

Location: 1965-1968(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- 'I ENJOY DOING SURVEYS'

See also PostAdmin.21a.
- Study 25383 -

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022C PostAdmin.22c. Comment: other positive**

Location: 1969-1972(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following POSTIVE comments?
- Other positive statement

See also PostAdmin.21a.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022D PostAdmin.22d. Comment: time delay - too busy**

Location: 1973-1976(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'I am TOO BUSY/ I don't have time'

See also PostAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022E PostAdmin.22e. Comment: time delay - bad time**

| Location: | 1977-1980 (width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'This is a BAD TIME / Can you COME BACK LATER'

See also PostAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022F PostAdmin.22f. Comment: time delay - think about it**

| Location: | 1981-1984 (width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- 'Let me THINK ABOUT IT'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022G PostAdmin.22g. Comment: other time delay**

| Location: | 1985-1988 (width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following TIME DELAY comments?
- Other time delay statement

See also PostAdmin.21b.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084022H  PostAdmin.22h. Comment: negative - waste of time

Location: 1989-1992(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'Surveys are a WASTE OF TIME'

See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084022J  PostAdmin.22j. Comment: negative - don’t trust surveys

Location: 1993-1996(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the
informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- 'I DON'T TRUST SURVEYS'

See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022K**  
PostAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money

Location: 1997-2000(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PostAdmin.22k. Comment: negative - surveys waste money

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- ‘Surveys are a WASTE OF TAXPAYERS MONEY’

See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022M**  
PostAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys

Location: 2001-2004(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: PostAdmin.22m. Comment: negative - never do surveys

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?
- ‘NEVER DO SURVEYS’
See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022N PostAdmin.22n. Comment: negative - not interested**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2005-2008(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 'I'm NOT INTERESTED'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022P PostAdmin.22p. Comment: other negative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2009-2012(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following NEGATIVE comments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other negative statement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also PostAdmin.21c.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022Q**  
PostAdmin.2qa. Comment: eligibility - don't know about topic

| Location: | 2013-2016(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

Question:

PostAdmin.2qa. Comment: eligibility - don't know about

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING about the survey topic'

See also PostAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084022R**  
PostAdmin.22r. Comment: eligibility - no spouse/partner/child

| Location: | 2017-2020(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

Question:

PostAdmin.22r. Comment: eligibility - no

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I'm NOT MARRIED/ I don't have a partner/ I don't have any children'

See also PostAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PostAdmin.22s. Comment: eligibility - too young/too old**

Location: 2021-2024(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I'M TOO YOUNG/ I'm TOO OLD'

See also PostAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.22t. Comment: eligibility - don't vote**

Location: 2025-2028(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- 'I don't vote/ I can't vote'

See also PostAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility**

Location: 2029-2032(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

PostAdmin.22u. Comment: other eligibility

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the
informant/respondent make any of the following ELIGIBILITY comments?
- Other eligibility statement

See also PostAdmin.21d.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084022V  PostAdmin.22v. Comment: privacy - personal questions

Location: 2033-2036(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.22v. Comment: privacy - personal questions

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the
informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'You'll ask me too many PERSONAL QUESTIONS / I don't want to
talk about these kinds of things'

See also PostAdmin.21e.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084022W  PostAdmin.22w. Comment: privacy - govt knows everything

Location: 2037-2040(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.22w. Comment: privacy - govt knows

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
- Study 25383 -

Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- 'The GOVERNMENT ALREADY KNOWS EVERYTHING about me already'

See also PostAdmin.21e.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084022Y  PostAdmin.22y. Comment: other privacy

Location: 2041-2044(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Person did not have to use these exact words, but did the informant/respondent make any of the following PRIVACY comments?
- Other privacy/ sensitivity statements

See also PostAdmin.21e.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084023  PostAdmin.23. DWELLING UNIT: type of structure: Post-electn

Location: 2045-2048(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Type of structure
- Mobile home
- Detached single family
- Duplex
- Study 25383 -

- Apartment building/Condo/townhouse complex
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084024  

**PostAdmin.24. DWELLING UNIT: structure descript: Post-electn**

Location: 2049-2052(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following best describes this structure
- Single family home
- Structure with 2 to 9 units
- Structure with 10 to 49 units
- Structure with 50 or more units
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084024A  

**PostAdmin.24a. DWELLING UNIT: struct residentl: Post-electn**

Location: 2053-2056(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Is this structure
- Entirely residential
- Primarily residential with some commercial or other non-residential
- Primarily commercial or other non-residential

- 690 -
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Post-electn

Location: 2057-2060(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question: PostAdmin.25. DWELLING UNIT: observe urbanicity: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following best describes the immediate area or street (one block, both sides) where the sample member/respondent lives?
- Rural farm
- Rural town
- Suburban
- Urban, residential only
- 3 or more commercial properties, mostly retail
- 3 or more commercial properties, mostly wholesale or industrial
- Other

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostAdmin.26a. DWELLING UNIT: window pol signs: Post-electn

Location: 2061-2064(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question: PostAdmin.26a. DWELLING UNIT: window pol signs: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Enter number of campaign or political signs visable in the structure's window(s)
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.26b. DWELLING UNIT: outside pol signs: Post-electn**

Location: 2065-2068(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Enter number of campaign or political signs visible in front/outside of the structure.

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.26c. DWELLING UNIT: type polit signs: Post-electn**

Location: 2069-2072(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Describe sign(s)

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027**  
PostAdmin.27. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: access: Post-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2073-2076(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):  
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?

- Bars on the windows
- Crime watch or security system signs
- No Trespassing signs
- Beware of dog sign
- No solicitors sign
- Security Door- windowless metal primary entrance
- Guard/Door Person must call the unit
- Guard/Door Person must give access to building
- Guard at gate of community
- On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access
- No buzzer-locked main entrance/gate
- Buzzer no unit address labels -locked entrance
- Buzzer with address labels -locked entrance
- Threatening animal on or near the property

{Select all that apply}

Built from PostAdmin.27a-PostAdmin.27q.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027A**  
PostAdmin.27a. DWELLING UNIT: bars on windows: Post-election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2077-2080(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Bars on the windows

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2081-2084(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

PostAdmin.27b. DWELLING UNIT: crimewtch/secsys: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Crime watch or security system signs

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2085-2088(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

PostAdmin.27c. DWELLING UNIT: no trespassing: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- No Trespassing signs
See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084027D  
**PostAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Post-election**

| Location: | 2089-2092(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | PostAdmin.27d. DWELLING UNIT: beware of dog: Post- |

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Beware of dog sign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084027E  
**PostAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Post-election**

| Location: | 2093-2096(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | PostAdmin.27e. DWELLING UNIT: no solicitors: Post- |

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- No solicitors sign

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027F**  
PostAdmin.27f. DWELLING UNIT: security door: Post-election  

| Location: | 2097-2100(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostAdmin.27f. DWELLING UNIT: security door: Post-election  

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):  
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?  
- Security Door- windowless metal primary entrance

See also PostAdmin.27.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027G**  
PostAdmin.27g. DWELLING UNIT: call guard: Post-election  

| Location: | 2101-2104(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostAdmin.27g. DWELLING UNIT: call guard: Post-election  

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):  
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?  
- Guard/Door Person must call the unit

See also PostAdmin.27.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027H**

**PostAdmin.27h. DWELLING UNIT: access guard: Post-election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2105-2108(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Guard/Door Person must give access to building

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027J**

**PostAdmin.27j. DWELLING UNIT: gate guard: Post-election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2109-2112(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):**
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Guard at gate of community

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027K**

**PostAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Post-election**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Location: 2113-2116(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.27k. DWELLING UNIT: staff/manager: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might
interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's
dwelling?
- On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084027M

PostAdmin.27m. DWELLING UNIT: no buzzer lockd: Post-election

Location: 2117-2120(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.27m. DWELLING UNIT: no buzzer lockd: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might
interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's
dwelling?
- No buzzer-locked main entrance/gate

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084027N

PostAdmin.27n. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer no address: Post-electn

Location: 2121-2124(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):

What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Buzzer no unit address labels - locked entrance

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084027P**  
PostAdmin.27p. DWELLING UNIT: buzzer w/address: Post-electn

Location: 2125-2128(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

**V084027Q**  
PostAdmin.27q. DWELLING UNIT: threateng animal: Post-electn

Location: 2129-2132(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):

What types of security measures or barriers, if any, might interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Buzzer with address labels - locked entrance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
interfere with your access to the sample member's/respondent's dwelling?
- Threatening animal on or near the property

See also PostAdmin.27.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.28. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: building: Post-election**

Location: 2133-2136(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Missing roofing material(s)
- Boarded up window(s)
- Missing/broken out window(s)
- Missing bricks/siding/outside wall material
- Punched out/torn screens on windows
- Door(s) off hinges
- Peeling paint
- Broken siding
- Unkept yard (tall grass, overgrown bushes)
- Litter, trash or other debris on lawn

(Select all that apply)

Built from PostAdmin.28a-PostAdmin.28k.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostAdmin.28a. DWELLING UNIT: roofing missing: Post-election**

Location: 2137-2140(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

- Study 25383 -
POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Missing roofing material(s)

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Boarded up window(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Missing/broken out window(s)

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084028D PostAdmin.28d. DWELLING UNIT: missing siding: Post-election**

Location: 2149-2152(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Missing bricks/siding/outside wall material

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084028E PostAdmin.28e. DWELLING UNIT: torn screens: Post-election**

Location: 2153-2156(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Punched out/torn screens on windows

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**V084028F**  
PostAdmin.28f. DWELLING UNIT: doors off hinges: Post-election

| Location: | 2157-2160(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | PostAdmin.28f. DWELLING UNIT: doors off hinges: Post-election INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Are any of the following conditions of the building present? - Door(s) off hinges |

See also PostAdmin.28.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084028G**  
PostAdmin.28g. DWELLING UNIT: peeling paint: Post-election

| Location: | 2161-2164(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | PostAdmin.28g. DWELLING UNIT: peeling paint: Post-election INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Are any of the following conditions of the building present? - Peeling paint |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084028H**  
PostAdmin.28h. DWELLING UNIT: broken siding: Post-election

| Location: | 2165-2168(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | PostAdmin.28h. DWELLING UNIT: broken siding: Post-election INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Are any of the following conditions of the building present? - Broken siding |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Broken siding

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084028J
PostAdmin.28j. DWELLING UNIT: unkept yard: Post-election

Location: 2169-2172(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Unkept yard (tall grass, overgrown bushes)

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084028K
PostAdmin.28k. DWELLING UNIT: litter/trash: Post-election

Location: 2173-2176(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Are any of the following conditions of the building present?
- Litter, trash or other debris on lawn

See also PostAdmin.28.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084029  

**PostAdmin.29. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: area view: Pist-electn**

Location: 2177-2180(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PostAdmin.29. DWELLING UNIT: SUMMARY: area view: Pist-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?  
- Boarded houses or abandoned building  
- Graffiti  
- Abandoned cars  
- Demolished houses  
- Trash/Litter/junk in street/road  
- Trash/litter/junk around buildings in neighborhood  
- Factories or warehouses  
- Stores or other retail outlets

Select all that apply

Built from PostAdmin.29-PostAdmin.29h.  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084029A  

**PostAdmin.29a. DWELLING UNIT: area boarded hses: Post-electn**

Location: 2181-2184(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PostAdmin.29a. DWELLING UNIT: area boarded hses: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT): Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?  
- Boarded houses or abandoned building

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084029B  

**PostAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Post-election**

Location: 2185-2188(width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.29b. DWELLING UNIT: area graffiti: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Graffiti

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084029C  

**PostAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Post-election**

Location: 2189-2192(width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

PostAdmin.29c. DWELLING UNIT: area aband cars: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Abandoned cars

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PostAdmin.29d. Dwell Unit: Area Demolish Hs: Post-Election**

**Location:** 2193-2196 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (Dwell Unit):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Demolished houses

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084029E**

**PostAdmin.29e. Dwell Unit: Trash in Road: Post-Election**

**Location:** 2197-2200 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (Dwell Unit):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Trash/Litter/junk in street/road

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084029F**

**PostAdmin.29f. Dwell Unit: Trash by Bldgs: Post-Election**

**Location:** 2201-2204 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:**
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POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Trash/litter/junk around buildings in neighborhood

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084029G** PostAdmin.29g. DWELLING UNIT: factories near: Post-election

Location: 2205-2208(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Factories or warehouses

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084029H** PostAdmin.29h. DWELLING UNIT: stores near: Post-election

Location: 2209-2212(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Which of the following are present within sight of the housing unit?
- Stores or other retail outlets

See also PostAdmin.29.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084030 PostAdmin.30. DWELLING UNIT: relative condition: Post-electn**

Location: 2213-2216(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PostAdmin.30. DWELLING UNIT: relative condition: Post-

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER (DWELLING UNIT):
Describe the physical condition of the sample building relative to
the other buildings on the same street/road:
Better
Same
Worse
No other buildings
Unknown-outside gated community

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084251 PostIWR.1. Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW**

Location: 2217-2220(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PostIWR.1. Interviewer ID: Pre-election IW

Interviewer ID: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084252  PostIWR.2. Interviewer gender: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2221-2224(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostIWR.2. Interviewer gender: Pre-election IW

Interviewer gender: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084253  PostIWR.3. Interviewer education: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2225-2228(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostIWR.3. Interviewer education: Pre-election IW

Interviewer education: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084254  PostIWR.4. Interviewer race: Pre-election IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2229-2232(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostIWR.4. Interviewer race: Pre-election IW

Interviewer race: Postelection IW
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084255  PostIWR.5. Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW**

Location: 2233-2236(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PostIWR.5. Interviewer ethnicity: Pre-election IW

Interviewer ethnicity: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084256  PostIWR.6. Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW**

Location: 2237-2240(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PostIWR.6. Interviewer languages: Pre-election IW

Interviewer languages: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084257  PostIWR.7. Interviewer years experience: Pre-election IW**

Location: 2241-2244(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

PostIWR.7. Interviewer years experience: Pre-election
Interviewer years experience: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostIWR.8. Interviewer age group: Pre-election IW
Interviewer age group: Postelection IW

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostRandom.1. Forward or reverse coding

Forward or reverse order of response options for selected Post-election questions

For a subset of Post-election questions, respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options administered in either forward or reverse order:
LIST OF QUESTIONS
For a subset of Post-election questions, respondents were randomly assigned to either an "OLD" (standard) version of the questions or else to "NEW" versions. This assignment to OLD/NEW was made in the Pre-election wave for a subset of Pre-election questions, and continues for the Post-election wave. All items subject to OLD/NEW assignment have common assignment to either OLD or NEW for all questions in the Pre and Post subsets. Note that sometimes the number of questions corresponding to each question version may not be the same.

LIST OF QUESTIONS OLD, NEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. OLD version</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. NEW version</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2253-2254(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostRandom.3. Order Presidential candidate thermometers

Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of presidential candidate thermometers

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1c) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>45.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>45.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2255-2256(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostRandom.4a. Order CINDY MCCAIN thermometer

Order for Cindy McCain thermometer (D1d)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.42
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.62

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostRandom.4b. Order MICHELLE OBAMA cand thermometer

Location: 2257-2258(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:

PostRandom.4b. Order MICHELLE OBAMA cand thermometer

Order for Michelle Obama thermometer (D1e)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the Congressional district of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no Democratic House candidate, then the Democratic House candidate thermometer was not administered.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the Congressional district of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no
Republican House candidate, then the Republican House candidate thermometer was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.52
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.53

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2263-2264(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

PostRandom.4e. Order HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CAND thermometer

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the Congressional district of the respondent's location (residence).
This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no independent/3rd-party House candidate, then the independent/3rd-party House candidate thermometer was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.58
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.64

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084404F PostRandom.4f. Order SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAND thermometer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2265-2266 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostRandom.4f. Order SENATE DEMOCRATIC CAND

Order for Democratic Senate candidate thermometer (D1)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.
This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of
of the respondent's location (residence).

This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no Democratic Senate candidate, then the Democratic Senate candidate thermometer was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.47
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.58

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084404G**
PostRandom.4g. Order SENATE REPUBLICAN CAND thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2267-2268(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.4g. Order SENATE REPUBLICAN CAND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order for Republican Senate candidate thermometer (D1k)
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.
This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence).
This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no Republican Senate candidate, then the Republican Senate candidate thermometer was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.67
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.59

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084404H</td>
<td>PostRandom.4h. Order SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CAND thermometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 2269-2270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question: PostRandom.4h. Order SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CAND
Order for independent/3rd party Senate candidate thermometer (D1m)
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no independent/3rd-party Senate candidate, then the independent/3rd-party Senate candidate thermometer was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.59
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.64

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084404J**

**PostRandom.4j. Order SENATOR 1 thermometer**

Location: 2271-2272(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question:

PostRandom.4j. Order SENATOR 1 thermometer

Order for 1st Senator in state without Senate race thermometer (D1n)
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was a Senate race in the state, then the thermometer for 1st Senator in state without Senate race was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.48
- Median: 8.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.61

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084404K</td>
<td>PostRandom.4k. Order SENATOR 2 thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>2273-2274(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Values</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>PostRandom.4k. Order SENATOR 2 thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Order for 2nd Senator in state without Senate race thermometer (D1p)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 723 -
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was a Senate race in the state, then the thermometer for 2nd Senator in state without Senate race was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.42
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.62

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084404M</td>
<td>PostRandom.4m. Order SENATOR NOT RUNNING thermometer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2275-2276(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.4m. Order SENATOR NOT RUNNING thermometer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Order for nonrunning Senator in state with Senate race thermometer (D1q)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). This is the order assigned to the case; if there was no Senate race in the state, then the thermometer for the Senator not up for re-election (in a state with Senate race) was skipped.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.53
- Median: 8.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.59

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostRandom.4n. Order 2nd DEMOCRATIC SENATE CAND thermometer**

Location: 2277-2278(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question: PostRandom.4n. Order 2nd DEMOCRATIC SENATE CAND
Order for 2nd Democratic Senate candidate thermometer (D1r)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). There were 2 Senate elections in Mississippi and Wyoming. This is the order assigned to the case; if the state was not MS or WY, then the thermometer for 2nd Democratic Senate candidate was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.31
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.62

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

PostRandom.4p. Order 2nd REPUBLICAN SENATE CAND

Order for 2nd Republican Senate candidate thermometer (D1s)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order. This corresponds to the candidate running in the state of the respondent's location (residence). There were 2 Senate elections in Mississippi and Wyoming. This is the order assigned to the case; if the state was not MS or WY, then the thermometer for 2nd Republican Senate candidate was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.63
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.68

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2281-2282(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:
PostRandom.4q. Order JOE BIDEN thermometer

Order for Joe Biden thermometer (D1t)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.52
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 16.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.63

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084404R  PostRandom.4r. Order SARAH PALIN thermometer

| Location: | 2283-2284(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |
| Question: | PostRandom.4r. Order SARAH PALIN thermometer |
Order for Sarah Palin thermometer (D1u)

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.48
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.56

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084404S

PostRandom.4s. Order HILLARY CLINTON thermometer

Location: 2285-2286(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question:

PostRandom.4s. Order HILLARY CLINTON thermometer

Order for Hillary Clinton thermometer (D1v)
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1y (16 names) in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Administered as 1st name</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Administered as 2nd name</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Administered as 3rd name</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Administered as 4th name</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Administered as 5th name</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Administered as 6th name</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Administered as 7th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Administered as 8th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Administered as 9th name</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Administered as 10th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Administered as 11th name</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Administered as 12th name</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Administered as 13th name</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Administered as 14th name</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Administered as 15th name</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Administered as 16th name</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.50
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 16.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.68

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PostRandom.5a. Order HISPANICS thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 2287-2288(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: PostRandom.5a. Order HISPANICS thermometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order for Hispanics thermometer (D2a)
Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.27
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.60

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Order for Christian fundamentalists thermometer (D2b)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.52
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.87

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084405C</th>
<th>PostRandom.5c. Order CATHOLICS thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2291-2292(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.5c. Order CATHOLICS thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order for Catholics thermometer (D2c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.27  
- Median: 15.00  
- Mode: 8.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.64

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | PostRandom.5d. Order FEMINISTS thermometer | 2293-2294(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostRandom.5d. Order FEMINISTS thermometer

Order for feminists thermometer (D2d)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.71
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.69

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405E**  PostRandom.5e. Order FEDERAL GOVERNMENT thermometer
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Location: 2295-2296(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question:

PostRandom.5e. Order FEDERAL GOVERNMENT thermometer

Order for federal government thermometer (D2e)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.26
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.68

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.52
- Median: 16.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.57

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405G**

**PostRandom.5g. Order LIBERALS thermometer**

| Location: | 2299-2300(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

**Question:**

PostRandom.5g. Order LIBERALS thermometer

Order for liberals thermometer (D2g)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.76
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.69

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084405H</th>
<th>PostRandom.5h. Order MIDDLE CLASS thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2301-2302(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric  (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

**Question:**

**PostRandom.5h. Order MIDDLE CLASS thermometer**

Order for middle class thermometer (D2h)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 15.25
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.70

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
<th>Location: 2303-2304(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostRandom.5j. Order LABOR UNIONS thermometer

Location: numeric (ISO)

PostRandom.5j. Order LABOR UNIONS thermometer

Order for labor unions thermometer (D2j)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.23  
- Median: 15.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.69

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2305-2306(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PostRandom.5k. Order POOR PEOPLE thermometer**

Question:

PostRandom.5k. Order POOR PEOPLE thermometer

Order for poor people thermometer (D2k)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.27
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.60

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V084405M</td>
<td>PostRandom.5m. Order MILITARY thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>2307-2308(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.28
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- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.71

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2309-2310(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: PostRandom.5n. Order BIG BUSINESS thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.63
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.60

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2311-2312(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: PostRandom.5p. Order PEOPLE ON WELFARE thermometer

Order for people on welfare thermometer (D2p)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.30
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.79

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405Q**

**PostRandom.5q. Order CONSERVATIVES thermometer**

| Location: | 2313-2314(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

**Question:**

PostRandom.5q. Order CONSERVATIVES thermometer

Order for conservatives thermometer (D2q)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and
D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.64
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.59
Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405R  PostRandom.5r. Order WORKING CLASS thermometer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2315-2316(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostRandom.5r. Order WORKING CLASS thermometer

Order for working class thermometer (D2r)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.33
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.86

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405S**

**PostRandom.5s. Order ENVIRONMENTALISTS thermometer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2317-2318(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostRandom.5s. Order ENVIRONMENTALISTS thermometer

Order for environmentalists thermometer (D2s)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.77
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.56

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405T**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PostRandom.5t. Order U.S. SUPREME COURT thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 2319-2320(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostRandom.5t. Order U.S. SUPREME COURT thermometer

Order for U.S. Supreme Court thermometer (D2t)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.44
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.77

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084405U  PostRandom.5u, Order GAYS AND LESBIANS thermometer
PostRandom.5u. Order GAYS AND LESBIANS thermometer

Order for gays and lesbians thermometer (D2u)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.87  
- Median: 16.00  
- Mode: 21.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.63

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084405W PostRandom.5w. Order CONGRESS thermometer

Location: 2325-2326(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.5w. Order CONGRESS thermometer

Order for Congress thermometer (D2w)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.66
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.65

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084405Y**

**PostRandom.5y. Order BLACKS thermometer**

Location: 2327-2328(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Question:**

**PostRandom.5y. Order BLACKS thermometer**

**Order for blacks thermometer (D2y)**

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.46  
- Median: 15.00  
- Mode: 23.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.58

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2329-2330(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostRandom.5z. Order SOUTHERNERS thermometer

Order for Southerners thermometer (D2z)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.18
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 13.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.76

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084406A  PostRandom.6a. Order ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS thermometer

**Location:** 2331-2332(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2

**Question:** PostRandom.6a. Order ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS thermometer

**Order for illegal immigrants thermometer (D3a)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.45
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.46

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084406B** PostRandom.6b. Order RICH PEOPLE thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2333-2334(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.32
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- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.56

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084406C PostRandom.6c. Order WHITES thermometer

| Location: | 2335-2336(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |
| Question: | PostRandom.6c. Order WHITES thermometer |
| Order for whites thermometer (D3c) |

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.61
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.60

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2337-2338(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

PostRandom.6d. Order ISRAEL thermometer

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.50  
- Median: 15.00  
- Mode: 20.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.71

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084406E**  
**PostRandom.6e. Order MUSLIMS thermometer**

Location: 2339-2340(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.6e. Order MUSLIMS thermometer  
Order for Muslims thermometer (D3e)
Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.74
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 26.00
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.72

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2341-2342(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

Question:

PostRandom.6f. Order HINDUS thermometer

Order for Hindu thermometer (D3f)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.

This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.63  
- Median: 16.00  
- Mode: 12.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 30.00  
- Standard Deviation: 8.57

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084406G**  
**PostRandom.6g. Order CHRISTIANS thermometer**

Location: 2343-2344(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.6g. Order CHRISTIANS thermometer

Order for Christians thermometer (D3g)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order. This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2 No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.29
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.56

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084406H</th>
<th>PostRandom.6h. Order ATHEISTS thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2345-2346(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric  (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.6h. Order ATHEISTS thermometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order for atheists thermometer (D3h)

Respondents were administered group thermometers D2a-D2z and D3a-D3h (30 names) in random order.
This represents administration as the nth name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.58
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 26.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.61

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PostRandom.7a. Order US policy goal: prevent nuclear weapons**

**Variable Details:**
- Location: 2347-2348 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -2

**Question:**

PostRandom.7a. Order US policy goal: prevent nuclear

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: preventing spread of nuclear weapons (F1a)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

**Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %**

| 1 | 1. Administered as 1st goal | 231 | 9.9% |
| 2 | 2. Administered as 2nd goal | 234 | 10.1% |
| 3 | 3. Administered as 3rd goal | 252 | 10.8% |
| 4 | 4. Administered as 4th goal | 248 | 10.7% |
| 5 | 5. Administered as 5th goal | 212 | 9.1% |
| 6 | 6. Administered as 6th goal | 237 | 10.2% |
| 7 | 7. Administered as 7th goal | 237 | 10.2% |
| 8 | 8. Administered as 8th goal | 217 | 9.3% |
| 9 | 9. Administered as 9th goal | 234 | 10.1% |
| -2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5% |

- Mean: 4.96
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.58

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostRandom.7b. Order U.S. policy goal: promote human rights**

**Variable Details:**
- Location: 2349-2350 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -2

**Question:**

PostRandom.7b. Order U.S. policy goal: promote human

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: promoting human rights (F1b)
Respondents were administered U.S. foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.07  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 8.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 9.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.58

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084407C PostRandom.7c. Order U.S. policy goal: strengthen U.N.  
Location: 2351-2352(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.7c. Order U.S. policy goal: strengthen U.N.  
Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: strengthening United Nations (F1c)  
Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.99
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.55

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2353-2354(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |

**PostRandom.7d. Order U.S. policy goal: combat world hunger**

**Question:** PostRandom.7d. Order U.S. policy goal: combat world

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: combatting world hunger (F1d)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.06
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.00
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.62

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: promote democracy in other nations (F1f)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Adminstered as 1st goal</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Adminstered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Adminstered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Adminstered as 4th goal</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Adminstered as 5th goal</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Adminstered as 6th goal</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Adminstered as 7th goal</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Adminstered as 8th goal</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Adminstered as 9th goal</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.95
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.58

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084407G PostRandom.7g. Order U.S. policy goal: reduce illegal immigr

Location: 2359-2360(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:
PostRandom.7g. Order U.S. policy goal: reduce illegal

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: reducing illegal immigration (F1g)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Adminstered as 1st goal</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.99
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.60

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084407H PostRandom.7h. Order U.S. policy goal: promote market econ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2361-2362(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostRandom.7h. Order U.S. policy goal: promote market

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: promote market economies abroad (F1h)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.94
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.61

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2363-2364(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostRandom.7j. Order U.S. policy goal: combat intl terrorism

Order for U.S. foreign policy goal: combatting international terrorism (F1j)

Respondents were administered U.S foreign policy goal questions F1a-F1j in random order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st goal</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd goal</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd goal</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th goal</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th goal</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th goal</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th goal</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Administered as 8th goal</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Administered as 9th goal</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.03
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.59
Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084408 PostRandom.8. Order Presidential cand liberal-conservative**

| Location: | 2365-2366(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |
| Question: | PostRandom.8. Order Presidential cand liberal-conservative |

Order of Democratic House candidate / Republican House candidate in administration of liberal-conservative placements

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic House candidate liberal-conservative placement (G2a) or the Republican House candidate liberal-conservative placement (G2b) asked first. This randomized order was assigned to all respondents. If there was no Democratic or Republican House candidate in the respondent's district, then the liberal-conservative question for that candidate was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic House candidate first</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>44.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican House candidate first</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>45.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084409 PostRandom.9. Order Presidential candidate abortion placemt**

| Location: | 2367-2368(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 , -1 |
| Question: | PostRandom.9. Order Presidential candidate abortion |

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican Presidential candidate in administration of OLD VERSION abortion placements
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Respondents who were assigned to VERSION OLD (see PostRandom.2) were randomly assigned to have either the OLD VERSION Democratic Presidential candidate abortion placement (G3d) or the OLD VERSION Republican Presidential candidate abortion placement (G3d2) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.52
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084410 PostRandom.10. Order House cand abortion placements**

**Location:** 2369-2370(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
Order of Democratic House candidate / Republican House candidate in administration of OLD VERSION abortion placements

Respondents who were assigned to VERSION OLD (see PostRandom.2) were randomly assigned to have either the OLD VERSION Democratic House candidate abortion placement (G3e1) or the OLD VERSION Republican House candidate abortion placement (G3e2) asked first.
This randomized order was assigned to all respondents. If there was no Democratic or Republican House candidate in the respondent's district, then the abortion placement question for that candidate was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic House candidate first</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican House candidate first</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2371-2372(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.11. Order party abortion placements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PostRandom.11. Order party abortion placements**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:**
Order of Democratic party / Republican party in administration of OLD VERSION abortion placements

Respondents who were assigned to VERSION OLD (see PostRandom.2) were randomly assigned to have either the OLD VERSION Democratic party abortion placement (G3f1) or the OLD VERSION Republican party abortion placement (G3f2) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**PostRandom.12a. Order abortion scenario: nonfatal hlth risk**

Location: 2373-2374(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2 , -1

Question:

PostRandom.12a. Order abortion scenario: nonfatal hlth

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st abortion scenario</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd abortion scenario</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd abortion scenario</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th abortion scenario</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th abortion scenario</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th abortion scenario</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostRandom.12b. Order abortion scenario: fatal health risk**

Location: 2375-2376(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2 , -1

Question:

PostRandom.12b. Order abortion scenario: fatal health

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: staying pregnant could cause the woman to die

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Adminstered as 1st abortion scenario</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Adminstered as 2nd abortion scenario</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Adminstered as 3rd abortion scenario</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Adminstered as 4th abortion scenario</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Adminstered as 5th abortion scenario</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Adminstered as 6th abortion scenario</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Adminstered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.02
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.02

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084412C PostRandom.12c. Order abortion scenario: incest**

Location: 2377-2378(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1

PostRandom.12c. Order abortion scenario: incest

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: the pregnancy was caused by sex the woman chose to have with a blood relative

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.
Mean: 3.95
Median: 4.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084412D**

**PostRandom.12d. Order abortion scenario: rape**

Location: 2379-2380(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1

**Question:**

PostRandom.12d. Order abortion scenario: rape

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: the pregnancy was caused by the woman being raped

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.08
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2381-2382(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2, -1 |

#### V084412E

**PostRandom.12e. Order abortion scenario: birth defect**

- **Location:** 2381-2382(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -2, -1

**Question:**

**PostRandom.12e. Order abortion scenario: birth defect**

*IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:*

Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: the fetus will be born with a serious birth defect

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st abortion scenario</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd abortion scenario</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd abortion scenario</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th abortion scenario</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th abortion scenario</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th abortion scenario</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.06
- Median: 4.00
- Study 25383 -

- Mode: 6.00  
  - Minimum: 1.00  
  - Maximum: 7.00  
  - Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PostRandom.12f. Order abortion scenario: financial hardship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2383-2384(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostRandom.12f. Order abortion scenario: financial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PostRandom.12f. Order abortion scenario: financial**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: having the child would be extremely difficult for the woman financially

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement is G3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st abortion scenario</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd abortion scenario</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd abortion scenario</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th abortion scenario</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th abortion scenario</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th abortion scenario</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PostRandom.12g. Order abortion scenario: 'wrong' gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2385-2386(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1

Question:

PostRandom.12g. Order abortion scenario: ‘wrong’

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
Order for NEW VERSION abortion scenario: the child will not be the
sex the woman wants it to be

Respondents were administered abortion scenario questions
G4a-G4g in random order. These represent NEW VERSION abortion
self-placement questions; OLD VERSION abortion self-placement
is G3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st abortion scenario</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd abortion scenario</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd abortion scenario</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th abortion scenario</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Administered as 5th abortion scenario</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Administered as 6th abortion scenario</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Administered as 7th abortion scenario</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084413

PostRandom.13. Order Presidential candidate abortion placement

Location: 2387-2388(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1

Question:

PostRandom.13. Order Presidential candidate abortion

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
Order of Democratic Presidential candidate / Republican
Presidential candidate in administration of NEW VERSION
abortion placements
Respondents who were assigned to VERSION NEW (see PostRandom.2) were randomly assigned to have either the NEW VERSION Democratic Presidential candidate abortion placement (G5a-G5x) or the NEW VERSION Republican Presidential candidate abortion placement (G6a-G6x) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican Presidential candidate first</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.49  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084414A PostRandom.14a. Order stereotype racial group BLACKS

Location: 2389-2390(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.14a. Order stereotype racial group BLACKS

Order BLACKS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions Q1b-Q1d and Q2b-Q2d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions. WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PostRandom.14b. Order stereotype racial group HISPANICS

Location: 2391-2392(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:

PostRandom.14b. Order stereotype racial group

Order HISPANIC-AMERICANS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions Q1b-Q1d and Q2b-Q2d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions. WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>29.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>30.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostRandom.14c. Order stereotype racial group ASIANS

Location: 2393-2394(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

- Mean: 1.99
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PostRandom.14c. Order stereotype racial group ASIANS

Order ASIAN-AMERICANS in administration of stereotypes questions

In stereotypes questions Q1b-Q1d and Q2b-Q2d, the order in which stereotype questions were asked about groups BLACKS, HISPANIC-AMERICANS, and ASIAN-AMERICANS was randomized. Group order was the same for both sets of stereotype questions. WHITES was fixed as the first stereotype group; the order here indicates the order of the stereotype groups which followed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. 1st stereotype group</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 2nd stereotype group</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>30.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 3rd stereotype group</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>29.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.81

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084415** PostRandom.15. Question placement R1/R8

Location: 2395-2396(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -4, -2

Due to a programming error, the random 1/2 sample selected the government threat question at placement R8 was not administered the question. Respondents selected for administration of the question at placement R1 were asked R1 and then were asked the question again at R8.
# Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. R1 question placement; repeated at R8 in error</td>
<td>1051 45.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Failed question placement at R8</td>
<td>1051 45.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1051 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

## V084416A PostRandom.16a. Order federal government affects: angry

| Location: 2397-2398(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -2 |

**Question:**

Order of federal government affect: angry

The order in which government affects questions R6a-R6d were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st affect</td>
<td>499 21.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd affect</td>
<td>533 22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd affect</td>
<td>536 23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th affect</td>
<td>534 23.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.53  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

## V084416B PostRandom.16b. Order federal government affects: hopeful

Location: 2399-2400(width: 2; decimal: 0)
### Study 25383

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2

**Question:**

PostRandom.16b. Order federal government affects:

**Order of federal government affect: hopeful**

The order in which government affects questions R6a-R6d were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st affect</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd affect</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd affect</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th affect</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084416C

**PostRandom.16c. Order federal government affects: afraid**

**Location:** 2401-2402(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2

**Question:**

PostRandom.16c. Order federal government affects:

**Order of federal government affect: afraid**

The order in which government affects questions R6a-R6d were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st affect</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd affect</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd affect</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th affect</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084416D  PostRandom.16d. Order federal government affects: proud

| Location: 2403-2404(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -2 |
| Question: PostRandom.16d. Order federal government affects: Order of federal government affect: proud |

The order in which government affects questions R6a-R6d were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st affect</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd affect</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd affect</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Administered as 4th affect</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084417A  PostRandom.17a. Order war questions: war in Afghanistan

<p>| Location: 2405-2406(width: 2; decimal: 0) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>----------</th>
<th>----------</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

PostRandom.17a. Order war questions: war in Afghanistan
Order of war questions: war in Afghanistan
The order in which war questions R7a-R7c were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st war question</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd war question</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>32.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd war question</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>28.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.80

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostRandom.17b. Order war questions: war in Iraq
Order of war questions: war in Iraq
The order in which war questions R7a-R7c were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st war question</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>31.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd war question</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>27.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd war question</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The order in which war questions R7a-R7c were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st war question</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd war question</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd war question</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

The order in which war questions R7a-R7c were administered was randomized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Administered as 1st war question</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Administered as 2nd war question</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Administered as 3rd war question</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

VERSION 1A, 1B, 2A, or 2B questions on nominee/winner affects
Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION 1A: T1-T1f1 and T2</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>21.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION 1B: T1-T1f1 and T3</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. VERSION 2A: T4-T4f1 and T5</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>18.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. VERSION 2B: T4-T4f1 and T6</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>27.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084419**  
PostRandom.19. VERSION 2A/VERSION 2B bailout question

Location: 2413-2414(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
Question: PostRandom.19. VERSION 2A/VERSION 2B bailout question

VERSION Y1a or Y1b question on government financial bailout

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either VERSION Y1a (question Y1a) or VERSION Y1b (question Y1b) bailout question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. VERSION Y1a bailout question</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>46.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. VERSION Y1b bailout question</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>44.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean: 1.49  
Median: 1.00  
Mode: 1.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 2.00  
Standard Deviation: 0.50  

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084420**  
**PostRandom.20. Order party favorableness placements**  
**Location:** 2415-2416(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2  
**Question:**  

PostRandom.20. Order party favorableness placements  
Order of Democratic party / Republican party in administration of questions on favorable-unfavorable affect  

Respondents were randomly assigned to have either the Democratic party favorable-unfavorable affect questions (Y5a1-Y5a2) or the Republican party favorable-unfavorable affect question (Y5b1-Y5b2) asked first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party first</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>44.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Republican party first</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>46.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.51  
• Median: 2.00  
• Mode: 2.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 2.00  
• Standard Deviation: 0.50  

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084500**  
**PostCand.0. Candidate preload failure**  
**Location:** 2417-2418(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2  
**Question:**  

PostCand.0. Candidate preload failure
Preloaded name of House Democratic candidate

In 3 cases, candidate information failed to preload in the Post-election survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No candidate preload failure</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Candidate preload failure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.04

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084501**  
**PostCand.1. House Democratic candidate NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2419-2442(width: 24; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PostCand.1. House Democratic candidate NAME

Preloaded name of House Democratic candidate

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the name of the Democratic House candidate in the respondent's correct district. This variable is blank for cases where no Democratic candidate ran in R's district.

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084501A**  
**PostCand.1a. House Democratic candidate CODE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2443-2444(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PostCand.1a. House Democratic candidate CODE

Preloaded House Democratic candidate: candidate code
For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the candidate code for the Democratic House candidate in the respondent's correct district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31. Democratic candidate in open House race</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. Democratic incumbent</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>35. Democratic House challenger</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>36.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Democratic candidate in House race</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.51  
- Median: 33.00  
- Mode: 33.00  
- Minimum: 31.00  
- Maximum: 35.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Based upon 2189 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084501B**  
PostCand.1b. House Democratic candidate GENDER

Location: 2445-2448(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

PostCand.1b. House Democratic candidate GENDER

Preloaded House Democratic candidate: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084502**  
PostCand.2. House Republican candidate NAME

Location: 2449-2469(width: 21; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: character (ISO)  
Question:

PostCand.2. House Republican candidate NAME

Preloaded name of House Republican candidate
For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the name of the Republican House candidate in the respondent's correct district. This variable is blank for cases where no Republican candidate ran in R's district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam Lang</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison Wilson, Sr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Bateman</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ander Crenshaw</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antoine Members</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Shuster</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bo Itshaky</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Mumpower, III</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charel Winston</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Hargrave</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Shays</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford Stearns</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Rohrabacher</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Walsh</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell Issa</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Camp</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Schweikert</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Andal</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Heller</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Honeycutt</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Karg</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duane Sand</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan Hunter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Matthews</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Zamora, Jr.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Gubics</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Royce</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Tinsley</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Berney</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielle Campo</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Lilly</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Morovich</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Radanovich</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg Harper</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gus Michael Bilirakis</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Gresham Barrett</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Kingston</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Buhrmaster</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Fish</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Jordan</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay Love</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Lewis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Boehner</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Fleming</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Lerew</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Lynch</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McHugh</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Roberts</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Scott</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Granger</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Fimian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Calvert</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenny Marchant</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kieran Lalor</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar Smith</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Story</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Diaz-Balart</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyle Larson</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Musgrave</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Diaz-Balart</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsha Blackburn</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Fallin</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Sawicki</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Burgess</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Castle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Hawkins</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael McCaul</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Turner</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Erickson</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Muhammad</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Pence</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monty Lankford</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Harrelson</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Baker, Jr.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Cook</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Goodman</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Hayes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Litoff</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Straniere</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Alexander</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Frelinghuysen</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemarie Avila</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvatore Grupico</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Treadwell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Starin</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Scalise</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Beren</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Miller</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Myrick</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Petri</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Price</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd Tiahrt</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom McClintock</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrus Cobb, Jr.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Micco</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgil Goode, Jr.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Brown-Waite</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Vaden</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084502A**

**PostCand.2a. House Republican candidate CODE**

| Location:  | 2470-2471 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)           |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -1                           |

Question:

PostCand.2a. House Republican candidate CODE

Preloaded House Republican candidate: code

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the candidate code for the Republican House candidate in the respondent's correct
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district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32. Republican candidate in open House race</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34. Republican House challenger</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>42.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>36. Republican House challenger</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>40.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Republican candidate in House race</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 34.59
- Median: 34.00
- Mode: 34.00
- Minimum: 32.00
- Maximum: 36.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.37

Based upon 2194 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084502B | PostCand.2b. House Republican candidate GENDER

Location: 2472-2475(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: PostCand.2b. House Republican candidate GENDER

Preloaded House Republican candidate: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V084503 | PostCand.3. House independent/3rd-party candidate NAME

Location: 2476-2488(width: 13; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)
Question: PostCand.3. House independent/3rd-party candidate NAME

Preloaded name of House independent/3rd-party candidate

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the
respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the name of the ind/3rd-party House candidate in the respondent's correct district. This variable is blank for cases where no ind/3rd-party candidate ran in R's district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Sheehan</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostCand.3a. House independent/3rd party candidate CODE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 2489-2490(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
<th>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M): -1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question: PostCand.3a. House independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>PreloadedHouse independent/3rd-partycandidate: code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the candidate code for the ind/3rd-party House candidate in the respondent's correct district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>37. Independent/3rd-party House candidate - nonincumbent</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39. Independent/3rd-party House incumbent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1. INAP, no independent/3rd-party candidate in House race</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 37.00
• Median: 37.00
• Mode: 37.00
• Minimum: 37.00
• Maximum: 37.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 23 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostCand.3b. House independent/3rd party candidate GENDER**

| Location: 2491-2494(width: 4; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -199 |
Question:
PostCand.3b. House independent/3rd party candidate

Preloaded House independent/3rd-party candidate: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084504** PostCand.4. House incumbent NAME

Location: 2495-2525 (width: 31; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)

Question:
PostCand.4. House incumbent NAME
Preloaded name of House incumbent

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the name of the incumbent in the respondent's correct district.
This represents either running or retiring House incumbent.

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084504A** PostCand.4a. House incumbent CODE

Location: 2526-2527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Question:
PostCand.4a. House incumbent CODE
Preloaded House incumbent: candidate code

For cases which had incorrect district identified for the respondent's location (see Sample.2a, Sample.2b, Sample.3a, Sample.3b), this represents the candidate code for the House incumbent in the respondent's correct district.
### PostCand.4b. House incumbent GENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. Democratic House running incumbent</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34. Republican House running incumbent</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39. Independent/3rd-party House incumbent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>41. Retiring Democratic House Representative</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>42. Retiring Republican House Representative</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>43. Retiring Independent/3rd-Party House Representative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 34.50
- Median: 34.00
- Mode: 33.00
- Minimum: 33.00
- Maximum: 42.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.77

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V084504B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2528-2531(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostCand.4b. House incumbent GENDER

Preloaded House incumbent: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### PostCand.5. Senate Democratic candidate NAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2532-2551(width: 20; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

PostCand.5. Senate Democratic candidate NAME

Preloaded name of Senate Democratic candidate

This variable is blank for cases where no Democratic candidate ran in the Senate race in R's state, cases in
states without a Senate election in 2008, and cases in Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al Franken</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Rice</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Conley</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Levin</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik R. Fleming</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank R. Lautenberg</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Martin</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey A. Merkley</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Slattery</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John F. Reed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Forbes Kerry</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph R. Biden Jr.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay R. Hagan</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark E. Udall</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark R. Warner</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary L. Landrieu</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard J. Durbin</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Noriega</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert D. Tuke</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom S. Udall</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivian Davis Figures</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084505A PostCand.5a. Senate Democratic candidate CODE**

Location: 2552-2553(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -1
Question:

**PostCand.5a. Senate Democratic candidate CODE**

Preloaded Senate Democratic candidate: candidate code

in state in 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Democratic Senate running incumbent</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Democratic Senate challenger</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Senate election</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 1363 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084505B**

**PostCand.5b. Senate Democratic candidate GENDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2554-2557(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.5b. Senate Democratic candidate GENDER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084506**

**PostCand.6. Senate Republican candidate NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2558-2579(width: 22; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.6. Senate Republican candidate NAME</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This variable is blank for cases where no Republican candidate ran in the Senate race in R's state, cases in states without a Senate election in 2008, and cases in...
## Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christine T. O'Donnell</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth H. Dole</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Harold Smith</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Hoogendyk</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James M. Inhofe</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James S. Gilmore III</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson B. Sessions</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffry K. Beatty</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cornyn</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Kennedy</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar Alexander</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsey O. Graham</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm Coleman</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Roberts</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Zimmer</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert G. Tingle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert W. Schaffer</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxby Chambliss</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Sauerberg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven E. Pearce</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thad Cochran</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084506A PostCand.6a. Senate Republican candidate CODE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2580-2581(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.6a. Senate Republican candidate CODE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preloaded Senate Republican candidate: candidate code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Republican candidate in open Senate race</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Republican Senate running incumbent</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Republican Senate challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6: Unknown Code</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Senate election</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>41.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.17
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 1363 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084506B  PostCand.6b. Senate Republican candidate GENDER**

| Location: | 2582-2585 (width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

PostCand.6b. Senate Republican candidate GENDER

Preloaded Senate Republican candidate: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084507  PostCand.7. Senate independent/3rd-party candidate NAME**

| Location: | 2586-2598 (width: 13; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |

**Question:**

PostCand.7. Senate independent/3rd-party candidate

Preloaded name of Senate independent/3rd-party candidate

This variable is blank for cases where no ind/3rd-party candidate ran in the Senate race in R's state, cases in states without a Senate election in 2008, and cases in Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dave Brownlow</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084507A PostCand.7a. Senate independent/3rd party candidate CODE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Independent/3rd-party Senate candidate - nonincumbent</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Independent/3rd-party Senate incumbent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no independent/3rd-party Senate candidate; no Senate</td>
<td>2296</td>
<td>98.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.00
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 27 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084507B PostCand.6b. Senate independent/3rd party candidate GENDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
PostCand.8. Senator not running in state with race

Preloaded name of Senator not up for re-election in state with Senate race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amy Klobuchar</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Vitter</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Ann Stabenow</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward M. Kennedy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James H. Webb, Jr.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Bingaman</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim DeMint</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Isakson</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Bailey Hutchison</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Lee Salazar</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>44.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard C. Shelby</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard M. Burr</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Menendez</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert P. Corker, Jr.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Wyden</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel D. Brownback</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheldon Whitehouse II</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Richard Carper</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Coburn</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

PostCand.8a. Senate not running in state with race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2626-2627(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.8a. Senate not running in state with race</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preloaded Senator not up for re-election in state with Senate race: candidate code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Democratic Junior Senator</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Republican Junior Senator</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Independent/3rd-Party Junior Senator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Democratic Senior Senator</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Republican Senior Senator</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Independent/3rd Party Senior Senator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Senate race in state in 2008; 2 Senate races in 2008</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.77
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 18.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.10

Based upon 1300 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084508B**  
PostCand.8b. Senate not running in state with race GENDER

| Location: | 2628-2631(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

Question:

PostCand.8b. Senate not running in state with race  
Preloaded Senator not up for re-election in state with Senate race: gender  
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084509**  
PostCand.9. Senator 1 in state without race NAME

| Location: | 2632-2650(width: 19; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |

Question:

PostCand.9. Senator 1 in state without race NAME
Preloaded name of 1st Senator in state without Senate race

This variable is blank for cases in states with a Senate election in 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlen Specter</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Nelson</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles E. Schumer</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher J. Dodd</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianne Feinstein</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaylord Kent Conrad</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George V. Voinovich</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry M. Reid</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert H. Kohl</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John S. McCain</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patty Murray</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard G. Lugar</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**PostCand.9a. Senator 1 in state without race CODE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2651-2652(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preloaded 1st Senator in state without Senate race: candidate code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Democratic Senior Senator</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Republican Senior Senator</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Independent/3rd Party Senior Senator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, Senate race in state in 2008</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.23
- Median: 17.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 18.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Based upon 946 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084509B**  
**PostCand.9b. Senator 1 in state without race GENDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2653-2656(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostCand.9b. Senator 1 in state without race GENDER

Preloaded 1st Senator in state without Senate race: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084510**  
**PostCand.10. Senator 2 in state without race NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2657-2678(width: 22; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>character (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

PostCand.10. Senator 2 in state without race NAME

Preloaded name of 2nd Senator in state without Senate race

This variable is blank for cases in states with a Senate election in 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Evan Bayh</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Boxer</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byron L. Dorgan</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillary Rodham Clinton</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Eric Ensign</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Kyl</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph I. Lieberman</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Cantwell</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mel Martinez</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert P. Casey</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell D. Feingold</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrod Brown</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084510A</th>
<th>PostCand.10a. Senator 2 in state without race CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2679-2680(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.10a. Senator 2 in state without race CODE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preloaded name of 2nd Senator in state without Senate race: candidate code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Democratic Junior Senator</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Republican Junior Senator</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Independent/3rd-Party Junior Senator</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, Senate race in state in 2008</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 11.32
• Median: 11.00
• Mode: 11.00
• Minimum: 11.00
• Maximum: 13.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.53

Based upon 946 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V084510B</th>
<th>PostCand.10b. Senator 2 in state without race GENDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2681-2684(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>PostCand.10b. Senator 2 in state without race GENDER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preloaded name of 2nd Senator in state without Senate race: gender

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084511

| Location: | 2685-2699(width: 15; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |
| Question: | PostCand.11. 2nd Democratic Senate candidate NAME |

Preloaded name of 2nd Democratic Senate candidate (MS or WY)

In Mississippi and Wyoming only, there were 2 Senate races in the 2008 election. This represents the Democratic candidate in the special Senate election.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronnie Musgrove</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084512

| Location: | 2700-2714(width: 15; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | character (ISO) |
| Question: | PostCand.12. 2nd Republican Senate candidate NAME |

Preloaded name of 2nd Republican Senate candidate (MS or WY)

In Mississippi and Wyoming only, there were 2 Senate races in the 2008 election. This represents the Republican candidate in the special Senate election.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger F. Wicker</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V084513

| Location: | 2715-2716(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Question: | PostCand.13. House type race |

- 815 -
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Question:

PostCand.13. House type race

House type race

INCUMBENT RUNNING:

------------------

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:

---------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34. Other incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>35. Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>36. Other incumbent running -- Republican and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>37. Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39. Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>51. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>52. Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>53. Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>55. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>56. Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>57. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>59. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PostCand.14. Senate type race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>61. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>62. Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>63. Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>65. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>66. Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>67. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>69. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>71. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>72. Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>73. Other incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>75. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>76. Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>79. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 22.37
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 65.00
- Standard Deviation: 15.77

Based upon 2323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V084514**

**PostCand.14. Senate type race**

- Location: 2717-2718(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -1
- Question: PostCand.14. Senate type race
Senate type race

INCUMBENT RUNNING:
------------------
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:
---------------------

NO RACE IN STATE:
-----------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34. Other incumbent running - unopposed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>35. Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>36. Other incumbent running -- Republican and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>37. Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39. Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>51. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>52. Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>53. Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>55. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>56. Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>57. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>59. Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>61. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>62. Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>63. Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>65. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>66. Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>67. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>69. Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>71. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>72. Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>73. Other incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>75. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>76. Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>79. Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>81. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>82. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>85. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>87. DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER INCUMBENT, no race in state</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>88. REPUBLICAN AND OTHER INCUMBENT, no race in state</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, Washington DC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 48.97
- Median: 29.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 87.00
- Standard Deviation: 31.04

Based upon 2309 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085001A**

**A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION OLD]**

Location: 2719-2720 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
A1a. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns.
How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH interested, SOMEWHAT interested or NOT MUCH interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very much interested</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat interested</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much interested</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.03
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.60

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION NEW]

Location: 2721-2722(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

A1b. Interested in following campaigns [VERSION NEW]
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
How interested are you in information about what's going on in government and politics?
EXTREMELY interested, VERY interested, MODERATELY interested, SLIGHTLY interested, or NOT INTERESTED AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely interested</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very interested</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately interested</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly interested</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not interested at all</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| -1    | -1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD | 1043             | 44.9%

- Mean: 2.94  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.17

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085002 | A2a1. Watch campaign programs on TV [OLD]

Location: 2723-2724(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

A2a1. Watch campaign programs on TV [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD": Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>39.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.53  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.35  

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085002A**  
**A2a2. How many campaign programs on TV [OLD]**

Location: 2725-2726(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1  
Question:

**A2a2. How many campaign programs on TV [OLD]**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":  
IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:  
Would you say you watched A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>17.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2a1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.73
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 906 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085003  A2a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign [OLD]

| Location: | 2727-2728(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

Question:

A2a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:
Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.
How much attention did you pay to news on TV about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was foward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2a1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.93
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.32

Based upon 906 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085004  | A2b1. Read about Presidential campaign in magazines [OLD]
| Location: | 2729-2730(width: 2; decimal: 0)
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
| Question: | A2b1. Read about Presidential campaign in magazines

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
Did you read about the campaign for President in any magazines?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>17.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>27.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085004A</th>
<th>A2b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines [OLD]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2731-2732(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A2b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT IN MAGAZINES:
Would you say you read A GOOD MANY articles, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2b1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 398 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A2b3. Attention to magazine about Pres campaign [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT IN MAGAZINES:
(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention did you pay to magazine articles about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was foward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was foward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2b1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>73.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.93
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
Based upon 398 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**A2c1. Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign [OLD]**

| Location: 2735-2736(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign for President on the radio?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>22.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean:** 3.01  
**Median:** 5.00  
**Mode:** 5.00  
**Minimum:** 1.00  
**Maximum:** 5.00  
**Standard Deviation:** 2.00

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**A2c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign [OLD]**

| Location: 2737-2738(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**
A2c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
Would you say you listened to A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2c1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.02
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085007  A2c3. Attention to radio about Presidential campaign [OLD]

Location: 2739-2740(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

A2c3. Attention to radio about Presidential campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention did you pay to news stories, speeches, or discussions on the radio about the campaign for President -- a GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was forward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2c1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085008**  
**A2d1. Read about campaign in newspaper [OLD]**

Location: 2741-2742(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

A2d1. Read about campaign in newspaper [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note...
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that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>28.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.49
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.94

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085008A</th>
<th>A2d2. How many stories about campaign in newspaper [OLD]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2743-2744(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

A2d2. How many stories about campaign in newspaper

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
Would you say you read A GOOD MANY stories, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## V085009 A2d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [OLD]

**Location:** 2745-2746(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

A2d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention did you pay to newspaper stories about the campaign for President --
a GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was foward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was foward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A2d1; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.92
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 654 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V085010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2747-2748(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>A2e1. View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign [OLD]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":**

Did you read, watch, or listen to any information about the campaign for President on the Internet?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A2e2. How often internet info about Pres campaign [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF VIEWED OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:
Would you say you read, watched, or listened to information on the Internet about the campaign for President A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Mean: 3.00
Median: 3.00
Mode: 3.00
Minimum: 1.00
A2e3. Attention to internet info about Pres campaign [OLD]

Location: 2751-2752(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

A2e3. Attention to internet info about Pres campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
IF VIEWED OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:

(Please look at page 1;2 of the booklet.)
How much attention did you pay to information on the Internet about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was forward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was forward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Quite a bit</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very little</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A2f. General attention to Presidential campaign news [OLD]

#### Location:

2753-2754 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

#### Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

#### Range of Missing Values (M):

-9, -8, -2, -1

#### Question:

**A2f. General attention to Presidential campaign news**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":**

(Please look at page 1; 2 of the booklet.)

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

Respondent Booklet reference was to page 1 when response order was forward; reference was to page 2 when response order was reverse.

#### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 1. A great deal | 169 | 7.3 %
2 | 2. Quite a bit | 245 | 10.5 %
3 | 3. Some | 249 | 10.7 %
4 | 4. Very little | 230 | 9.9 %
5 | 5. None | 150 | 6.5 %
-9 | -9. Refused | 0 | 0.0 %

---
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A3a1. Watch campaign programs on TV [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
Did you watch any news stories or programs about the campaign for President on television?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.95
Median: 3.00
Mode: 3.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A3a2. How many campaign programs on TV [NEW]

Location: 2757-2758 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

A3a2. How many campaign programs on TV [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:
Would you say you watched A GOOD MANY news stories or programs, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3a1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>48.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 967 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A3a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign [NEW]

Location: 2759-2760 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
A3a3. Attention to TV news about Presidential campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:
How much attention did you pay to news stories or programs on TV about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3a1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.89
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 3.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 966 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

A3b1. Read about Presidential campaign in magazines

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
Did you read about the campaign for President in any magazines?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.75
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085015A A3b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines [NEW]

Location: 2763-2764(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

A3b2. How many Pres campaign articles in magazines

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT IN MAGAZINES:
Would you say you read A GOOD MANY articles, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3b1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>76.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 330 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085016**

**A3b3. Attention to magazine about Pres campaign [NEW]**

| Location: | 2765-2766(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

A3b3. Attention to magazine about Pres campaign [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT IN MAGAZINES:
How much attention did you pay to magazine articles about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3b1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>76.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 330 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085017 A3c1. Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign [NEW]**

Location: 2767-2768(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

A3c1. Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":**
Did you listen to any news stories, speeches, or discussions on the radio about the campaign for President?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>25.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085017A  A3c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign [NEW]

Location:  2769-2770(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

A3c2. How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
Would you say you listened to A GOOD MANY stories, speeches, or discussions, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3c1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
A3c3. Attention to radio about Presidential campaign

**Location:** 2771-2772 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
How much attention did you pay to news stories, speeches, or discussions on the radio about the campaign for President--
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in A3c1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.17

Based upon 588 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
A3d1. Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper [NEW]

Location: 2773-2774(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

A3d1. Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
Did you read about the campaign for President in any newspaper?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

A3d2. How many stories abt Pres campaign in newspaper [NEW]

Location: 2775-2776(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

A3d2. How many stories abt Pres campaign in newspaper

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
Would you say you read A GOOD MANY stories, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good many</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Several</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just one or two</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3d1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>63.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.05  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 621 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085020**

**A3d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [NEW]**

Location: 2777-2778(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

A3d3. Attention to newspaper about Pres campaign [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
How much attention did you pay to newspaper stories about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3d1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>63.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 621 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085021**  
**A3e1. View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign [NEW]**

| Location:   | 2779-2780(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)                      |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question:

A3e1. View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
Did you read, watch, or listen to any information about the campaign for President on the Internet?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)
A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>19.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>26.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.31
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085021A**

**A3e2. How often internet info about Pres campaign [NEW]**

**Location:** 2781-2782(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

A3e2. How often internet info about Pres campaign

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":

IF VIEWED OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:

Would you say you read, watched, or listened to information on the Internet about the campaign for President A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.)

A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.
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- Mean: 2.90
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 446 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in A3e1; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>71.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.87
- Median: 3.00
V085023 | A3f. General attention to Presidential campaign news [NEW]

Location: 2785-2786(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

A3f. General attention to Presidential campaign news

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) A2a1-A2f are "OLD" Pre media questions, while A3a1-A3f are "NEW". See PostRandom.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>13.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None at all</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.90
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
A4. How often trust the media to report news fairly

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ONLY SOME OF THE TIME, or ALMOST NEVER?

Reference to the respondent booklet was made only if R had been administered Post-election media questions VERSION OLD.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Just about always</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>39.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Only some of the time</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>38.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Almost never</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.50
Median: 2.00
Mode: 2.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 0.72

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

B1. Did party contact R about 2008 campaign
B1. Did party contact R about 2008 campaign

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.35  
• Median: 5.00  
• Mode: 5.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 5.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

B1a. Which party contacted R about 2008 campaign

IF SOMEONE FROM POLITICAL PARTY CONTACT R ABOUT CAMPAIGN: Which party was that?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Republicans</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Both</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5.-8.-9 in B1</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.45
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.36

Based upon 843 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085026</th>
<th>B2. Did anyone other than parties contact R about campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2793-2794(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>B2. Did anyone other than parties contact R about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>73.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.26
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.55

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085027</th>
<th>B2. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2795-2798(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:

B2. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)

HISPANIC (PRELOAD)

This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload. No case of preload failure was for a Respondent identified as Hispanic in this value.

Each digit in the 4-digit representation of codes represents the presence (1) or absence (0) of Hispanic identification at one of four possible sources from the Pre-election wave. Cases were preloaded as Hispanic for the Post-election wave if any of the following from the Pre-election wave indicated Hispanic ethnicity:

- Household listing (roster) answer Yes Hispanic (for R)
- Code 40 (Hispanic) for any Pre-election race group mention (Y24a-Y24e)
- Code 1 (Yes) at Pre-election Y27 interviewer checkpoint indicating mention of Hispanic group in open-ended ethnicity response
- Code 1 (Yes) at question Y28a question whether R has Hispanic descent: only asked of Rs not coded Yes at Y27

Note that both Y27 and Y28a could not both be answered Yes (3rd and 4th digits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0000. Not preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>67.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0001. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Pre Y28a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0010. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Pre Y27</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0100. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Pre Y24a-Y24e</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>0101. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Pre Y24a-Y24e and Y28a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>0110. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Pre Y24a-Y24e and Y27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1001. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing and Y28a</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1010. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing and Y27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing and Y24a-Y24e</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101</td>
<td>1101. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing, Y24a-Y24e, and Y28a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110</td>
<td>1110. PRELOAD HISPANIC: Household listing, Y24a-Y24e, and Y27</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 235.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1110.00
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- Standard Deviation: 448.64

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2799-2800(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B2a. LATINO Rs: Was contact by Latino person(s)**

**Question:**

IF R HISPANIC PRELOAD:

IF SOMEONE CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) AT B1 OR B2:

Were the people who contacted you Latinos, non-Latinos, or both?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Latinos</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Non-Latinos</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Don't remember</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in B2 and 5,-8,-9 in B1 and B2_ne 0; 0000 in in B2_</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>81.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 208 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2801-2802(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B2b. LATINO Rs: Was contact in Spanish or English**

**Question:**

IF R HISPANIC PRELOAD:

IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTY CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S):
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When you were contacted, was it mostly in Spanish, mostly in English, or in both?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Spanish</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. English</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Don't remember</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in B2; 1 in B2 and 0000 in B2_</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.14  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 218 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085028

| Location: | 2803-2804(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

Question:

B3. Anyone talk to R abt registering or getting out to vote

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, someone did</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, no one did</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00
B4. R talk to anyone about voting for or against a candidate

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.28
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

B5. R go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches,
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dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>82.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.63
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085031**

| Location: | 2809-2810(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

B6. R wear campaign button or post sign or bumper sticker

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>72.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.19
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61
Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085032**  
**B7. R do any (other) work for party or candidate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2811-2812(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td></td>
<td>221 9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.81  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085033**  
**B8. R contribute money to specific candidate campaign**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2813-2814(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>81.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.61
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2815-2816(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**V085033A**

**B8a. Party of candidate for whom R contributed money**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Both Democratic candidate and Republican candidate {VOL}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in B8</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>81.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.72
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Based upon 204 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
V085034 | B9. R contribute money to political party
---|---
**Location:** 2817-2818(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**
Did you give money to a POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>83.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.71
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085034A | B9a. Party to which R contributed
---|---
**Location:** 2819-2820(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**
IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:
To which party did you give money?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republicans</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Both Democrats and Republicans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in B9</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>83.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.76  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Based upon 153 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085035 **B10. R contribute to any other group for/against a candidate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>87.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.88  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.68

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085036A **C1a. R vote turnout [OLD]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2823-2824(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

B10. R contribute to any other group for/against a

Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or opposed candidates?

Based upon 153 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

C1a. R vote turnout [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "OLD":
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:
   One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
   Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
   Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
   Four, I am sure I voted?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
In asking people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, they didn't have time, or something else happened to prevent them from voting.

And sometimes, people who usually vote or who planned to vote forget that something unusual happened on Election Day one year that prevented them from voting that time. So please think carefully for a minute about the recent elections, and other past elections in which you may have voted, and answer the following questions about your voting behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. I did not vote in the election this November</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. I usually vote, but didn't this time</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. I am sure I voted</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>34.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.43
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
C1b1. R usually vote during the past 6 years [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
During the past 6 years, did you USUALLY VOTE in national, state, and local elections, or did you USUALLY NOT VOTE?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Usually voted</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Usually did not vote</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 1058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

C1b2. R plan to vote during 6 months before election

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
During the months leading up to the elections that were held on November 4th, did you ever plan to vote, or didn't you plan to do that?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Did plan to vote</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>38.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Did not plan to vote</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.15
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.36

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085036D C1b3. R vote turnout [NEW]

Location: 2829-2830(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

C1b3. R vote turnout [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
Which one of the following best describes what you did in the elections that were held November 4th?

before the election

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
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each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

election day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Definitely did not vote in the elections</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Definitely voted in person at a polling place on election day</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>20.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before the election</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Definitely voted in some other way</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Not completely sure whether you voted or not</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.28  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 6.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 1059 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085036E C1b3a. If not sure whether voted, did R probably vote [OLD]

| Location: | 2831-2832(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question: C1b3a. If not sure whether voted, did R probably vote

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION "NEW":
IF R NOT SURE WHETHER VOTED IN THE ELECTIONS:
If you had to guess, would you say that you probably did vote in the elections, or probably did not vote in the elections?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Probably voted</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Probably did not vote</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-5,-8,-9 in C1b3; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.67  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085036X  
**C1x. SUMMARY: R VOTE TURNOUT [OLD and NEW]**

| Location: 2833-2834(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -2  
| Question: C1x. SUMMARY: R VOTE TURNOUT [OLD and NEW]  
| SUMMARY: R VOTE TURNOUT  
| Built from C1a and C1b3.  
| Code 1 includes cases coded 1 in C1b3a.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Did not vote in November 2008</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Voted in November 2008</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.76  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085037  
**C2. R registered to vote in 2008 election**
C2. R registered to vote in 2008 election

IF DID NOT VOTE:
Were you registered to vote in this election?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R’S STATE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in C1x</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.39
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 492 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085038  

C4. R registered to vote in preload county (residence)

Location:  

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

C4. R registered to vote in preload county (residence)

IF VOTED OR REGISTERED NONVOTER:
Your residence is located in -COUNTY-.
Are you registered to vote in -COUNTY-?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, registered in preload county</td>
<td>1718</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, registered in other county</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. R VOLUNTEERS: preload county is incorrect</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in C1x and 5,6,-8,-9 in C2</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 1798 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085038A</th>
<th>C4a2. State of R Registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2839-2840(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C4a2. State of R Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY OR PRELOAD COUNTY INCORRECT:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE OF REGISTRATION: FIPS county code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Alabama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Alaska</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Arizona</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Arkansas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Colorado</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Connecticut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Delaware</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. District of Columbia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Florida</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Georgia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Hawaii</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Idaho</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Illinois</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Indiana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Iowa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Kansas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Kentucky</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Louisiana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Maine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Maryland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Massachusetts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Michigan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Minnesota</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28. Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Missouri</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Montana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31. Nebraska</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32. Nevada</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. New Hampshire</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>34. New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>35. New Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>36. New York</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>37. North Carolina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>38. North Dakota</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>39. Ohio</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. Oklahoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>41. Oregon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>42. Pennsylvania</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>44. Rhode Island</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45. South Carolina</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>46. South Dakota</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>47. Tennessee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. Texas</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>49. Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. Vermont</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>51. Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>53. Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>54. West Virginia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>55. Wisconsin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>56. Wyoming</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in C4; 0 in C1x and 5,6,-8,-9 in C2</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 26.58
- Study 25383 -

- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 55.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.69

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| C4a1. County of R Registration | Location: 2841-2842(width: 2; decimal: 0) Variable Type: numeric (ISO) Range of Missing Values (M): -3, -2 Question: C4a1. County of R Registration IF R NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY OR PRELOAD COUNTY INCORRECT: In what county and state are you registered? |%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. Missing, restricted access (confidential data)</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| C4x1. SUMMARY: REPORTED VOTE AND REGISTRATION STATUS | Location: 2843-2844(width: 2; decimal: 0) Variable Type: numeric (ISO) Range of Missing Values (M): -2 Question: C4x1. SUMMARY: REPORTED VOTE AND REGISTRATION STATUS SUMMARY: REPORTED VOTE AND REGISTRATION STATUS Code 2 includes cases coded 6 in C2. Code 5 includes cases coded 7 in C4. |%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Nonvoter, registered</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Nonvoter, not registered</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Nonvoter, DK if registered</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Voter, registered in preload county</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>66.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Voter, not registered in preload county</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Voter, DK/RF where registered</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.46
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085039B**

**C4x2. SUMMARY: SENATE RACE STATUS**

Location: 2845-2846(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

**Question:**

SUMMARY: SENATE RACE STATUS

For nonvoters, state is preload state (state of residence). For voters registered in preload county, state is preload state. For voters not registered in preload county, state is state of registration. For voters who indicated that they did not know whether they were registered in the preload county, state is preload state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No Senate race in state</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>37.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. State with 1 Senate race</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>50.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. State with 2 Senate races (Mississippi and Wyoming)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085039C**

**C4x3. SUMMARY: VOTE, REGISTRATION, AND SENATE RACE STATUS**
C4x3. SUMMARY: VOTE, REGISTRATION, AND SENATE RACE

SUMMARY: VOTE, REGISTRATION, AND SENATE RACE STATUS

For nonvoters, state is preload state (state of residence). For voters registered in preload county, state is preload state. For voters not registered in preload county, state is state of registration. For voters who indicated that they did not know whether they were registered in the preload county, state is preload state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Nonvoter in state with no Senate race</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Nonvoter in state with 1 Senate race</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Nonvoter in state with 2 Senate races</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Voter registered in preload county: state with no Senate race</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Voter registered in preload county: state with 1 Senate race</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Voter registered in preload county: state with 2 Senate races</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Voter registered outside preload county: state with no Senate race</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Voter registered outside preload county: state with 1 Senate race</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Voter registered outside preload county: state with 2 Senate races</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.99
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C4x4. CORRECTED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2847-2848(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C4x4. CORRECTED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRECTION TO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NUMBER

In 22 cases, the incorrect Congressional District was identified for R's residence and preloaded into the Post-election instrument. See Sample.3b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Preload Congressional district number not incorrect</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Preload Congressional district number correct</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C4x5. SUMMARY: INCORRECT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

Location: 2851-2852(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

In 22 cases, the incorrect Congressional District was identified for R's residence and preloaded into the Post-election instrument. This variable identifies whether a Ballot Card with incorrectly identified names was shown to the respondent and in what manner the Ballot Card was used (for example, for code 3, a respondent who otherwise voted did not vote for House candidate so that names on the Ballot Card had no effect on House vote report).

CORRECT DISTRICT PRELOAD
INCORRECT DISTRICT PRELOAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Preload district correct</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>89.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Nonvoter, Ballot Card used: R did not prefer house candidate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C5. Did R vote on election day or before election day

**Value** | **Label** | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%**
---|---|---|---
2 | 2. Nonvoter, Ballot Card used: R preferred house candidate | 1 | 0.0 %
3 | 3. Voter, Ballot Card used: R did not vote for House candidate | 5 | 0.2 %
4 | 4. Voter, no Ballot Card used: R voted outside county of residence | 2 | 0.1 %
5 | 5. Voter, Ballot card used: R voted in county of residence | 10 | 0.4 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085042A  C5a. How many days before election did R vote

| Value | Label | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%**
---|---|---|---
1 | 1. Election day | 970 | 41.8 %
5 | 5. Some time before this | 632 | 27.2 %
-9 | -9. Refused | 1 | 0.0 %
-8 | -8. Don’t know | 0 | 0.0 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %
-1 | -1. INAP, 1,2,3 in C4x1 | 499 | 21.5 %

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 1602 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
C5a. How many days before election did R vote

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:
How many days before the election did you vote?

Coded by the interviewer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Less than one week; 1-6 days</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One week; 7 days</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. One month; 29-31 days</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. More than one month; 32-60 days</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. a few days; a couple of days; several days NFS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks NFS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>87. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in C5; 1,2,3 in C4x1</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.87
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 87.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.04

Based upon 632 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:
Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | 1. In person                        | 392                  | 16.9%
| 5     | 5. Absentee ballot                  | 192                  | 8.3%
| 7     | 7. R VOL: By mail                   | 47                   | 2.0%
| -9    | -9. Refused                         | 0                    | 0.0%
| -8    | -8. Don't know                      | 1                    | 0.0%
| -2    | -2. No Post-election IW             | 221                  | 9.5%
| -1    | -1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in C5; 1,2,3 in C4x1 | 1470                | 63.3%

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.19

Based upon 631 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085044  C6. Did R vote for candidate for President

Location: 2859-2860(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

C6. Did R vote for candidate for President

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | 1. Yes, voted for President            | 1593                 | 68.6%
| 5     | 5. No, didn't vote for President       | 10                   | 0.4%
| -9    | -9. Refused                           | 0                    | 0.0%
| -8    | -8. Don't know                        | 0                    | 0.0%
| -2    | -2. No Post-election IW               | 221                  | 9.5%
| -1    | -1. INAP, 1,2,3 in C4x1               | 499                  | 21.5%

- Mean: 1.02
- Median: 1.00
**V085044A C6a. For whom did R vote for President**

| Location: | 2861-2862(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
|------------------------------------------------|
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

C6a. For whom did R vote for President

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
Who did you vote for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>44.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. John McCain</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C6; 1,2,3 in C4x1</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>21.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.75
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 1564 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085044B C6a1. Preference strong for candidate for whom R voted**

| Location: | 2863-2864(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
|------------------------------------------------|
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

C6a1. Preference strong for candidate for whom R voted

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT AND CANDIDATE NOT DK/RF:
Would you say your preference for this candidate was
STRONG or NOT STRONG?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strong</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8, -9 in C6a; 5, -8, -9 in C6; 1, 2, 3 in C4x1</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 1564 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085045</th>
<th>C6b. How long before election R made decision Pres vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2865-2866(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

C6b. How long before election R made decision Pres

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT AND CANDIDATE NOT DK/RF:
How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

{PROBE IF NECESSARY: WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded. This variable will be coded from open-ended responses in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not yet</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085046</th>
<th>C6c. NONVOTER: Did R prefer candidate for President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- 878 -
C6c. NONVOTER: Did R prefer candidate for President

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
How about the election for President? Did you prefer one of the candidates for PRESIDENT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 4,5,6 in C4x1</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>69.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.10
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 499 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085046A

C6c1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for President

Location: 2869-2870(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

C6c1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for President

IF DID NOT VOTE:
IF PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Who did you prefer?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. John McCain</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C6c; 4,5,6 in C4x1</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>74.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 360 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085046B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strong</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in C6c1; 5,-8,-9 in C6c; 4,5,6 in C4x1</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>75.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.86
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 360 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085047**

| Location: | 2873-2874(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |

**C7. Ballot Card Type**

Location: 2873-2874(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
C7. Ballot Card Type

IF R WAS REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY:
BALLOT CARD TYPE

Respondents were randomly assigned to a ballot card with Democratic candidate names listed first (YELLOW) or else a ballot card with Republican names listed first (GREEN). For voters, ballot cards were administered only if the respondent indicated voting in the county of preload. Ballot cards were administered to all nonvoters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. YELLOW BALLOT CARD: Democratic names listed first</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. GREEN BALLOT CARD: Republican names listed first</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,6, in C4x1 (Ballot Card not used)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085048  C7a1. Did R vote for House of Representatives

Location: 2875-2876(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

C7a1. Did R vote for House of Representatives

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN PRELOAD COUNTY /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN PRELOAD COUNTY:
{[INTERVIEWER: SHOW YELLOW/GREEN BALLOT CARD]
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.}]

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?
The instruction to show and mention the Ballot Card to the respondent appeared only if the respondent was registered in the preload county. The instruction not to show the Ballot Card appeared only if R was not registered in the preload county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,3 in C4x1</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1580 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085049A</th>
<th>C7a2. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2877-2878(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C7a2. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for House</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN PRELOAD COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
Who did you vote for?

(IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as 'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name as the order of the names may be different on your screen)

The Ballot Card was shown for the administration of this question (respondents registered in preload county). In 3 cases, the preload of candidate names failed and this question was not administered. For the 8 cases coded 6, a re-verification of Congressional
district was conducted and it was determined that the respondent was in error for the identification of candidates in his/her district.
Together with the cases coded 8 and 9, there are 2 additional cases coded 5 in C4x5, however in one case the respondent identified the correct Democratic candidate for his/her actual district (this cases is coded 1 in C7a2) and in the remaining case the respondent had voted for a third party candidate (coded 7 in C7a2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic candidate</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>31.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican candidate</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. R VOLUNTEERS: names are not correct for R's district</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other candidate (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. R selected Republican name from incorrect Ballot Card (see C4x5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. R selected Democratic name from incorrect Ballot Card (see C4x5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C7a1 and 4 in C4x1; 1,2,3,5,6,8,9 in C4x1</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>34.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Based upon 1267 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**C7a3. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for House**

| Location: 2879-2880(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

C7a3. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for

**IF R VOTED:**
**IF R VOTED OUTSIDE PRELOAD COUNTY:**
**IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:**
Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
The Ballot Card was not shown for the administration of this question (respondents not registered in preload county).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic candidate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican candidate</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C7a1 and 5,6 in C4x1; 1,2,3,4 in C4x1</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.23
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 44 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location:          | 2881-2882(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type:     | numeric (ISO)                    |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

V085049X C7a3x1. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for House

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
SUMMARY: PARTY OF HOUSE VOTE

Built from C7a2 and C7a3.
## Study 25383

### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
8 | 8. R selected Republican name from incorrect Ballot Card (see C4x5) | 5 | 0.2%
9 | 9. R selected Democratic name from incorrect Ballot Card (see C4x5) | 3 | 0.1%
-9 | -9. Refused | 11 | 0.5%
-8 | -8. Don't know | 19 | 0.8%
-4 | -4. NA: preload failure and voted in county of IW (3 cases) | 3 | 0.1%
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5%
-1 | -1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C7a1; 1,2,3 in C4x1 | 758 | 32.6%

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Based upon 1311 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### C7a3x1. SUMMARY: House vote candidate number

| Location: | 2883-2884(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 , -1 |

C7a3x1. SUMMARY: House vote candidate number

**IF R VOTED:**
**IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:**
**SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE CANDIDATE NUMBER**

This variable will be coded in a future release.

### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5%
-1 | -1. INAP, not yet | 2102 | 90.5%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### C7b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer House candidate

| Location: | 2885-2886(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**V085051**
Question:

C7b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer House candidate

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
{INTERVIEWER: SHOW YELLOW/GREEN BALLOT CARD}

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?

The Ballot Card was shown for the administration of this question.
For the 4 cases coded 6, a re-verification of Congressional district was conducted and it was determined that the respondent was in error for the identification of candidates in his/her district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. R VOLUNTEERS: names are not correct for R's district</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 4,5,6 in C4x1</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.89
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 489 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085052  C7b1a. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for House election

Location: 2887-2888(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

C7b1a. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for House election
- Study 25383 -

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R PREFERRED HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE:
Who did you prefer?

{IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as
'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name
as the order of the names may be different on your
screen}

For the 4 cases coded 6, a re-verification of Congressional
district was conducted and it was determined that the
respondent was in error for the identification of candidates
in his/her district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic candidate</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican candidate</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. R selected Democratic name from incorrect Ballot Card (see C4x5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,6,-8,-9 in C7b1; 4,5,6 in C4x1</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>84.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.78
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 9.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 136 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085053   C8a1. Did R vote for Senate

| Location: | 2889-2890(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: | C8a1. Did R vote for Senate |

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY AND SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE/
IF NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY AND SENATE RATE IN REGISTRATION STATE:
[[INTERVIEWER: SHOW YELLOW/GREEN BALLOT CARD]]
How about the election (MS AND WY ONLY: two elections) for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate (MS AND WY ONLY: candidates) for the U.S. SENATE?

{INTERVIEWER DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}

The instruction to show Ballot Card to the respondent appeared only if the respondent was registered in the preload county. The instruction not to show the Ballot Card appeared only if R was not registered in the preload county. Mississippi and Wyoming had 2 Senate elections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, voted for Senate</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, didn't vote for Senate</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,3,4,7 in C4x3</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>50.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.40
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 929 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085054A  C8a1a. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for Senate 1

Location: 2891-2892(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

Question:

C8a1a. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for

IF R VOTED: IF REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY AND SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE: IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE: {BALLOT CARD}

Which candidate (MS AND WY ONLY: candidates) did you vote for?

{IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as 'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name as the order of the names may be different on your screen}
There were 3 cases of preload failure for the vote section; for 2 of these cases there was not Senate race and for the remaining case R indicated voting for the Senate in C8a1 however this question was not administered (due to the preload failure).

For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H. Carter and the 2nd Wyoming Republican candidate was John A. Barrasso.

For Mississippi, the 1st Democratic candidate was Erik R.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure and voted for Senate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C8a1 and 5,6 in C4x3; 1-3,4,7-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>55.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.20  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 77.00  
- Standard Deviation: 10.34  

Based upon 802 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085054B  C8a1b. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for Senate 2

Location: 2893-2894(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1  
Question: C8a1b. REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote for  
IF R VOTED:  
IF REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY AND SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
- Study 25383 -

IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE:
IF PRELOAD STATE IS MISSISSIPPI OR WYOMING (2 SENATE RACES IN 2008):
{BALLOT CARD}

MS AND WY ONLY: Which candidates did you vote for?

{IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as 'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name as the order of the names may be different on your screen)

For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H. Carter and the 2nd Wyoming Republican candidate was John A. Barrasso.
For Mississippi, the 1st Democratic candidate was Erik R. Fleming and the 1st Republican candidate was Thad Cochran; the 2nd Mississippi Democratic candidate was Ronnie Musgrove and the 2nd Mississippi Republican candidate was Roger F. Wicker.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. R voted for only 1 Senate candidate (VOL)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in C8a and 6 in C4x3; 1-3, 4, 5, 7-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>2057</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 23.86
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.69

Based upon 44 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085055 C8a2a. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote Senate 1

Location: 2895-2896(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

C8a2a. NOT REGISTERED IN PRELOAD COUNTY: R's vote

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE PRELOAD COUNTY AND SENATE RACE IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE:
Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{INTERVIEWER: RECORD INFORMATION FOR 1ST MENTIONED VOTE}

No respondent who voted outside preload county and voted in Mississippi or Wyoming (2 Senate race) reported voting for the Senate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C8a and 8,9 in C4x3; 1-7 in C4x3</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>89.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.18
- Median: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085056A C8a1x1. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 1

Location: 2897-2898(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
Question: C8a1x1. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 1

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE:
SUMMARY: PARTY OF R's VOTE FOR SENATE 1
Built from C8a1a, C8a1b, C8a2a. There were 3 cases of preload failure for the vote section; for 2 of these cases there was no Senate race and for the remaining case R indicated voting for the Senate in C8a1 however this question was not administered (due to the preload failure).

For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H. Carter and the 2nd Wyoming Republican candidate was John A. Barrasso.

For Mississippi, the 1st Democratic candidate was Erik R. Fleming and the 1st Republican candidate was Thad Cochran; the 2nd Mississippi Democratic candidate was Ronnie Musgrove and the 2nd Mississippi Republican candidate was Roger F. Wicker.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate [SPECIFY]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; -4 in C8a1a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,6,8,9 in C4x3 and 5,-8,-9 in C8a1; 1-3,4,7 in C4x3;</td>
<td>1267</td>
<td>54.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.18
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 77.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.24

Based upon 819 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085056B</th>
<th>C8a1x2. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2899-2900(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>C8a1x2. SUMMARY: Party of R's vote for Senate 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R VOTED:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H. Carter and the 2nd Wyoming Republican candidate was John A. Barrasso.

For Mississippi, the 1st Democratic candidate was Erik R. Fleming and the 1st Republican candidate was Thad Cochran; the 2nd Mississippi Democratic candidate was Ronnie Musgrove and the 2nd Mississippi Republican candidate was Roger F. Wicker.

Because no respondent who voted outside preload county and voted in Mississippi or Wyoming reported voting for the Senate, this variable is identical to C8a1b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. R voted for only 1 Senate candidate (VOL)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-5,7-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>2057</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 23.86
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.69

Based upon 44 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085057A C8a2x1. SUMMARY: Senate vote 1 candidate number**

Location: 2901-2902(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1
C8a2x1. SUMMARY: Senate vote 1 candidate number

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE:
SUMMARY: Senate 1 vote candidate number

This variable will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C8a2x2. SUMMARY: Senate vote 2 candidate number

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR THE SENATE:
IF VOTED IN MS OR WY:
SUMMARY: Senate 2 vote candidate number

This variable will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C8b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer Senate candidate

Location: 2905-2906(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

C8b1. NONVOTER: Did R prefer Senate candidate

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
{INTERVIEWER: SHOW YELLOW/GREEN BALLOT CARD}
How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. SENATE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,4-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.48
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.94

Based upon 300 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085059  C8b1a1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election 1
Location: 2907-2908(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

C8b1a1. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
IF R PREFERED A CANDIDATE FOR THE SENATE:
{BALLOT CARD}

Which candidate (MS AND WY ONLY: candidates) did you prefer?

{IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as 'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name as the order of the names may be different on your screen}

For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H. Carter and the 2nd Wyoming Republican candidate was John A. Barrasso.
For Mississippi, the 1st Democratic candidate was Erik R. Fleming and the 1st Republican candidate was Thad Cochran; the 2nd Mississippi Democratic candidate was Ronnie Musgrove and the 2nd Mississippi Republican candidate was Roger F.
- Study 25383 -

Wicker.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Independent/3rd party candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C8b1; 1,4-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>85.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.30
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 77.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.96

Based upon 114 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085059X  C8b1a1x. SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter pref 1 candidate number

Location: 2909-2910(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2 , -1
Question: C8b1a1x. SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter pref 1 candidate

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR THE SENATE:
SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter preference 1 candidate number

This variable will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085060  C8b1a2. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election 2
C8b1a2. NONVOTER: Who did R prefer for Senate election

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
IF R PREFERED A CANDIDATE FOR THE SENATE:
IF PRELOAD STATE IS MISSISSIPPI OR WYOMING:
{BALLOT CARD}

Which candidates did you vote for?

{IWER: If respondent answers with a number such as 'the first one' be sure to ask for the candidate name as the order of the names may be different on your screen}

For Wyoming, the 1st Democratic candidate was Christopher J. Rothfuss and the 1st Republican candidate was Michael B. Enzi; the 2nd Wyoming Democratic candidate was Nicolas H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Democratic candidate (MS or WY: 1st Democratic candidate)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Republican candidate (MS or WY: 1st Republican candidate)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>33. MS or WY: 2nd Democratic candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. Other candidate {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in C8b1 and 3 in C4x3; 1,2,4-9 in C4x3</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>90.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 18.00
- Median: 18.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 33.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.21

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Range of Missing Values (M): -2, -1

Question:

C8b1a2x. SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter pref 1 candidate

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE RACE IN PRELOAD STATE:
IF R PREFERED A CANDIDATE FOR THE SENATE:
IF PRELOAD STATE IS MISSISSIPPI OR WYOMING:
SUMMARY: Senate nonvoter preference 1 candidate number

This variable will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085061

C9. Is R optimistic or pessimisted about personal future

Location: 2915-2916(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

C9. Is R optimistic or pessimisted about personal

When you think about your own personal future, are you generally OPTIMISTIC, PESSIMISTIC, or NEITHER OPTIMISTIC NOR PESSIMISTIC?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Optimistic</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pessimistic</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither optimistic nor pessimistic</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.63
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 2090 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### V085061A

**C9a. How optimistic is R about personal future**

| Location: | 2917-2918(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

**IF OPTIMISTIC ABOUT PERSONAL FUTURE:**

Are you VERY optimistic, or SOMEWHAT optimistic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very optimistic</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>28.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat optimistic</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2,3,-8,-9 in C9</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1341 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085061B

**C9b. How pessimistic is R about personal future**

| Location: | 2919-2920(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

**IF PESSIMISTIC ABOUT PERSONAL FUTURE:**

Are you VERY pessimistic, or SOMEWHAT pessimistic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very pessimistic</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat pessimistic</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,3,-8,-9 in C9</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>82.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.73  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Based upon 175 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**C9c. Does R lean toward optimism or pessimism about self**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Optimistic</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pessimistic</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither optimistic nor pessimistic</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,-8,-9 in C9</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>65.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.32  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 577 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
C9x. SUMMARY: PERSONAL OPTIMISM

SUMMARY: PERSONAL OPTIMISM/PESSIMISM

Built from C9-C9c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very optimistic</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>28.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat optimistic</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward being optimistic</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward being pessimistic</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat pessimistic</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Very pessimistic</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in C9,C9a,C9b or C9c)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in C9a,C9b or C9c)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085062  C10. Is R optimistic or pessimistic about the U.S.

Location: 2925-2926(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question: And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally OPTIMISTIC, PESSIMISTIC, or NEITHER OPTIMISTIC NOR PESSIMISTIC?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Optimistic</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>50.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pessimistic</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V085062A  
**C10a. How optimistic is R about the U.S.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither optimistic nor pessimistic</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.72
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 2079 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085062B  
**C10b. How pessimistic is R about the U.S.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very optimistic</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat optimistic</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2,3,-8,-9 in C10</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1168 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
C10b. How pessimistic is R about the U.S.

IF PESSIMISTIC ABOUT FUTURE OF U.S.: Are you VERY pessimistic, or SOMEWHAT pessimistic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very pessimistic</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat pessimistic</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,3,-8,-9 in C10</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>76.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.68
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 322 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

C10c. Does R lean toward optimism/pessimism about U.S.

IF NEITHER OPTIMISTIC NOR PESSIMISTIC ABOUT FUTURE OF U.S.: Do you LEAN TOWARD BEING OPTIMISTIC, LEAN TOWARD BEING PESSIMISTIC, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward being optimistic</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward being pessimistic</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way either way</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,-8,-9 in C10</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.29
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 600 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2933-2934(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

C10x. SUMMARY: OPTIMISM/PESSIMISM ABOUT THE U.S.

SUMMARY: OPTIMISM/PESSIMISM ABOUT THE UNITED STATES

Built from C10-C10c.

Please look at page 3 of the booklet.
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days.

I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person.

If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very optimistic</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat optimistic</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward being optimistic</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward being pessimistic</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat pessimistic</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Very pessimistic</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in C10,C10a,C10b or C10c)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in C10a,C10b or C10c)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.94  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2090 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063A**  
**Dia. Feeling thermometer: President George W. Bush**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2935-2937(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

Dia. Feeling thermometer: President George W. Bush

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate:  
GEORGE W. BUSH

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>18.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 37.72
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.61

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063B**  
**D1b. Feeling thermometer: Democratic Presidential candidate**

- **Location:** 2938-2940(width: 3; decimal: 0)  
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -6 , -2  
- **Question:** D1b. Feeling thermometer: Democratic Presidential

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
BARACK OBAMA

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don’t know do you mean that you don’t know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2) first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See PostRandom.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>20.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>25.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (‘don't know where to rate’)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: ‘don't know who this is’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 72.25
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- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.84

Based upon 2090 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>V085063C</th>
<th>D1c. Feeling thermometer: Republican Presidential candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2941-2943(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>D1c. Feeling thermometer: Republican Presidential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Looking at page 3 of the booklet. How would you rate: JOHN MCCAIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2) first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See PostRandom.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>17.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 50.76  
- Median: 50.00  
- Mode: 50.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 24.16

Based upon 2081 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2944-2946(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -6, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D1d. Feeling thermometer: CINDY MCCAIN

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate:  
CINDY MCCAIN

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.  
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
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D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 50.44
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.31

Based upon 1855 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
<th>Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>2947-2949(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2

Question:

D1e. Feeling thermometer: MICHELLE OBAMA

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
MICHELLE OBAMA

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 66.99
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.65

Based upon 2031 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2950-2952(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -4 , -2 , -1 |

**V085063F D1f. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE**

**Location:**

2950-2952(width: 3; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**

numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**

-9 , -8 , -6 , -4 , -2 , -1

**Question:**

D1f. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.

How would you rate:

-HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE-

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

This represents the preloaded House Democratic candidate name (district of respondent residence).

See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no House Democratic candidate</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 61.67
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.85

Based upon 1433 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063G**  
**D1g. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE**

- **Location:** 2953-2955(width: 3; decimal: 0)  
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1  
- **Question:** D1g. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

**IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:**
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate:
-HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE-

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s. This represents the preloaded House Republican candidate name (district of respondent residence). See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>20.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no House Republican candidate</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 55.69
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- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.64

Based upon 1264 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085063H  D1h. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE

Location: 2956-2958(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1
Question:

D1h. Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY

IF INDEPENDENT/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
- HOUSE INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE-

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
This represents the preloaded House independent/3rd-party candidate name (district of respondent residence).
See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no House Independent candidate</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 56.33
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 32.37

Based upon 15 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085063J  D1j. Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

Location: 2959-2961(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1
Question: D1j. Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
-SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE-

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
This represents the preloaded Senate Democratic candidate name (state of respondent residence).
See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Senate race in state</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 63.01
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.18

Based upon 955 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063K**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2962-2964(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

D1k. Feeling thermometer: SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
-SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE-

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}
On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s. This represents the preloaded Senate Republican candidate name (state of respondent residence). See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Senate race in state</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 54.00
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.28

Based upon 925 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**Study 25383**

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): 

-9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1

Question:

D1m. Feeling thermometer: SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY

IF INDEPENDENT/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.

How would you rate:

- SENATE INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE- 

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s. This represents the preloaded Senate independent/3rd-party candidate name (state of respondent residence). See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no ind/3rd party Senate candidate; no Senate race in state</td>
<td>2074</td>
<td>89.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 50.00
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 50.00
- Maximum: 50.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 7 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063N**

D1m. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 1 IN STATE WITHOUT RACE

Location: 2967-2969(width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): 

-9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1

Question:
D1m. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 1 IN STATE WITHOUT IF NO SENATE RACE IN STATE:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
- SENATOR 1- IN STATE WITHOUT SENATE RACE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you
have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
This represents the preloaded Senator 1 in state without race
name (state of respondent residence).
See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, Senate race in state</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>53.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 56.05
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.78

Based upon 668 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063P**

**D1p. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 2 IN STATE WITHOUT RACE**

| Location: | 2970-2972(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1 |
| Question: | D1p. Feeling thermometer: SENATOR 2 IN STATE WITHOUT |

**IF NO SENATE RACE IN STATE:**

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.

How would you rate:

- SENATOR 2- IN STATE WITHOUT SENATE RACE

**PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE:** when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

This represents the preloaded Senator 2 in state without race name (state of respondent residence).

See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, Senate race in state</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>53.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 57.05
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.82

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085063Q D1q. Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE W/RACE

| Location: | 2973-2975(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -4 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

D1q. Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE

IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
- SENATOR NOT UP FOR ELECTION- IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}
On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s. This represents the preloaded Senator in state without race name (state of respondent location). See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no Senate race in state or (MS and WY) 2 races in state</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 60.15
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.86

Based upon 848 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
D1r. Feeling thermometer: 2nd DEMOCRATIC SENATE

IF WYOMING OR MISSISSIPPI:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you
have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
This represents the preloaded 2nd Democratic Senate candidate
name (state of respondent location).
See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, state other than MS or WY</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>87.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 62.33
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
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- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.93

Based upon 60 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>D1s. Feeling thermometer: 2nd REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2979-2981(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9, -8, -6, -4, -2, -1</td>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

If Wyoming or Mississippi:
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s. This represents the preloaded 2nd Republican Senate candidate name (state of respondent location). See PostCand.1-PostCand.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td></td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, state other than MS or WY</td>
<td></td>
<td>2039 87.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 60.04
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 60.00
- Minimum: 15.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.24

Based upon 54 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085063T</th>
<th>D1t. Feeling thermometer: JOE BIDEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 2982-2984(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

D1t. Feeling thermometer: JOE BIDEN

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
JOE BIDEN

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 61.99
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.48

Based upon 1863 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085063U</th>
<th>D1u. Feeling thermometer: SARAH PALIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>2985-2987(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D1u. Feeling thermometer: SARAH PALIN

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
SARAH PALIN

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 48.33
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.03
Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085063V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>2988-2990(width: 3; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D1v. Feeling thermometer: HILLARY CLINTON**

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
HILLARY CLINTON

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate the following groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 64.79
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.08

Based upon 2085 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064A**  
**D2a. Feeling thermometer: HISPANICS**

Location: 2991-2993(width: 3; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2  
Question: D2a. Feeling thermometer: HISPANICS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate: HISPANICS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V085064B  
**D2b. Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2323 total cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28 1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75 3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>524 22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230 9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>366 15.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14 0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>379 16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>360 15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11 0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>36 1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>5 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 68.93
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.39

Based upon 2050 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Location:** 2994-2996(width: 3; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -6 , -2

**Question:** D2b. Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you
have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either
the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1)
or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2)
first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See
PostRandom.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 59.18
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.78

Based upon 1886 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 2997-2999(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -2 |
| Question: | D2c. Feeling thermometer: CATHOLICS |

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: CATHOLICS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2) first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See PostRandom.3.
### Value Label Unweighted Frequency %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>386</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know ('don’t know where to rate')</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don’t know who this is'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 69.41
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.46

Based upon 2046 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064D D2d. Feeling thermometer: FEMINISTS**

Location: 3000-3002(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

**Question:**

D2d. Feeling thermometer: FEMINISTS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
Feminists

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}
On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 58.02
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.63

Based upon 1972 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
V085064E  D2e. Feeling thermometer: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

Location: 3003-3005(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2

Question:
D2e. Feeling thermometer: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 52.01
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 60.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.30

Based upon 2060 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085064F</th>
<th>D2f. Feeling thermometer: JEWS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3006-3008(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -6 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>D2f. Feeling thermometer: JEWS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: JEWS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 64.77
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.12

Based upon 2000 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085064G</th>
<th>D2g. Feeling thermometer: LIBERALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3009-3011(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: D2g. Feeling thermometer: LIBERALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
LIBERALS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 57.17
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 21.30

Based upon 1955 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

D2h. Feeling thermometer: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE

Location: 3012-3014 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:

D2h. Feeling thermometer: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>18.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>25.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 77.07
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.61

Based upon 2065 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085064J  D2j. Feeling thermometer: LABOR UNIONS

| Location: | 3015-3017(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6, -2 |

D2j. Feeling thermometer: LABOR UNIONS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
LABOR UNIONS

(PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 59.02
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.83

Based upon 2021 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064K**

**D2k. Feeling thermometer: POOR PEOPLE**

- Location: 3018-3020(width: 3; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2
- Question:

D2k. Feeling thermometer: POOR PEOPLE

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
POOR PEOPLE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 74.55
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 19.74

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064M**  | **D2m. Feeling thermometer: THE MILITARY**
--- | ---
Location:  | 3021-3023(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  | -9, -8, -6, -2

**Question:**

D2m. Feeling thermometer: THE MILITARY

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: THE MILITARY

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V085064N**  
**D2n. Feeling thermometer: BIG BUSINESS**

**Location:** 3024-3026 (width: 3; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -6, -2  
**Question:**

D2n. Feeling thermometer: BIG BUSINESS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate:  
BIG BUSINESS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

---

### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 79.99  
- Median: 85.00  
- Mode: 100.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 21.02

Based upon 2077 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>432</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 55.27
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.58

Based upon 2063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064P**  
**D2p. Feeling thermometer: PEOPLE ON WELFARE**

| Location: | 3027-3029(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6, -2 |
- Study 25383 -

Question:

D2p. Feeling thermometer: PEOPLE ON WELFARE

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
PEOPLE ON WELFARE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 56.73
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.44

Based upon 2046 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085064Q  D2q. Feeling thermometer: CONSERVATIVES

Location: 3030-3032(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:
D2q. Feeling thermometer: CONSERVATIVES
Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: CONSERVATIVES

(PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 60.17
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.16

Based upon 1990 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3033-3035(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6, -2 |

### Question:

D2r. Feeling thermometer: WORKING CLASS PEOPLE

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
WORKING CLASS PEOPLE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 83.97
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.52
Based upon 2086 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064S**  
**D2s. Feeling thermometer: ENVIRONMENTALISTS**

| Location: | 3036-3038 (width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -6, -2 |

**Question:**

D2s. Feeling thermometer: ENVIRONMENTALISTS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate: ENVIRONMENTALISTS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.  
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>19.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (‘don't know where to rate’)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 66.47
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 70.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.08

Based upon 2011 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064T**  
**D2t. Feeling thermometer: THE U.S. SUPREME COURT**

| Location: | 3039-3041(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -2 |

**Question:**

D2t. Feeling thermometer: THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.  
How would you rate:  
THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

(PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?)

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.  
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 61.98
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.47

Based upon 2039 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085064U</th>
<th>D2u. Feeling thermometer: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS (HOMOSEXUALS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3042-3044(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -6, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

D2u. Feeling thermometer: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
GAY MEN AND LESBIANS (THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS)

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you
have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 49.74
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.93

Based upon 2041 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085064V  D2v. Feeling thermometer: ASIAN-AMERICANS

Location: 3045-3047 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2
Question:

D2v. Feeling thermometer: ASIAN-AMERICANS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
ASIAN-AMERICANS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>28.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 65.78
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.45

Based upon 2011 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085064W**

**D2w. Feeling thermometer: CONGRESS**

Location: 3048-3050(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:

D2w. Feeling thermometer: CONGRESS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
CONGRESS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know

Do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63 2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77 3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>149 6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>294 12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>441 19.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>384 16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>315 13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13 0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9 0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197 8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79 3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6 0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>32 1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>10 0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 54.83
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.36

Based upon 2054 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085064Y | D2y. Feeling thermometer: BLACKS

Location: 3051-3053(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:

D2y. Feeling thermometer: BLACKS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: BLACKS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2055 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085064Z  D2z. Feeling thermometer: SOUTHERNERS

Location: 3054-3056(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2
Question:

D2z. Feeling thermometer: SOUTHERNERS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: SOUTHERNERS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you
have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 69.98
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.10

Based upon 2003 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085065A**

**D3a. Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS**

Location: 3057-3059 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:

D3a. Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
### D3b. Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 44.34
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.08

Based upon 2048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2

Question:

D3b. Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE

Looking at page 3 of the booklet. How would you rate: RICH PEOPLE

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2) first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See PostRandom.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 58.28
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.40

Based upon 2043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085065C

| Location: | 3063-3065(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -2 |

#### D3c. Feeling thermometer: WHITES

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: WHITES

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b1) or the Republican Presidential candidate thermometer (D1b2) first after the thermometer for President (D1a). See PostRandom.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>21.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 73.32
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 19.49

Based upon 2052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085065D  D3d. Feeling thermometer: ISRAEL

Location: 3066-3068(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -6, -2
Question: D3d. Feeling thermometer: ISRAEL

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
ISRAEL

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
### Study 25383

D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>30.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 61.30
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.10

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085065E**  **D3e. Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS**

| Location: | 3069-3071(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -6 , -2 |
D3e. Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
MUSLIMS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know
do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you
have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0     | -     | 131                  | 5.6 %
| 5     | -     | 2                    | 0.1 %
| 10    | -     | 2                    | 0.1 %
| 12    | -     | 1                    | 0.0 %
| 15    | -     | 90                   | 3.9 %
| 20    | -     | 2                    | 0.1 %
| 25    | -     | 2                    | 0.1 %
| 30    | -     | 93                   | 4.0 %
| 35    | -     | 1                    | 0.0 %
| 40    | -     | 166                  | 7.1 %
| 45    | -     | 3                    | 0.1 %
| 50    | -     | 776                  | 33.4 %
| 55    | -     | 2                    | 0.1 %
| 60    | -     | 238                  | 10.2 %
| 65    | -     | 1                    | 0.0 %
| 70    | -     | 199                  | 8.6 %
| 75    | -     | 11                   | 0.5 %
| 80    | -     | 8                    | 0.3 %
| 85    | -     | 132                  | 5.7 %
| 90    | -     | 3                    | 0.1 %
| 100   | -     | 109                  | 4.7 %
| -9    | -9. Refused | 19          | 0.8 %
| -8    | -8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') | 84          | 3.6 %
| -6    | -6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is' | 27          | 1.2 %
| -2    | -2. No Post-election IW | 221         | 9.5 %
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 51.79
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.13

Based upon 1972 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>D3f. Feeling thermometer: HINDUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3072-3074(width: 3; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -6, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>D3f. Feeling thermometer: HINDUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate: HINDUS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>41.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 55.26
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.21

Based upon 1842 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085065G**  
**D3g. Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS**

Location: 3075-3077(width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -6 , -2

Question:

D3g. Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet.
How would you rate:
CHRISTIANS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8.
Respondents were administered political name thermometers.
D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>18.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>30.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 78.55
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.28

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085065H  D3h. Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS

| Location: | 3078-3080(width: 3; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
D3h. Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS

Looking at page 3 of the booklet. How would you rate: ATHEISTS

{PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?}

On-screen codes 777 and 888 have been recoded to -6 and -8. Respondents were administered political name thermometers D1d-D1v in random order. See PostRandom.4a-PostRandom.4s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know ('don't know where to rate')</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6. Thermometer: 'don't know who this is'</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 39.95
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.27

Based upon 1969 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085066**

**E1a. Know party with most members in House before election**

| Location: | 3081-3082(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**Question:**

Not looking at the booklet now.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE? DON'T PROBE DK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Republicans</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>30.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.90
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1490 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085067**

**E1b. Know party with most members in Senate before election**
E1b. Know party with most members in Senate before

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE? DON'T PROBE DK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>28.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Republicans</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>33.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.94
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1299 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E2. Approve/disapprove of House incumbent

In general, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Representative -House incumbent- has been handling [his/her] job?

There were 3 cases of preload failure for House incumbent name, with the result that this question was not administered. This represents either running or retiring House incumbent.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>46.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>24.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 1499 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>E2a. How much approve House incumbent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3087-3088 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>IF APPROVE HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in E2</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>43.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1078 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
E2b. How much disapprove House incumbent

IF DISAPPROVE HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:

Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1, -4, -8, -9</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.14
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 415 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E2x. SUMMARY: APPROVE/DISAPPROVE HOUSE INCUMBENT

SUMMARY: APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve strongly</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve not strongly</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disapprove not strongly</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove strongly</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in E2,E2a or E2b)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in E2,E2a or E2b)</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.31
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1493 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085069**

**E3. How good a job does House incumbent do**

Location: 3093-3094(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2
Question: E3. How good a job does House incumbent do

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative [House incumbent] does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does [he/she] do a VERY GOOD job, FAIRLY GOOD, FAIRLY POOR, or a VERY POOR job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

There were 3 cases of preload failure for House incumbent name, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very good</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly good</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fairly poor</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very poor</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.31
- Median: 2.00
E4a. What is current unemployment rate

As far as you know, what is the current unemployment rate in the United States, that is, of the adults in the United States who want to work, what percent of them would you guess are now unemployed and looking for a job?

{ENTER NUMBER}
{RANGE 0 - 100}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 32.02  
- Median: 24.00  
- Mode: 6.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 100.00  
- Standard Deviation: 26.98

Based upon 1925 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085071  
E4b. What is current average price of gas

Location: 3098-3102 (width: 5; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  

Question:

E4b. What is current average price of gas

What is your best guess of the average price of a gallon of regular unleaded gasoline across all of -STATE- today?

{IF R RESPONDS DK: What would be your best guess?}

ROUND UP TO NEAREST CENT.  
PRICE _ . _ _ DOLLARS AND CENTS  
RANGE 0.00 TO 99.99}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 50.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 2082 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085072  
E5a. Interest in politics and elections [VERSION OLD]

Location: 3103-3104(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1  
Question:

E5a. Interest in politics and elections [VERSION OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:  
Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested.

Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, ONLY NOW AND THEN, or HARDLY AT ALL?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Most of the time</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some of the time</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Only now and then</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Hardly at all</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.19  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 1041 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 3105-3106(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1 |

E5b1. How close attn to politics and elections [VERSION NEW]

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely closely</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very closely</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately closely</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly closely</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not closely at all</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.07
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.06

Based upon 1058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3107-3108(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**E5b2. How often attn to politics and elections [VERSION NEW]**

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:

How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics?

ALL THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, ONCE IN A WHILE, or NEVER?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

When the US federal government spends more money than it collects, the difference is called the federal budget deficit. The federal government currently has a deficit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All the time</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half the time</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once in a while</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 1058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085074**  
**E6a. Favor or oppose reducing federal deficit**

| Location: | 3109-3110(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government doing things now to reduce this budget deficit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>63.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.39

Based upon 2084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085074A**  
**E6a1. How strongly favor reducing deficit**

- 982 -
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E6a1. How strongly favor reducing deficit

IF FAVOR GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:

Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>52.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in C6a</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 1469 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E6a2. How strongly oppose reducing deficit

IF OPPOSE GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:

Do you oppose that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V085074C  

**E6a3. Lean to favor or oppose deficit reduction**

| Location: | 3115-3116(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

_IF NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:_

Do you **LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT**, **LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT**, or do you **NOT LEAN EITHER WAY**?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in C6a</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>75.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.85
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.22

Based upon 337 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085074X  

**E6a3x. SUMMARY: REDUCING THE BUDGET DEFICIT**

| Location: | 3117-3118(width: 2; decimal: 0) |

- Mean: 2.25
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 275 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in C6a</td>
<td>1826</td>
<td>78.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>551. Leantowardfavoring</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>295. Leantowardopposing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>253. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>221. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.9%</td>
<td>1764. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in C6a</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>75.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.85
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.22

Based upon 337 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
E6a3x. SUMMARY: REDUCING THE BUDGET DEFICIT
SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT DOING THINGS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT
Built from E6a-E6a3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>52.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in E6a,E6a1,E6a2 or E6a3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in E6a,E6a1,E6a2 or E6a3)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 2081 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085075 E6b. Favor or oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes

Location: 3119-3120(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: E6b. Favor or oppose cut budget deficit by raising

Would you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE lowering the budget deficit by raising taxes?
Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 1. Favor | 434 | 18.7 %
5 | 5. Oppose | 1428 | 61.5 %
7 | 7. Neither favor nor oppose | 224 | 9.6 %
-9 | -9. Refused | 3 | 0.1 %
-8 | -8. Don't know | 13 | 0.6 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %

- Mean: 4.38
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 2086 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3121-3122(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

E6b1. How much favor cut budget deficit by raising

**IF FAVOR:**
Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 1. Strongly | 203 | 8.7 %
5 | 5. Not strongly | 231 | 9.9 %
-9 | -9. Refused | 0 | 0.0 %
-8 | -8. Don't know | 0 | 0.0 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %
-1 | -1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in C6b | 1668 | 71.8 %

- Mean: 3.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 434 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### E6b2. How much oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes

**Location:** 3123-3124(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**  
How much oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes

**IF OPPOSE:**  
Do you oppose that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in C6b</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.55  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Based upon 1426 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### E6b3. Lean to favor/oppose cut budg deficit by raising taxes

**Location:** 3125-3126(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**  
Lean to favor/oppose cut budget deficit by raising taxes

**IF NEITHER:**  
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1.5,-8,-9 in C6b</td>
<td>1878</td>
<td>80.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.80
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.13

Based upon 224 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085075X

**E6b3x. SUMMARY: REDUCE DEFICIT BY RAISING TAXES**

| Location: | 3127-3128(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

E6b3x. SUMMARY: REDUCE DEFICIT BY RAISING TAXES

SUMMARY: REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT BY RAISING TAXES

Built from E6b-E6b3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>52.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9. Refused (in E6b,E6b1,E6b2 or E6b3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in E6b,E6b1,E6b2 or E6b3)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.45
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
Based upon 2084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>36.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>43.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2080 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

E6c1. How much favor cut deficit by less military spending

IF FAVOR:
Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
### V085076B E6c2. How much oppose cut deficit by less military spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in C6c</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>47.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.69
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 1007 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
## V085076C

**E6c3. Lean favor/oppose cut deficit by less military spendg**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3135-3136(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td><strong>E6c3. Lean favor/oppose cut deficit by less military</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF NEITHER:**

Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in C6c</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>80.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.87
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.05

Based upon 225 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

## V085076X

**E6c3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY REDUCING MILIT SPENDING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3137-3138(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td><strong>E6c3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY REDUCING MILIT SPENDING</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY: REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT BY REDUCING MILITARY SPENDING**

Built from E6c-E6c3.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>27.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in E6c,E6c1,E6c2 or E6c3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in E6c,E6c1,E6c2 or E6c3)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.26  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.66

Based upon 2078 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085077  
E6d. Favor or oppose cut deficit by cutting other programs

Location: 3139-3140(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

E6d. Favor or oppose cut deficit by cutting other

Would you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE lowering the budget deficit by spending less on other U.S. government activities besides the military?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>66.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.22  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00
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V085077A  E6d1. How much favor cut deficit by cutting other programs

Location: 3141-3142(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

E6d1. How much favor cut deficit by cutting other

IF FAVOR:
Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>54.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in C6d</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>24.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 1.73
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.54

Based upon 1544 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085077B  E6d2. How much oppose cut deficit by cutting other programs

Location: 3143-3144(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

E6d2. How much oppose cut deficit by cutting other

IF OPPOSE:
Do you oppose that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in C6d</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>77.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 298 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in C6d</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>81.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.48
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56
Based upon 219 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085077X

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085077X</th>
<th>E6d3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY CUTTING OTHER PROGRAMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3147-3148(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>E6d3x. SUMMARY: CUT DEFICIT BY CUTTING OTHER PROGRAMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY: REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT BY REDUCING SPENDING ON PROGRAMS OTHER THAN THE MILITARY**

Built from E6d-E6d3.

Now looking at page 4:14 of the booklet.

I am going to read a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one, please say how important you think it should be.

The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when the questions on U.S. foreign policy goals F1a-F1j were administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when these items were administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>54.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (in E6d,E6d1,E6d2 or E6d3)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (in E6d,E6d1,E6d2 or E6d3)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.26  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 2.02

Based upon 2061 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3149-3150(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F1a. U.S. policy goal: preventing nuclear weapons**

Looking at page 4; 14 of the booklet.

Should

**PREVENTING THE SPREAD OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS**

be a **VERY IMPORTANT** foreign policy goal, a **SOMETIME IMPORTANT** foreign policy goal, or **NOT AN IMPORTANT** foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>73.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.46
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
F1b. U.S. policy goal: defending human rights

Looking at page 4; 14 of the booklet.

Should PROMOTING AND DEFENDING HUMAN RIGHTS IN OTHER COUNTRIES be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMewhat IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See Post.Random.7a-PostRandom.7j. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>35.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.46
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.31

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

F1c. U.S. policy goal: strengthen United Nations

Location: 3153-3154(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question:

F1c. U.S. policy goal: strengthen United Nations

Looking at page 4;14 of the booklet.

Should STRENGTHENING THE UNITED NATIONS (AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS) be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMewhat IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>40.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.34
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085078D F1d. U.S. policy goal: combat world hunger

Location: 3155-3156(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

F1d. U.S. policy goal: combat world hunger
Looking at page 4;14 of the booklet.

Should COMBATTING WORLD HUNGER be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>1352</td>
<td>58.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>28.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.80
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085078E F1e. U.S. policy goal: protect American jobs

Location: 3157-3158(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: F1e. U.S. policy goal: protect American jobs
Looking at page 4;14 of the booklet.

Should PROTECTING THE JOBS OF AMERICAN WORKERS be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?
The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>82.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.65

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085078F**

**F1f. U.S. policy goal: bring democracy to world**

Location: 3159-3160(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

Looking at page 4;14 of the booklet.

Should HELPING TO BRING A DEMOCRATIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT TO OTHER NATIONS be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMewhat IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order.
reverse order. See Post.Random.1. The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**F1g. U.S. policy goal: control illegal immigration**

Location: 3161-3162 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

Looking at page 4; 14 of the booklet.

Should CONTROLLING AND REDUCING ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.
was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not important at all</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.29

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**F1h. U.S. policy goal: promote market economies abroad**

**Location:** 3163-3164(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:** F1h. U.S. policy goal: promote market economies abroad

Looking at page 4;14 of the booklet.

Should PROMOTING MARKET ECONOMIES ABROAD be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking at page 4,14 of the booklet.

Should COMBATTING INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM be a VERY IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, a SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT foreign policy goal, or NOT AN IMPORTANT foreign policy goal at all?

The order in which goals F1a-F1j were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.7a-PostRandom.7j. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. The Respondent Booklet page reference was page 4 when this question was administered with response options in forward order; the page reference was page 14 when this question was administered with response options in reverse order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### F2. Interest in issue of govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks

**Question:**
Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business.

Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, have interest in question</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>50.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, haven't had interest</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>39.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.76
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2087 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
F2a. Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks

IF HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS FOR BLACKS:
How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>30.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. This is not the Federal government's business</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>18.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in F2</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>40.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.05

Based upon 1162 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

F2a1. How much feel govt should ensure fair jobs for blacks

IF POSITION IS THAT GOVT SHOULD SEE TO FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS:
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that the government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs?
### V085079C

**F2a2. How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in F2a; 5,-8,-9 in F2</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.45
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 702 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

### F2a2. How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks

**Location:** 3173-3174(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:**

**IF POSITION IS THAT FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS:**
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that this is not the federal government's business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in F2a; 5,-8,-9 in F2</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 426 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### F3. Income gap today more or less than 20 years ago

**Variable:** V085080  
**Location:** 3175-3176(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2  
**Question:**

Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>69.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Smaller</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.74  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### F3a. How much larger is income gap today

**Variable:** V085080A  
**Location:** 3177-3178(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2 , -1  
**Question:**

IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS LARGER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:  
(Would you say the difference in incomes is)  
MUCH larger or SOMEWHAT larger?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>20.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in F3a</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>20.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Based upon 1616 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085080B  F3b. How much smaller is income gap today

Location: 3179-3180(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

F3b. How much smaller is income gap today

IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS SMALLER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
MUCH smaller or SOMEWHAT smaller?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in F3a</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>85.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.84
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 121 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085080X  F3x. SUMMARY: INCOME GAP COMPARED TO 20 YRS AGO

Location: 3181-3182(width: 2; decimal: 0)
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Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question:

F3x. SUMMARY: INCOME GAP COMPARED TO 20 YRS AGO

SUMMARY: CURRENT INCOME GAP COMPARED TO 20 YEARS AGO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Much larger</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>48.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat larger</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>20.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat smaller</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Much smaller</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in F3,F3a or F3b)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in F3,F3a or F3b)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.74
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 2054 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085081 F4. Favor/oppose limits on foreign imports

Location: 3183-3184(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -7, -2
Question:

F4. Favor/oppose limits on foreign imports

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports.

Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F5. What should immigration levels be

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be INCREASED A LOT, INCREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME as it is now, DECREASED A LITTLE, or DECREASED A LOT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increased a lot</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increased a little</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Left the same as it is now</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Decreased a little</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decreased a lot</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.44
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Based upon 2061 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Now I’d like to ask you about immigration in recent years.

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here-- EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, SOMEWHAT likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or

Please look at page 5 of the booklet.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extremely likely</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very likely</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Somewhat likely</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not at all likely</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 2082 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
G1a. Liberal-Conservative: self placement

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven’t you thought much about this?

.INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't thought much 'DO NOT PROBE'</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.21
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 1587 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G1b. If had to choose, liberal or conservative

IF MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE:
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Liberal</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Conservative</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Moderate (VOL)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,5-7,-9 in G1a</td>
<td>1091</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.77
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 921 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085085A | G2a. Liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand

| Location: 3193-3194(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

Question:

G2a. Liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
(Looking at page 5 of the booklet)
Where would you place -Democratic House candidate- on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for liberal-conservative placements G2a-G2b for the Democratic and Republican House candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.8.
There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, nonrecognition in thermometer; no Democratic House</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>25.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.63
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Based upon 1179 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085085B**

| Location: 3195-3196(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 | Question: G2b. Liberal-conservative: Republican House cand

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
(Looking at page 5 of the booklet)
Where would you place -Republican House candidate- on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for liberal-conservative placements G2a-G2b for the Democratic and Republican House candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.8. There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely liberal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Liberal</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly liberal</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Moderate; middle of the road</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly conservative</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Conservative</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Extremely conservative</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, nonrecognition in thermometer; no Republican House</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>32.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.70
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

Based upon 1018 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085086**

### G3a. Abortion: self-placement [OLD]

| Location: | 3197-3198(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

G3a. Abortion: self-placement [OLD]

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:**

Please look at page 6 of the booklet.

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years.

Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.83
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.17

Based upon 1037 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085087**

**G3b. How important is abortion issue [OLD]**

Location: 3199-3200(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: G3b. How important is abortion issue [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:

How important is this issue to you personally?
NOT AT ALL important, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not at all important</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Not too important</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat important</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V085088  G3c. Abortion: President placement [OLD]**

**Location:** 3201-3202(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

G3c. Abortion: President placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place GEORGE W. BUSH?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.09
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 888 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085089A**  
**G3d1. Abortion: Democratic Pres cand placement [OLD]**

| Location: | 3203-3204(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

| Question: |
| G3d1. Abortion: Democratic Pres cand placement [OLD] |

*IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:*
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place BARACK OBAMA (on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3d1-G3d2 for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.9.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.13
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 876 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085089B  G3d2. Abortion: Republican Pres cand placement [OLD]

Location: 3205-3206(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G3d2. Abortion: Republican Pres cand placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place JOHN MCCAIN
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3d1-G3d2 for the
Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized.
See PostRandom.9.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Value** | **Label** | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%**
--- | --- | --- | ---
-9 | -9. Refused | 6 | 0.3 %
-8 | -8. Don’t know | 171 | 7.4 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %
-1 | -1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW | 1059 | 45.6 %

- Mean: 2.10
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 866 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085090A

**G3e1. Abortion: Democratic House cand placement [OLD]**

**Location:** 3207-3208(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
**Question:**

G3e1. Abortion: Democratic House cand placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:  
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)  
Where would you place -Democratic House candidate-? (on abortion)?  
{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3e1-G3e2 for the Democratic and Republican House candidates was randomized. See Post.Random.10.

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

R selected for VERSION NEW

**Value** | **Label** | **Unweighted Frequency** | **%**
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 42 | 1.8 %
2 | 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 130 | 5.6 %
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, 6.1% 142
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice. 6.8% 157
Other {SPECIFY} {VOL} 0.1% 3
-9. Refused 0.4% 10
-8. Don’t know 10.8% 250
-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases) 0.1% 3
-2. No Post-election IW 9.5% 221
-1. INAP, nonrecognition in thermometer; no Democratic House 58.8% 1365

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 474 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085090B
G3e2. Abortion: Republican House cand placement [OLD]

Location: 3209-3210(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

G3e2. Abortion: Republican House cand placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place -Republican House candidate-?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3e1-G3e2 for the Democratic and Republican House candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.10.
There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either “OLD” or “NEW” version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
R selected for VERSION NEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, nonrecognition in thermometer; no Republican House</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.22
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 389 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085091A | G3f1. Abortion: Democratic party placement [OLD]

Location: 3211-3212(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G3f1. Abortion: Democratic party placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place the Democratic Party?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3f1-G3f2 for the Democratic and Republican House parties was randomized.
See PostRandom.11.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.10
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 890 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G3f2. Abortion: Republican party placement [OLD]

Location: 3213-3214 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

G3f2. Abortion: Republican party placement [OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Where would you place the Republican Party?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

The order for abortion placements G3f1-G3f2 for the Democratic and Republican House parties was randomized. See PostRandom.11. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
Next, I'd like to describe a series of circumstances in which a woman might want to have an abortion. For each one, please tell me whether you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose it being LEGAL for the woman to have an abortion in that circumstance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest,</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.07
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 884 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085092  G4a. Abortion: favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk [NEW]

Location: 3215-3216(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G4a. Abortion: favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO: [First,/Next] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die
The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.80
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.35

Based upon 1038 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085092A    G4a1. How much favor abortion for nonfatal health risk [NEW]

Location: 3217-3218(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G4a1. How much favor abortion for nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.68  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.74

Based upon 405 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085092B**  
**G4a2. How much oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk [NEW]**

| Location: | 3219-3220(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question:

G4a2. How much oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>71.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.55
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 448 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | numeric (ISO) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |
| Question: | G4a3. Lean favor/oppos abortion for nonfatal health risk [NEW] |

G4a3. Lean favor/oppos abortion for nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See Post.Random.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>82.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.98
- Median: 2.00
Based upon 185 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085092X**

**G4ax. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN NONFATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3223-3224(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

G4ax. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN NONFATAL HEALTH RISK

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN PREGNANCY HARMs HEALTH BUT MOTHER NOT LIKELY TO DIE

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.15
Based upon 1038 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3225-3226(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G4b. Abortion: favor/oppose when fatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

staying pregnant could cause the woman to die

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>32.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.31
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.22

Based upon 1044 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

G4b1. How much favor abortion for fatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FAVORS ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See Post-Random.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post-Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post-Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>24.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>57.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.32
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Based upon 764 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3229-3230(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

V085093B

G4b2. How much oppose abortion for fatal health risk [NEW]

Question:

G4b2. How much oppose abortion for fatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?
The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different,) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>83.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.70

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G4b3. Lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk [NEW]

Location: 3231-3232(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question: G4b3. Lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
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each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>85.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 122 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085093X**

**G4bx. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN FATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]**

| Location: | 3233-3234(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>24.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.79
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.70

Based upon 1041 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085094</th>
<th>G4c. Abortion: favor/oppose in incest cases [NW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3235-3236(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>G4c. Abortion: favor/oppose in incest cases [NW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
**IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:**
[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the pregnancy was caused by sex the woman chose to have with a blood relative

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.95  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.24

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085094A G4c1. How much favor abortion in incest cases [NEW]**

| Location: | 3237-3238(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |
| Question: | G4c1. How much favor abortion in incest cases [NEW] |

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:  
IF FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:  
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4c; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>75.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G4c2. How much oppose abortion in incest cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different,) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4c; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>68.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 1.49
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Based upon 357 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Based upon 520 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085094C

**G4c3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3241-3242(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>G4c3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

**IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?**

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4c; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.98
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085094X

**G4cx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST [NEW]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3243-3244(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>G4cx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a, G4a1, G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a, G4a1, G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.56
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.29

Based upon 1042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G4d. Abortion: favor/oppose in rape cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST
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Location: 3245-3246(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

G4d. Abortion: favor/oppose in rape cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
[First/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR
OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the pregnancy was caused by the woman being raped

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.27

Based upon 1049 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**G4d1. How much favor abortion in rape cases [NEW]**

Location: 3247-3248(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G4d1. How much favor abortion in rape cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7, -8, -9 in G4d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.28  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.55

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G4d2. How much oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]

Location: 3249-3250(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1  
Question: G4d2. How much oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:  
IF OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:  
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.  
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>79.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.47
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

Based upon 250 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085095C G4d3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]

- Location: 3251-3252(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
- Question:

G4d3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Study 25383**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4d; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>85.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.04  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 113 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085095X**

**G4dx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE [NEW]**

Location: 3253-3254 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1  
Question:

G4dx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:  
SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.  
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1041 -
G4e. Abortion: favor/oppose in birth defect cases [NEW]

Location: 3255-3256(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G4e. Abortion: favor/oppose in birth defect cases

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR
OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the fetus will be born with a serious birth defect

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g
were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.41

Based upon 1048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Based upon 1042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4e; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>68.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.72

Based upon 514 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

G4e2. How much oppose abortion in birth defect cases

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:

Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4e; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.46
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

Based upon 363 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085096C G4e3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect cases [NEW]

Location: 3261-3262(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G4e3. Lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect cases

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4e; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>83.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 164 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G4ex. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF BIRTH DEFECT [NEW]

Location: 3263-3264(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

G4ex. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
### - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.23

Based upon 1041 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085097  
G4f. Abortion: favor/oppose in financial hardship cases [NEW]

| Location: | 3265-3266(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

G4f. Abortion: favor/oppose in financial hardship cases

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

**IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:**

[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

having the child would be extremely difficult for the woman financially

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>28.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.21
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 1047 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085097A G4f1. How much favor abortion in finan hardship cases [NEW]

Location: 3267-3268(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question: G4f1. How much favor abortion in finan hardship cases

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4f; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>79.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.74

Based upon 267 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**V085097B**

**G4f2. How much oppose abortion in finan hardship cases [NEW]**

| Location: | 3269-3270(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

**G4f2. How much oppose abortion in finan hardship cases**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

**IF OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:**

Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

---

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different,) See Post.Random.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 659 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4f; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>62.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.60

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4f; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>85.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 120 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085097X  G4fx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP [NEW]

| Location: | 3273-3274(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question:

G4fx. SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
SUMMARY: ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.41
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.14

Based upon 1046 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085098  G4g. Abortion: favor/oppose when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]
G4g. Abortion: favor/oppose when child gender ‘wrong’

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
[First,/Next] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR
OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g
were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.84
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 1049 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

G4g1. Abortion: favor/oppose when child gender ‘wrong’ [NEW]
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FAVORS ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g
were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note
that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G4g; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>87.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 73 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085098B | G4g2. How much oppose abortn when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3279-3280(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G4g2. How much oppose abortn when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g
were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G4g; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.16
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Based upon 912 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085098C G4g3. Lean favor/opp abortn when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3281-3282(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

G4g3. Lean favor/opp abortn when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G4g; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2038</td>
<td>87.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.05
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 64 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085098X

G4gx. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' [NEW]

Location: 3283-3284(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G4gx. SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN CHILD GENDER 'WRONG'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
SUMMARY: ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS NOT THE DESIRED GENDER

The order in which the 7 abortion scenarios G4a-G4g were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.12a-g.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V085099 G5a. Dem PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk [NEW]

**Location:**
3285-3286(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:**
G5a. Dem PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
What about BARACK OBAMA?
Does Barack Obama FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>19.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>34.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G4a,G4a1,G4a2 or G4a3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 8.09
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.09

Based upon 1049 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.37

Based upon 772 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085099A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
<td>G5a1. Dem PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G5a1. Dem PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:  
IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:  
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.  
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G5a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>71.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.31
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 427 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085099B G5a2. Dem PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]**

| Location: | 3289-3290(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

G5a2. Dem PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
**IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:**
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G5a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>81.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.17
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.32

Based upon 219 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085099C  G5a3. Dem PC lean abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3291-3292(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

G5a3. Dem PC lean abortion if nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1, 5,-8,-9 in G5a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>86.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085099X  G5ax. SUMMARY: DEM PC ABORTION NONFATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]

Location: 3293-3294(width: 2; decimal: 0)
G5a. SUMMARY: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE POSTION ON ABORTION WHEN PREGNANCY HARMS HEALTH BUT MOTHER NOT LIKELY TO DIE

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G5a,G5a1,G5a2 or G5a3)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in G5a,G5a1,G5a2 or G5a3)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>47.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.20
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.88

Based upon 676 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085100  G5b. Dem PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3295-3296(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G5b. Dem PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Does Barack Obama FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>23.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.40
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 796 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085100A

G5b1. Dem PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3297-3298(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G5b1. Dem PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND FAVORS ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?
The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G5b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.35  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085100B**

**G5b2. Dem PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]**

Location: 3299-3300(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

G5b2. Dem PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?
commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G5b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.70
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 545 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3301-3302(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question:

G5b3. Dem PC lean abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
**Study 25383**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G5b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>87.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.71

Based upon 82 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085100X**

| Location: | 3303-3304(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**G5bx. SUMMARY: DEM PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' [NEW]**

**Question:**

**G5bx. SUMMARY: DEM PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG'**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**
**SUMMARY: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE POSTION ON ABORTION WHEN ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS NOT THE DESIRED GENDER**

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G5b,G5b1,G5b2 or G5b3)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (-8 in G5b,G5b1,G5b2 or G5b3)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.90
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.76

Based upon 727 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085101 G6a. Rep PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk [NEW]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 3305-3306(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

G6a. Rep PC abortion: fav/opp if nonfatal health risk

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

**IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:**

What about JOHN MCCAIN?

Does John McCain FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

staying pregnant would hurt the woman’s health but is very unlikely to cause her to die

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either “OLD” or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.54
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 763 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085101A G6a1. Rep PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]

| Location: | 3307-3308(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

G6a1. Rep PC favor abortion if nonfatal health risk

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:

IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 138 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G6a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

**V085101B  G6a2. Rep PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]**

Location: 3309-3310(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1  
Question: G6a2. Rep PC opp abortion if nonfatal health risk

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**  
**IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:**  
Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.  
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G6a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G6a3. Rep PC lean abortion if nonfatal health risk [NEW]

Location: 3311-3312(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2: Unknown Code</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1.5,-8,-9 in G6a; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>86.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 509 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
G6ax. SUMMARY: REP PC ABORTION NONFATAL HEALTH RISK [NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
SUMMARY: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE POSTION ON ABORTION WHEN WHEN PREGNANCY HARMS HEALTH BUT MOTHER NOT LIKELY TO DIE

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a little</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-8 in G6a,G6a1,G6a2 or G6a3)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (-8 in G6a,G6a1,G6a2 or G6a3)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for Version OLD</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.75
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.55

Based upon 97 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Based upon 678 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085102**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G6b. Rep PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3315-3316(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

G6b. Rep PC abortn: fav/opp when child gender 'wrong'

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:**

**IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:**

Does John McCain FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if:

the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.98
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 823 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085102A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G6b1. Rep PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3317-3318(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1069 -
Question:

G6b1. Rep PC favor abortion when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND FAVORS ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GEND:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in G6b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>88.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.44
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 39 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085102B  G6b2. Rep PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3319-3320(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G6b2. Rep PC oppose abortion when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GENDER: Do you oppose that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13.
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>24.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in G6b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>59.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.47
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 704 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085102C G6b3. Rep PC lean abortion when child gender 'wrong' [NEW]

Location: 3321-3322(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

G6b3. Rep PC lean abortion when child gender 'wrong'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:
IF REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN CHILD IS 'WRONG' GENDER:
Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for - 1071 -
the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2: Unknown Code</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in G6b; R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>2031</td>
<td>87.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 71 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085102X**

**G6bx. SUMMARY: REP PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG' [NEW]**

Location: 3323-3324(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1  
Question: G6bx. SUMMARY: REP PC ABORTION IF CHILD GENDER 'WRONG'

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:  
SUMMARY: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE POSTION ON ABORTION WHEN WHEN CHILD IS NOT THE DESIRED GENDER

The order for abortion placements G5a-G5b and G6a-G6b for the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates was randomized. See PostRandom.13. This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
H1. Should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing

(Not looking at the booklet)

Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S.

Do you think the federal government should DISCOURAGE companies from doing this, ENCOURAGE companies to do this, or STAY OUT of this matter?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Discourage companies</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Encourage companies</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Should stay out of this matter</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.30  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

H1a. How much should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Only a little</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in H1</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.71  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 1446 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085103X  H1x. SUMMARY: GOVT ENCOURAGE/DISCOURAGE OUTSOURCING

Location: 3329-3330(width: 2; decimal: 0)
H1. SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SHOULD ENCOURAGE/DISCOURAGE OUTSOURCING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Should encourage a great deal</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>48.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Should encourage only a little</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Should stay out of this matter</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>26.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Should discourage only a little</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Should discourage a great deal</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-9 in H1 or H1a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in H1 or H1a)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.87
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

H2. Favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds

A proposal has been made that would allow people to put a portion of their Social Security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds. Do you FAVOR this idea, OPPOSE it, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>30.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>30.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.39  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.47

Based upon 2083 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085104A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>H2a. How much favor Social Security in stocks and bonds</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong> 3333-3334(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable Type:</strong> numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range of Missing Values (M):</strong> -9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> IF FAVORS SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS: Do you favor it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in H2</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.21  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 669 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085104B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>H2b. How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong> 3335-3336(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable Type:</strong> numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range of Missing Values (M):</strong> -9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

H2b. How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds?

IF OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS: Do you oppose it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in H2</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 710 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085104C H2c. Lean favor/oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds

Location: 3337-3338(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9,-8,-2,-1

Question:

H2c. Lean favor/oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds?

IF NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS: Do you lean toward FAVORING it, lean toward OPPOSING it, or don't you lean either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,-8,-9 in H2</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 5.75
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.26

Based upon 700 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085104X**

**H2x. SUMMARY: INVEST SOCIAL SECURITY IN STOCKS AND BONDS**

| Location: | 3339-3340(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |
| Question: |

**H2x. SUMMARY: INVEST SOCIAL SECURITY IN STOCKS AND**

**SUMMARY: INVEST SOCIAL SECURITY MONEY IN STOCKS AND BONDS**

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion.

You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor strongly</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>20.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor not strongly</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lean toward favoring</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Do not lean either way</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>21.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Lean toward opposing</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose not strongly</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose strongly</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-9 in H2,H2a,H2b or H2c)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know (-8 in H2,H2a,H2b or H2c)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.08
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.30
Based upon 2079 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### H3a. Govt bigger because too involved OR bigger problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>55.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.62
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 2075 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### H3b. Need strong govt for complex problems OR free market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>55.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR
TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.
{IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Need a strong govt to handle complex economic problems</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Free market can handle without govt involvement</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.26
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Based upon 2057 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085107  
H3c. Less govt better OR more that govt should be doing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>3345-3346(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

H3c. Less govt better OR more that govt should be

ONE, the less government, the better; OR
TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

{IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. The less government the better</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. More things government should be doing</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47
Based upon 2060 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3347-3348(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**H4a. Ever discuss politics with family or friends [OLD]**

**Question:**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:**
Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.73

Based upon 1043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3349-3350(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**H4a1. Days in past week discussed politics [OLD]**

**Question:**

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:**
IF EVER DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS:
How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics with family or friends?
This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Zero days</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-,9 in H4a; R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>56.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.41
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.36

Based upon 782 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**H4b. Days in typical week discusses politics [NEW]**

**Location:** 3351-3352(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:**

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:

During a TYPICAL WEEK, how many days do you discuss politics with your family or friends?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to
each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Zero days</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. One day</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Two days</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Three days</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Four days</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Five days</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Six days</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Seven days</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.29
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.11

Based upon 1058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085110 H5. White/black cands better suited as elected officials

Location: 3353-3354(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

H5. White/black cands better suited as elected

Do you think that most white candidates who run for political office are better suited to be an elected official than are most black candidates, that most black candidates are better suited to be an elected official than are most white candidates, or do you think white and black candidates are equally suited to be an elected official?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Most white candidates better suited</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Most black candidates better suited</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White and black candidates equally suited</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.65
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Based upon 2064 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085110A  H5a. How much white/black cans better suited to be elected

Location: 3355-3356(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question: H5a. How much white/black cans better suited to be

IF WHITE CANDIDATES BETTER SUITED TO BE ELECTED OFFICIALS/ IF BLACK CANDIDATES BETTER SUITED TO BE ELECTED OFFICIALS: A GREAT DEAL better suited, MODERATELY better suited, or SLIGHTLY better suited?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal better suited</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately better suited</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly better suited</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8,-9 in H5</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>84.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.80
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Summary: White/black candidates better suited officials

Based upon 131 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 3357-3358(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: Summary: White/black candidates better suited

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White candidates a great deal better suited</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. White candidates moderately better suited</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. White candidates slightly better suited</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. White and black candidates equally suited</td>
<td>1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Black candidates slightly better suited</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Black candidates moderately better suited</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Black candidates a great deal better suited</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused (-9 in H5 or H5a)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know (-8 in H5 or H5a)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.90
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.55

Based upon 2064 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 3359-3360(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H6. White/black cand intell better for elected

Do you think that most white candidates who run for political office are better suited in terms of their intelligence to serve as an elected official than are most black candidates, that most black candidates are better suited in terms of their intelligence to serve as an elected official than are most white candidates, or do you think white and black candidates are equally suited in terms of their intelligence to serve as an elected official?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Most white candidates better suited</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Most black candidates better suited</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. White and black candidates equally suited</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.66
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.36

Based upon 2065 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085111A  H6a. How much white/black intell better for elected offic

Location: 3361-3362(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

H6a. How much white/black intell better for elected

IF WHITE CANDIDATES BETTER SUITED IN INTELLIGENCE TO BE ELECTED OFFICIALS/
IF BLACK CANDIDATES BETTER SUITED IN INTELLIGENCE TO BE ELECTED OFFICIALS:
A GREAT DEAL better suited, MODERATELY better suited, or SLIGHTLY better suited?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or
- Study 25383 -

reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal better suited</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately better suited</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly better suited</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, -8, -9 in H6</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 134 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085111X  
H6x. SUMMARY: WHITE/BLACK CAND INTELL BETTER OFFICIALS

Location: 3363-3364(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

H6x. SUMMARY: WHITE/BLACK CAND INTELL BETTER

SUMMARY: WHITE OR BLACK CANDIDATES BETTER SUITED IN INTELLIGENCE TO SERVE AS ELECTED OFFICIALS

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

Now I have some questions about how men and women who are Democrats and Republicans are likely to handle issues in the U.S. Congress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. White candidates a great deal better suited</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study 25383

### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
2 | 2. White candidates moderately better suited | 48 | 2.1%
3 | 3. White candidates slightly better suited | 19 | 0.8%
4 | 4. White and black candidates equally suited | 1931 | 83.1%
5 | 5. Black candidates slightly better suited | 5 | 0.2%
6 | 6. Black candidates moderately better suited | 5 | 0.2%
7 | 7. Black candidates a great deal better suited | 14 | 0.6%
-9 | -9. Refused (-9 in H6 or H6a) | 12 | 0.5%
-8 | -8. Don't know (-8 in H6 or H6a) | 25 | 1.1%
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5%

- Mean: 3.91  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.60

Based upon 2065 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085112A  
**H7a1. Better in foreign affairs: Dem man or woman in House**

**Location:** 3365-3366(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2  

**Question:**  
Who would do a better job in the U.S. Congress  
HANDLING FOREIGN AFFAIRS - a Democrat who is a man, a Democrat who is a woman, or would they do an equally good or bad job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
1 | 1. A Democrat who is a man would do better job | 309 | 13.3% |
5 | 5. A Democrat who is a woman would do better job | 94 | 4.0% |
7 | 7. They would do an equally good job | 1656 | 71.3% |
-9 | -9. Refused | 9 | 0.4% |
-8 | -8. Don't know | 34 | 1.5% |
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5% |

- Mean: 6.01  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00

- 1088 -
H7a2. Better handle education: Dem man or woman in House

Who would do a better job in the U.S. Congress:
HANDLING EDUCATION - a Democrat who is a man, a Democrat who is a woman, or would they do an equally good or bad job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A Democrat who is a man would do better job</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A Democrat who is a woman would do better job</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. They would do an equally good job</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>69.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H7b1. Better in foreign affairs: Repub man or woman in House

Who would do a better job in the U.S. Congress:
HANDLING FOREIGN AFFAIRS - a Republican who is a man, a Republican who is a woman, or would they do an equally good or bad job?

Based upon 2072 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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a Republican who is a woman, or would they do an equally good or bad job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A Republican who is a man would do better job</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A Republican who is a woman would do better job</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. They would do an equally good job</td>
<td>1668</td>
<td>71.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.99  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.19

Based upon 2060 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**H7b2. Better handle education: Repub man or woman in House**

Location: 3371-3372(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question:

Who would do a better job in the U.S. Congress HANDLING EDUCATION - a Republican who is a man, a Republican who is a woman, or would they do an equally good or bad job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A Republican who is a man would do better job</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A Republican who is a woman would do better job</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. They would do an equally good job</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>72.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.53  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Based upon 2070 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085114</th>
<th>H8. How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3373-3374(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

H8. How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics

Would you say that blacks have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Too much influence</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Just about the right amount of influence</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>38.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Too little influence</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>38.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.75
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 1963 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085115</th>
<th>H9. How often has felt sympathy for blacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3375-3376(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

H9. How often has felt sympathy for blacks

How often have you felt sympathy for Blacks? VERY often, FAIRLY often, NOT TOO often, or NEVER
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very often</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fairly often</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not too often</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 2061 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085116**

**H10. How often has felt admiration for blacks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3377-3378(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

How often have you felt admiration for Blacks?
VERY often, FAIRLY often, NOT TOO often, or NEVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very often</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fairly often</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not too often</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.32
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89
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Based upon 2063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### H10. Hope the U.S. has a woman present in R's lifetime

**Location:** 3379-3380(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

H10. Hope the U.S. has a woman present in R's lifetime

Do you personally hope that the United States has a woman President in your lifetime, do you hope the United States does not have a woman President in your lifetime, or do you not hope either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hope the U.S. has a woman President</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Hope the U.S. does not have a woman President</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not hope either way</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.92
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### J1. Important differences in what major parties stand for

**Location:** 3381-3382(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

J1. Important differences in what major parties stand

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, differences</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, no differences</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.94
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2053 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085119**  
**J2. Is one of the parties more conservative than the other**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3383-3384(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M):  
-9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

Would you say that one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, differences</td>
<td>1710</td>
<td>73.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, no differences</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.58
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 1998 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085119A**  
**J2a. Which is the party that is more conservative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3385-3386(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M):  
-9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |
Question:

J2a. Which is the party that is more conservative

IF R SAYS THAT ONE OF THE MAJOR PARTIES IS MORE CONSERVATIVE:
Which party is more conservative?

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.

{INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democrats</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Republicans</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in J2</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.95
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 1689 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085120  J3a. Office recognition: Speaker of the House (PELOSI)

Location: 3387-3388 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3 , -2
Question:

J3a. Office recognition: Speaker of the House (PELOSI)

The first name is NANCY PELOSI
What job or political office does she NOW hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"}
DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085120A  J3a1. Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House

Location: 3389-3390(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:
J3a1. Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House

{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS PROBE USED?}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>55.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>34.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.54
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085121  J3b. Office recognition: Vice-President (CHENEY)

Location: 3391-3392(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3, -2

Question:
J3b. Office recognition: Vice-President (CHENEY)
**Dick Cheney**

What job or political office does he NOW hold?

{**PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"**}

DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085121A  J3b1. Office recognition probe: Vice-President**

Location: 3393-3394(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

{**INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS PROBE USED?**}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.52
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085122  J3c. Office recognition: Prime Minister of England (Brown)**
### J3c. Office recognition: Prime Minister of England

**GORDON BROWN**
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

(PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"

DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION)

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085122A  
**J3c1. Office recognition probe: Prime Minister of England**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3399-3400(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -3 , -2 |

**Question:**

J3d. Office recognition: US Supreme Ct Chf Justice (ROBERTS)

JOHN ROBERTS
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"

DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3401-3402(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**


{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS PROBE USED?}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>58.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>31.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 2.40
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085124</th>
<th>J4a. Has R done community work in past 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3403-3404(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:
J4a. Has R done community work in past 12 months

Some other questions now.
During the PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.89
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085125</th>
<th>J4b. Has R contacted official to express view in past 12 mos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3405-3406(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:
J4b. Has R contacted official to express view in past

During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official
to express your views on a public issue?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.35
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**J4c. Did R attend meeting on school/commun issue past 12 mos**

Location: 3407-3408(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to.

There are:
- labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.11
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.66

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085127

**J5a. Number of organizations in which R is a member**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3409-3410(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.86
• Median: 0.00  
• Mode: 0.00  
• Minimum: 0.00  
• Maximum: 50.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.76

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085128  
J6. Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months  
Location: 3411-3412(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: J6. Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work.

What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.52  
• Median: 5.00  
• Mode: 5.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 5.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085129  
J7. Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12 months  
Location: 3413-3414(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: J7. Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12

Many people are finding it more difficult to make
contribution to church or charity as much as they used to.

How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**J8. Has R been an active member at place of worship**

Aside from attending services, in the PAST SIX MONTHS have you been an active member at your place of worship -- I mean, have you done things like serve on committees, give time for special projects, or help organize meetings?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>67.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
J8a. Has R planned or chaired meeting at place of worship

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R HAS BEEN AN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:
As part of these activities, have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last six months)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in J8</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>67.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistics:**
- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

J8b. Has R given speech/presentation at place of worship

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R HAS BEEN AN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:
(As part of these activities,) have you given a presentation or speech (in the last six months)?
IF PRELOAD WORK STATUS IS WORKING NOW/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:
Here are a couple of things people sometimes do as part of their job. After I read each, please tell me whether or not you have done this, DURING THE LAST SIX MONTHS, as part of your job.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in J8</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>67.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.48
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.94

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085131 J9a. Working status**

Location: 3421-3422(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question: J9a. Working status

WORKING STATUS (PRELOAD)

This is the values set prior to administration.
For the Post-election interview, R's employment status was reported in the Pre-election interview was preloaded. Preload as working now includes any number of hours and respondents who were temporarily laid off in the Pre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. PRE-ELECTION: NOT WORKING NOW</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. PRE-ELECTION: WORKING NOW</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>57.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 0.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085131A</th>
<th>J9a. WORKING Rs: Has R given speech/presentation at work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3423-3424(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>J9a. WORKING Rs: Has R given speech/presentation at</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF PRELOAD STATUS IS WORKING NOW/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:
Have you given a presentation or speech (in the last 6 months?)

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>37.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in J9</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.61
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 1342 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085131B</th>
<th>J9b. WORKING Rs: Has R planned or chaired meeting at work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3425-3426(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

J9b. WORKING Rs: Has R planned or chaired meeting at

IF PRELOAD STATUS IS WORKING NOW/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:
Have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last 6 months?)

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in J9</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.88

Based upon 1342 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085132 J9a. Black respondent

Location: 3427-3428(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2

Question:

J9a. Black respondent

BLACK RESPONDENT (PRELOAD)

This is the value set prior to administration. 2 cases of preload failure were for respondents identified as black here. Each digit in the 2-digit representation of codes represents the presence (1) or absence (0) of Black identification at one of two possible sources from the Pre-election wave. Cases were preloaded as Black for the Post-election wave if either of the following from the Pre-election wave indicated identification as Black:
Household Listing (roster) answer Yes Black (for R)
Code 10 (Black) for any Pre-election race group mention
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(Y24a-Y24e)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>00. Black not indicated in Household Listing or Y24a-Y24e codes</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>67.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Black indicated in Y24a-Y24e codes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Black indicated in household listing</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Black indicated in both household listing and Y24a-Y24e codes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>21.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.74
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 11.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.74

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085133  K1a. BLACKS Rs: life be affected by what happens to blacks

Location: 3429-3430(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

K1a. BLACKS Rs: life be affected by what happens to

IF PRELOAD BLACK:
Do you think that what happens to BLACK PEOPLE in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Depends {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not preloaded as Black</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>67.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 2.43
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 525 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3431-3432</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

**K1a1. BLACK Rs: amt life affected by what happens to blacks**

**IF PRELOAD BLACK:**

**IF WHAT HAPPENS TO BLACK PEOPLE WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:**

**How much will it affect you? A LOT, SOME, or NOT VERY MUCH AT ALL?**

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered.

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not very much at all</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-4,-8,-9 in K1a; not preloaded as Black</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>75.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.18
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 341 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
K1b. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)

HISPANIC RESPONDENT (PRELOAD)

Built from B2_.
This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload. No case of preload failure was for a respondent identified as Hispanic here.
Cases were preloaded as Hispanic for the Post-election wave if Hispanic was indicated in the Household Listing (roster), in Pre-election Y24a-Y24e race group codes or in Pre-election ethnicity items Y27 and Y28a. See B2_ summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>67.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>23.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085135 K1b. HISPANIC Rs: life affected by what happens to Hispanics

Location: 3435-3436(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
Question:

K1b. HISPANIC Rs: life affected by what happens to

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

Do you think that what happens to HISPANIC-AMERICANS in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
There were 3 cases of preload failure. No cases with preload failure were identified as Hispanic in K1b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Depends {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not preloaded Hispanic</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.85  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.11

Based upon 531 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085135A K1b1. HISPANIC Rs: amt life affect by what happens to Hisps

Location: 3437-3438(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1  
Question: K1b1. HISPANIC Rs: amt life affect by what happens to

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:  
IF WHAT HAPPENS TO HISPANICS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:  
How much will it affect you? A LOT, SOME, or NOT VERY MUCH AT ALL?

There were 3 cases of preload failure, with the result that this question was not administered. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not very much at all</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-4,-8,-9 in K1b; not preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>77.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Based upon 296 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085136**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K2a. Do women seek equality or special favors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3439-3440(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: K2a. Do women seek equality or special favors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please turn to page 7 of the booklet.

I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

“When women demand equality these days, they are actually seeking ‘special favors’.

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMewhat, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>19.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K2b. Do women miss out on jobs because of discrimination

(Still looking at page 7 of the booklet)

'Women often miss out on good jobs because of discrimination'.

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NORDISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>24.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

K2c. Do women's harassment complaints cause more trouble

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3443-3444(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
K2c. Do women’s harassment complaints cause more

(Still looking at page 7 of the booklet)

‘Women who complain about harassment cause more problems than they solve’.

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NORDISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Turning to page 7 of the booklet once more.  
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general.  
After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>18.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.37
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2094 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

L1a. Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should adjust

Location: 3445-3446(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: L1a. Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should
"The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes."

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.86  
• Median: 2.00  
• Mode: 2.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 5.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085140  L1b. Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down society

Location: 3447-3448(width: 2; decimal: 0) 
Variable Type: numeric (ISO) 
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2 
Question: L1b. Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

"The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society."

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.37
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 2091 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085141 L1c. Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral stds

| Location: | 3449-3450(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

Question:

L1c. Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMewhat, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMewhat, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>23.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>33.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>14.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 2090 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085142**  
**L1d. Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family ties**

Location: 3451-3452 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question:

L1d. Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family ties  
(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.'  
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Now I'm going to read several more statements.

After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>38.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.99  
- Median: 2.00
L2a. Agree/disagree: blacks shd work way up w/o special fav

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>31.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2077 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085144 L2b. Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for blacks

Location: 3455-3456(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
L2b. Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for

(looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.97
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.37

Based upon 2088 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

L2c. Agree/disagree: blacks have gotten less than deserve

(looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.25
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085146  
L2d. Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get ahead

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3459-3460(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

L2d. Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMewhat, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMewhat, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

(Not using the booklet)

People have different ideas about the government in Washington.

These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.52  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2079 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085147A**  
**M1a1. How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right [OLD]**

Location: 3461-3462(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: M1a1. How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:**

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or ONLY SOME OF THE TIME?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.  
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Just about always</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Only some of the time</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>30.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Never {VOL}</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION NEW</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.67
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.61

Based upon 1039 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085147B M1a2. How oft trust govt in Wash to make fair decision [NEW]

Location: 3463-3464(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

M1a2. How oft trust govt in Wash to make fair decision

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW
How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government in Washington to make decisions in a fair way?
ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, ONCE IN A WHILE, or NEVER?

This question is among a subset of Post-election questions commonly assigned for either "OLD" or "NEW" version (note that sometimes the exact number of questions corresponding to each version may be different.) See Post.Random.2.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION OLD</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.08  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 1051 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085148 M1b. Govt run by a few big interests or for benefit of all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: 3465-3466(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

Would you say the government is pretty much RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run for the BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Gov't run by a few big interests</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>60.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Gov't run for the benefit of all</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>27.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.24  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 2043 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085149 M1c. Does government waste much tax money
M1c. Does government waste much tax money

Do you think that people in government WASTE A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, WASTE SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Waste a lot</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>64.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Waste some</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>23.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Don't waste very much</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 2079 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

M1d. How many in government are crooked

Do you think that QUITE A FEW of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are crooked?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Quite a few are crooked</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very many are crooked</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Hardly any are crooked</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 2063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085151A  M2a1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION C]

Location: 3471-3472(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

M2a1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:
Please look at page 7 of the booklet.
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life.
I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?

Please look at page 5 of the booklet.
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life.
I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy
- Study 25383 -

questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW.

See PreRandom.14.
Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the
Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4
and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>20.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>45.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.33
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 1039 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

M2a2. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION C]

Location: 3473-3474(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:
(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)
'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.'
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

(Looking at page 5 in the booklet)
'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.'
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.

Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>45.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.19
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.96

Based upon 1038 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085151C**  
**M2a3. Publ officials dont care what people think [VERSION C]**

**Location:** 3475-3476(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question: M2a3. Publ officials dont care what people think

**IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:**

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

(Looking at page 5 in the booklet)

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.

Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Based upon 1040 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

M2a4. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION C]

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION C:

Looking at page 7 in the booklet
'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Looking at page 5 in the booklet
'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard
efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.

Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree somewhat</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree somewhat</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>12.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION D</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>45.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -

Based upon 1040 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085152A M2b1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand [VERSION D]**

| Location: | 3479-3480(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

M2b1. Politics/govt too complicatd to understand

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D: How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? ALL THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER?

How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? ALL THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.
Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All the time</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half the time</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Some of the time</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.99
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 1053 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085152B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3481-3482(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**M2b2. Good understanding of political issues [VERSION D]**

Question:

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:
How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.
Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4
and M2b1-M2b4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not well at all</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>45.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.06
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 3.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.93

Based upon 1052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085152C**  
M2b3. Publ officials don't care what peopl think [VERSION D]

Location: 3483-3484(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

M2b3. Publ officials don't care what peopl think

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:
How much do public officials care what people like you think?
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

How much do public officials care what people like you think?
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW. See PreRandom.14.
Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>15.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION C</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>45.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.44  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 1048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085152D  
M2b4. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION D]  

Location: 3485-3486(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1  
Question:

M2b4. Have no say about what govt does [VERSION D]  

IF R ASSIGNED TO EFFICACY QUESTIONS VERSION D:  
How much can people like you affect what the government does?  
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

How much can people like you affect what the government does?  
A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to the standard efficacy question E9a-E9d (VERSION C) or to new efficacy questions E10a-E10d (VERSION D) in the Pre-election IW.  
See PreRandom.14.  
Respondents were selected for the same VERSION as in the Pre for repeat administration in the Post in M2a1-M2a4 and M2b1-M2b4.

I'd like to read you a statement about public life.
M3a1. Public officials don't care [VERSION OLD]

Location: 3487-3488(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -8, -2, -1
Question:

M3a1. Public officials don't care [VERSION OLD]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION OLD:
(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'

Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?

VERSION OLD represents exact standard wording. VERSION NEW wording differs only in the addition of the word "about" (..don't care much about..).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1134 -
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8: Unknown Code</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2: Unknown Code</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1: Unknown Code</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.54
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 1040 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3489-3490(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

M3a2. Public officials don't care [VERSION NEW]

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION NEW:

(Looking at page ^BKNUM_PO[7] in the booklet)

'Public officials don't care much about what people like me think.'

Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?

VERSION NEW wording differs from the standard wording for this question only in the addition of the word “about” (. . . don't care much about . . .)
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9: Unknown Code</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8: Unknown Code</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2: Unknown Code</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1: Unknown Code</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 1053 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085154  M3b. Elections make govt pay ttention [VERSION NEW]

**Location:**
3491-3492(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -8, -2

**Question:**
M3b. Elections make govt pay ttention [VERSION NEW]

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- a GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH?

Now for some other questions.

Please tell me whether you AGREE or DISAGREE with the next few statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A good deal</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not much</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9: Unknown Code</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8: Unknown Code</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2: Unknown Code</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.28
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
**M4a. Working mother can bond as well as nonworking mother**

A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her children as a mother who does not work.

*(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement)?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>60.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.14
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

**M4b. Better if man achieves and woman takes care of home**

It is much better for everyone involved if the man is the achiever outside the home and the woman takes care of
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of the home and family."

(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement)?

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.75

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**M4a. For or against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks**

What about your opinion -- are you FOR or AGAINST preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. For preferential hiring and promotion of blacks</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M4a1. Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of blacks

Location: 3499-3500(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: M4a1. Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of

IF R IS FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7-8,-9 in M4a</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>70.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.25
Median: 1.00
Mode: 1.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 472 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

M4a2. Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion blacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 4.19
Median: 5.00
Mode: 5.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 7.00
Standard Deviation: 1.82

Based upon 2037 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
M4a2. Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion

IF R IS AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others.

I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not strongly</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7-8,-9 in M4a</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 1440 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085158  N1a. Child trait more important: independence or respect

N1a. Child trait more important: independence or

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
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INDEPENDENCE or RESPECT FOR ELDERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Independence</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both (VOL)</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Respect for elders</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither (VOL)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.21
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085159

N1b. Child trait more important: curiosity or good manners

Location: 3505-3506(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question: N1b. Child trait more important: curiosity or good

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have: CURiosity or GOOD MANNERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Curiosity</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both (VOL)</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Good manners</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither (VOL)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.02
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
N1c. Child trait more important: obedience or self-reliance

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
OBEDIENCE or SELF-RELIANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Obedience</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>51.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both (VOL)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Self-reliance</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither (VOL)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BEING CONSIDERATE or WELL BEHAVED

Please look at page 7 of the booklet. I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Being considerate</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both (VOL)</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Well behaved</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither (VOL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085162  N2a. Society should make sure everyone has equal opportuniy

Location: 3511-3512(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question: N2a. Society should make sure everyone has equal

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'Ours society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.48  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085163  
**N2b. We have gone too far pushing equal rights**

Location: 3513-3514(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: N2b. We have gone too far pushing equal rights

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMewhat, NEITHER AGRee NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMewhat, or DISAgREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.18  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 5.00
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- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

Based upon 2094 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085164**  
N2c. It's a big problem that we dont give equal chance to all

| Location: | 3515-3516(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

Question:

N2c. It's a big problem that we dont give equal chance

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMewhat, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>25.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>26.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>16.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.49  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2094 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085165**  
N2d. We'd be better off if worried less about equality

| Location: | 3517-3518(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

Question:
N2d. We'd be better off if worried less about equality

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>18.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.98
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 2.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.37

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

N2e. Not a big problem is some have more chance in life

V085166

Location: 3519-3520(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question:

N2e. Not a big problem is some have more chance in

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
• Mean: 3.38
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2088 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085167: N2f. If people were treated more fairly would be fewer probs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3521-3522(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

N2f. If people were treated more fairly would be fewer

(Looking at page 7 in the booklet)

'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'

(Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Agree strongly</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>33.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Agree Somewhat</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>29.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Disagree Somewhat</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disagree strongly</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.13  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**P1a. Does R have opinions about many, some or few things**

**Location:** 3523-3524(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

What about you? Would you say you have opinions about ALMOST EVERYTHING, about MANY THINGS, about SOME THINGS, or about VERY FEW THINGS?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Almost everything</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>18.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Many things</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>29.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Some things</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>34.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very few things</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.34
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- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085169  
P1b. Does R have fewer or more opinions than average person

| Location: | 3525-3526(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

P1b. Does R have fewer or more opinions than average

Compared to the average person do you have FEWER opinions about whether things are good or bad, ABOUT THE SAME number of opinions, or MORE opinions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Fewer opinions</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same number of opinions</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. More opinions</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.26
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Based upon 2085 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085169A  
P1b1. R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than average

| Location: | 3527-3528(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

P1b1. R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than

IF R HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON: Would you say that you have A LOT fewer opinions or
just SOMEWHAT fewer opinions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in P1b</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.30
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 290 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085169B  P1b2. R have a lot or somewhat more opinions than average

Location: 3529-3530(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question: IF R HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON: Would you say that you have A LOT more opinions or just SOMEWHAT more opinions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,3,-8,-9 in P1b</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97
Based upon 557 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085169X**  
P1bx. SUMMARY: R MORE OR FEWER OPINIONS THAN AVERAGE PERSON

Location: 3531-3532(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question:

P1bx. SUMMARY: R MORE OR FEWER OPINIONS THAN AVERAGE PERSON

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot fewer opinions</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat fewer opinions</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About the same number of opinions</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>53.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Somewhat more opinions</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A lot more opinions</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.21
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 2085 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085170**  
P2a. R like responsibility for handling a lot of thinking

Location: 3533-3534(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question:

P2a. R like responsibility for handling a lot
What about you? Do you LIKE having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you DISLIKE it, or do you NEITHER LIKE IT NOR DISLIKE IT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Like</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Dislike</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither like nor dislike</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.72
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.79

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P2a1. How much R likes responsibility for thinking

Location: 3535-3536(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

IF R LIKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR SITUATIONS THAT REQUIRE THINKING:
Do you like it A LOT or just SOMEWHAT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in P2a</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 1046 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3537-3538(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2a2. How much R dislikes responsibility for thinking

IF R DISLIKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR SITUATIONS THAT REQUIRE THINKGIN:
Do you dislike it A LOT or just SOMEWHAT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Somewhat</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in P2a</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td>77.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.76
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 300 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3539-3540(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2ax. SUMMARY: LIKES OR DISLIKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR THINKING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Like it a lot</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Like it somewhat</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>17.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Neither like nor dislike</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>32.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Dislike it somewhat</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Dislike it a lot</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.39  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085171 P3. Does R prefer simple problems or complex problems

| Location: | 3541-3542(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

Question:

P3. Does R prefer simple problems or complex problems

Some people prefer to solve SIMPLE problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more COMPLEX problems.

Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: SIMPLE or COMPLEX?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Simple</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Complex</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.76  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00
P4. How much can people change the kind of person they are?

How much do you think people can change the kind of person they are?
COMPLETELY, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Completely</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>28.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

P5. How likely is an earthly catastrophe in next 100 years?

How likely is an earthly catastrophe in next 100 years?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Completely</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. A lot</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>28.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A moderate amount</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>29.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
How likely do you think it is that a majority of all people on Earth will die all at once sometime during the next 100 years because of a single event? EXTREMELY LIKELY, VERY LIKELY, MODERATELY LIKELY, SLIGHTLY LIKELY, NOT LIKELY AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society.
I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.

In the first statement a score of ‘1’ means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of ‘7’ means that you think most people in the group are "lazy."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.71
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085174A Q1a. Stereotype: Whites hardworking
Q1a. Stereotype: Whites hardworking

Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hard-working</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Lazy</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.05
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q1b. Stereotype: Blacks hardworking

Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q1b-Q1d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hard-working</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>36.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Lazy</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.67
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 2058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085174C  
**Q1c. Stereotype: Hispanics hardworking**

Location: 3551-3552(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

Q1c. Stereotype: Hispanics hardworking

Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q1b-Q1d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Hard-working</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>18.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Lazy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.32

Based upon 2058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 3553-3554(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2 |

Question:

Q1d. Stereotype: Asians hardworking

Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q1b-Q1d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.

Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent".
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- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.31

Based upon 2028 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type: Whites intelligent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3555-3556(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

Q2a. Stereotype: Whites intelligent

Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Intelligent</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>26.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Unintelligent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.84
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 2068 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type: Blacks intelligent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3557-3558(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Question:

Q2b. Stereotype: Blacks intelligent

Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q2b-Q2d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Unintelligent</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2064 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085175C  Q2c. Stereotype: Hispanics intelligent

Location: 3559-3560(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

Q2c. Stereotype: Hispanics intelligent

Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q2b-Q2d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.
### Q2d. Stereotype: Asians intelligent

**Location:**
3561-3562 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -8, -2

**Question:**
Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale?

The order in which Q2b-Q2d stereotype ratings were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.14a-PostRandom.14c.

### Value Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Intelligent</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>15.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>18.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>25.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Unintelligent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 2.87
• Median: 3.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 2034 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085176  Q3a1. Most important issue to R personally

Location: 3563-3564(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3 , -2
Question:

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085177  Q3a2. 2nd most important issue to R personally

Location: 3565-3566(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -3 , -2
Question:

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election?
The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085178**  
**Q3b1. Most important political problem**

Location: 3567-3568(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -3 , -2  
Question:  
What do you think is the most important political problem facing the United States today?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085179**  
**Q3b2. 2nd most important political problem**

Location: 3569-3570(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -3 , -2  
Question:  
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing the United States today?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
**- Study 25383 -**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085180**  
**Q3b3. Which party best: most important political problem**

Location: 3571-3572(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: Thinking of the most important political problem facing the United States: which party do you think is best in dealing with it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>52.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>18.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. RVOL: NEITHER/ THE SAME/ NO DIFFERENCE</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.46  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.18

Based upon 1995 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085181**  
**Q3b4. Which party best: 2nd most important political problem**

Location: 3573-3574(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: And the second most important political problem facing
the United States: which party do you think is best in dealing with it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Republican</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. R VOL: NEITHER/ THE SAME/ NO DIFFERENCE</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.37
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.07

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085182</th>
<th>Q4. Does/doesn't make a difference who is in power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3575-3576(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Q4. Does/doesn't make a difference who is in power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please look at page 10 in the booklet.

Some people say that IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE who is in power. Others say that IT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE who is in power.

Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and FIVE means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. It doesn't make any difference who is in power</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. It makes a big difference who is in power</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>37.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.89
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3577-3578(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q5. Does/doesn't make a difference who one votes for**

Now turning to page 11 in the booklet.

Some people say that no matter who people vote for, IT WON'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE to what happens. Others say that who people vote for CAN MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE to what happens.

Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Voting won't make any difference</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>23.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Voting can make a big difference</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>49.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6. How good a job govt in Washington has done past 4 years

Now thinking about the performance of the government in Washington in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Washington has done over the past four years? Has it done A VERY GOOD JOB? A GOOD JOB? A BAD JOB? A VERY BAD JOB?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very good job</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Good job</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Bad job</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>35.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very bad job</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>30.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.06  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.81

Based upon 2076 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Q7. Does any party represent R's views reasonably well

Would you say that any of the parties in the United States represent your views reasonably well?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1342</td>
<td>57.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.36
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.90

Based upon 2034 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q7a. Which party represents R's views reasonably well

IF ANY PARTY REPRESENTS R'S VIEWS REASONABLY WELL:
Which party represents your views best?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Democratic party</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>39.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Republican party</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other party {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Q7</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>32.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.30
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 1334 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>69.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 2050 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Q8. Does any 2008 Pres cand represent R's view reasonab well**

**V085186**

Location: 3585-3586(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say that any of the presidential candidates from the 2008 election represents your views reasonably well?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

- **Q8a. Which 2008 Pres cand represents R's view reasonab well**

**V085186A**

Location: 3587-3588(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Q8a. Which 2008 Pres cand represents R's view reasonably well?

IF ANY 2008 PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE REPRESENTS R'S VIEWS REASONABLY WELL: Which Presidential candidate represents your views best?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. John McCain</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Q8</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.16
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 1606 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085187A Q9a1. Like-dislike: Democratic Party

Location: 3589-3590(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -7 , -2

Q9a1. Like-dislike: Democratic Party

Please turn to page 12 of the booklet.

I'd like to know what you think about our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party.

If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.

The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.
Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?
Q9b1. Like-dislike: John McCain

And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.

The first is JOHN MCCAIN.

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Strongly dislike</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Strongly like</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.19
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.95

Based upon 2080 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085188B** | **Q9b2. Like-dislike: Barack Obama**
---|---
Location: | 3595-3596(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -7 , -2

**Question:**

(And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.)

Using the same scale, where would you place BARACK OBAMA?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Strongly dislike</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Strongly like</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.74
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.75

Based upon 2069 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Q10a1. Left-right: Democratic Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>3597-3598(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -7 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q10a1. Left-right: Democratic Party

Please turn to page 13 of the booklet.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
### Value Distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Right</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.21
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.22

Based upon 1835 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3599-3600(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -7 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question:

**Q10a2. Left-right: Republican Party**

Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Right</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.65
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.97

Based upon 1851 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085190A**

**Q10b1. Left-right: John McCain**

| Location: 3601-3602(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -7 , -2 |

**Question:**

Q10b1. Left-right: John McCain

And again, using the same scale, where would you place JOHN MCCAIN?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Left</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Right</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.52  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 10.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 10.00  
- Standard Deviation: 3.30

Based upon 1867 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085190B Q10b2. Left-right: Barack Obama**

**Location:** 3603-3604(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -7, -2

**Question:**

Where would you place BARACK OBAMA?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Left</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Right</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 5.40
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.76

Based upon 1864 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085191  Q10c. Left-right: self

| Location: | 3605-3606(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -7, -2 |

Question:

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Left</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Right</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7. Haven't heard of</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.25
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.43
Based upon 1889 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q11. Were there major differences between Pres cands

During the election campaign, would you say that there were MAJOR DIFFERENCES between the Presidential candidates, MINOR DIFFERENCES, or NO DIFFERENCES AT ALL?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Major differences</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>63.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Minor differences</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>22.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No differences</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 1.65
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.09

Based upon 2087 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q12. How closely did R follow the election campaign

How closely did you follow the election campaign?
VERY CLOSELY, FAIRLY CLOSELY, NOT VERY CLOSELY, or NOT CLOSELY AT ALL?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
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This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very closely</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly closely</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very closely</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not closely at all</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.92  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 2101 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085194  Q13. How satisfied with way democracy works in the U.S.

Location: 3611-3612(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: Q13. How satisfied with way democracy works in the

On the whole, are you VERY satisfied, FAIRLY satisfied, NOT VERY satisfied, or NOT AT ALL satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very satisfied</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly satisfied</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very satisfied</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not satisfied at all</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.96  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.77

Based upon 2060 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085195  
Q14_. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FOR WHOM R VOTED

| Location: | 3613-3614(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 , -1 |
| Question: | Q14_. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FOR WHOM R VOTED |

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE FOR WHOM R VOTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>44.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. John McCain</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, did not vote or DK/NA if vote; did not vote for President</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>23.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.75  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 1564 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085196  
Q14. Did R consider voting for any other Presidential cand

- 1182 -
Q14. Did R consider voting for any other Presidential

IF R VOTED FOR MAJOR PARTY PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE/
IF R VOTED FOR OTHER PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
In the 2008 Presidential election, did you consider voting
for any candidates other than [-NAME OF CANDIDATE FOR WHOM R
VOTED/-the candidate you voted for]?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, did not vote or DK/NA if voted; did not vote for President</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.65
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 1563 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q14a. #1 Which other Pres cand(s) did R consider voting for

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT AND CONSIDERED VOTING FOR DIFFERENT CANDIDATE(S):
Which ones?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Open-ended responses recorded for code 7 Other will be
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coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. John McCain</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5 in Q14; did not vote or DK/NA if voted; did not vote for President or DK/NA if voted for President; voted for</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>67.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.14  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.52

Based upon 521 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085196B | Q14b. #2 Which other Pres cand(s) did R consider voting for

| Location: 3619-3620(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: Q14b. #2 Which other Pres cand(s) did R consider

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT AND CONSIDERED VOTING FOR DIFFERENT CANDIDATE(S):

Which ones?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Open-ended responses recorded for code 7 Other will be coded in the full release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. John McCain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1184 -
Q15. Were there any Pres cands R would never vote for

Were there any candidates who ran for President that you would never vote for?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>50.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>38.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.73
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 2063 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085197A     Q15a. #1 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for

Location: 3623-3624 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Q15a. #1 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote

IF THERE WERE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES THAT R WOULD NEVER VOTE FOR: Which ones?

Open-ended responses recorded for code 7 Other will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. John McCain</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Q15a</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>40.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.74  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.68

Based upon 1157 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Q15b. #2 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for

IF THERE WERE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDS THAT R WOULD NEVER VOTE FOR: Which ones?

Open-ended responses recorded for code 7 Other will be coded in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V085197C  Q15c. #3 Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for

- **Location:** 3627-3628 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

**Q15c. #3** Which were the Pres cands R would never vote for

**IF THERE WERE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDS THAT R WOULD NEVER VOTE FOR:** Which ones?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Barack Obama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. John McCain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional mention; 5,-8,-9 in Q15a</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>90.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 7.00
- **Median:** 7.00
- **Mode:** 7.00
- **Minimum:** 7.00
- **Maximum:** 7.00
- **Standard Deviation:** 0.00

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

---

### Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. John McCain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, no additional mention; 5,-8,-9 in Q15a</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>88.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 5.95
- **Median:** 7.00
- **Mode:** 7.00
- **Minimum:** 1.00
- **Maximum:** 7.00
- **Standard Deviation:** 1.90

Based upon 38 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
R1. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT 1]

Location: 3629-3630(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

R1. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT

IF R SELECTED FOR PLACEMENT AT R1:
Do you think the federal government has become so large
and powerful that it poses an immediate threat to the rights
and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
this question at either R1 or R8. Due to a programming
error, the same 1/2 sample of respondents was administered
this question at both R1 and R8. See PostRandom.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Poses a threat</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>21.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Does not pose a threat</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>22.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not selected for placement at R1</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>45.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.04
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1015 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

R2a. How likely is R to: join in a protest march or rally

Location: 3631-3632(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question:

R2a. How likely is R to: join in a protest march or

In the future, how likely are you to:
Join in a protest march, rally, or demonstration?

EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely,
A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>57.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.31
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.08

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085199B</th>
<th>R2b. How likely is R to: attend a city or school board meetg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3633-3634(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>R2b. How likely is R to: attend a city or school board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In the future, how likely are you to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attend a meeting of a town or city government or school board?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.47
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085199C**

**R2c. How likely is R to: sign internet petition about issue**

| Location: | 3635-3636(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**Question:**

R2c. How likely is R to: sign internet petition about

(In the future, how likely are you to: )

Sign a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
V085199D  
R2d. How likely is R to: sign paper petition about issue

| Location: | 3637-3638(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

Question: R2d. How likely is R to: sign paper petition about

(In the future, how likely are you to: )
Sign a petition on paper about a political or social issue?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>18.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>21.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>26.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.42
- Median: 3.00

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.91
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.32
- Study 25383 -

- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.31

Based upon 2094 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085199E  R2e. How likely is R to: give money to religious organizatn

Location: 3639-3640(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

R2e. How likely is R to: give money to religious

(In the future, how likely are you to: )
Give money to a religious organization?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>20.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>14.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>22.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.96
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085199F  R2f. How likely is R to: give money to social/polit organizn

Location: 3641-3642(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Study 25383**

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

R2f. How likely is R to: give money to social/polit

(In the future, how likely are you to:)

Give money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue, not counting a religious organization?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.88
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085199G**

**R2g. How likely is R to: attend meetg abt social/polit issue**

**Location:** 3643-3644(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

R2g. How likely is R to: attend meetg abt social/polit
(In the future, how likely are you to: )
Attend a meeting to talk about political or social concerns?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>19.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>40.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.91
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085199H**

R2h. How likely is R to: invite other to social/polit meetg

Location: 3645-3646(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

R2h. How likely is R to: invite other to social/polit

(In the future, how likely are you to: )
Invite someone to attend a meeting about political or social concerns?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.17
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.17

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085199J**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R2j. How likely is R to: distribute social/polit group info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3647-3648(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: R2j. How likely is R to: distribute social/polit group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(In the future, how likely are you to: )
Distribute information or advertising supporting a political or social interest group?

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, A LITTLE likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

Please indicate below how many of the adults living in
the United States you think have ever done these things.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A little likely</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.21
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R3a. US adults have ever:</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>joined a protest march or</td>
<td>(ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

R3a. US adults have ever: joined a protest march or rally

Ever joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.54
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.76

Based upon 2064 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085200B R3b. US adults have ever: attended city/school brd meeting

Location: 3651-3652(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question: R3b. US adults have ever: attended city/school brd

Ever attended a meeting of a town or city government or school board. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.87
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Based upon 2062 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085200C**

| Location:  | 3653-3654(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

R3c. US adults have ever: signed internet petition on

(Please indicate below how many of the adults living in the United States you think have ever done these things.)

Ever signed a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>43.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.60  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.85

Based upon 2004 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085200D**

| Location:  | 3655-3656(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |
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Question:

R3d. US adults have ever: signed paper petition on

(Please indicate below how many of the adults living in the United States you think have ever done these things. )

Ever signed a petition on paper about a political or social issue.
(ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>29.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>35.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.36
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89

Based upon 2045 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3657-3658(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

R3e. US adults have ever: gave money to religious organizn

(Please indicate below how many of the adults living in the United States you think have ever done these things. )

Ever gave money to a religious organization.
(ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.93
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.92

Based upon 2058 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085200F
R3f. US adults have ever: gave money to social/polit org
Location: 3659-3660(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

R3f. US adults have ever: gave money to social/polit

(Please indicate below how many of the adults living in the United States you think have ever done these things.)

Not counting a religious organization, ever gave money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>36.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.38
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 2048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

R3g. US adults have ever: attended meetg on soc/polit issue

Location: 3661-3662(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: R3g. US adults have ever: attended meetg on soc/polit

(Please indicate below how many of the adults living in the United States you think have ever done these things.)

Ever attended a meeting to talk about political or social concerns. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
Based upon 2051 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085200H  R3h. US adults have ever: invited other to soc/polit meetg

Location: 3663-3664(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: R3h. US adults have ever: invited other to soc/polit

Ever invited someone to attend a meeting about political or social concerns. (ALL, MOST, ABOUT HALF, A FEW, or NONE)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. All</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. A few</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>52.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. None</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.68
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.76

Based upon 2040 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085201A  R4a. Has R ever: joined a protest march or rally**

Location: 3665-3666(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question: R4a. Has R ever: joined a protest march or rally

Now we will ask you about your past.
Have you done this, or have you never done it?
Joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration.

Followup question R4a1 was asked immediately after R4a but is located following questions R4a-R4j in this codebook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.22
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085201B  R4b. Has R ever: attended city/school brd meeting**

Location: 3667-3668(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question: R4b. Has R ever: attended city/school brd meeting
(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Attended a meeting of a town or city government or school board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.97
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2100 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**R4c. Has R ever: signed internet petition on issue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3669-3670(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Signed a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.13
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085201D</th>
<th>R4d. Has R ever: signed paper petition on issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3671-3672(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R4d. Has R ever: signed paper petition on issue

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Signed a petition on paper about a political or social issue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>46.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>44.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.95
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085201E</th>
<th>R4e. Has R ever: gave money to religious organizn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3673-3674(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R4e. Has R ever: gave money to religious organizn

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Gave money to a religious organization.

Followup question R4e1 was asked immediately after R4a but is located following questions R4a-R4j in this codebook.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>67.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>23.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.02
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.74

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085201F  R4f. Has R ever: gave money to social/polit org

**Location:** 3675-3676(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

R4f. Has R ever: gave money to social/polit org

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Not counting a religious organization, gave money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue.

Followup question R4f1 was asked immediately after R4a but is located following questions R4a-R4j in this codebook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>33.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>57.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.53
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3677-3678(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**R4g. Has R ever: attended meetg on soc/polit issue**

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Attended a meeting to talk about political or social concerns.

Followup question R4g1 was asked immediately after R4a but is located following questions R4a-R4j in this codebook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>27.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1459</td>
<td>62.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.78
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.84

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3679-3680(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**R4h. Has R ever: invited other to soc/polit meetg**

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Invited someone to attend a meeting about political or social concerns.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>72.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.23
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Based upon 2096 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3681-3682(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**Question:**

R4j. Has R ever: distributed social/polit group info

(Have you done this, or have you never done it?)

Distributed information or advertisements supporting a political or social interest group.

Followup question R4j1 was asked immediately after R4a but is located following questions R4a-R4j in this codebook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have done this</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>17.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never have done this</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>72.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.22
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.58

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085202A

**R4a1. Worry about arrest when R joined protest march**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3683-3684(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

R4a1. Worry about arrest when R joined protest march

**IF R HAS EVER JOINED A PROTEST OR DEMONSTRATION:**

When you joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration, did you ever think you would be arrested or punished by police or security forces?

Followup question R4a1 was asked immediately after R4a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. At least once, I thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. I never thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in R4a</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>73.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.69
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.88

Based upon 407 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085202B

**R4e1. Worry abt arrest when gave money to relig organization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3685-3686(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

R4e1. Worry abt arrest when gave money to relig

**IF R HAS EVER GIVEN MONEY TO A RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION:**

When you gave money to a religious organization, did you ever think you would be arrested or punished by police or
Followup question R4e1 was asked immediately after R4e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. At least once, I thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. I never thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in R4e</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.97
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.36

Based upon 1563 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085202C   R4f1. Worry abt arrest when gave money to social/polit org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3687-3688(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>R4f1. Worry abt arrest when gave money to social/polit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R HAS EVER GIVEN MONEY TO ORGANIZATION CONCERNED WITH SOCIAL/POLIT ISSUE:
When you gave money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue, did you ever think you would be arrested or punished by police or security forces?

Followup question R4f1 was asked immediately after R4f.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. At least once, I thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. I never thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -8, -9 in R4f</td>
<td>1463</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 4.82
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.82

Based upon 639 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3689-3690(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
R4g1. Worry abt arrest when attended social/polit meeting

**Followup question R4g1 was asked immediately after R4g.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. At least once, I thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. I never thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP,5,-8,-9 in R4g</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>57.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.93
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 0.53

Based upon 771 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3691-3692(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Question:

R4j1. Worry about arrest when distributed soc/polit

IF R HAS EVER DISTRIBUTED INFORMATION ABOUT A SOCIAL/POLITICAL INTEREST GROUP:
When you distributed information or advertisements supporting a political or social interest group, did you ever think you would be arrested or punished by police or security forces?

Followup question R4j1 was asked immediately after R4j.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. At least once, I thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. I never thought I would be arrested or punished</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R4j</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>73.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.76
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Based upon 407 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085203  R5a. Are people more angry with federal govt than used to be

Location: 3693-3694(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

R5a. Are people more angry with federal govt than used

Does it seem to you that the people you know personally are more angry with the federal government than they used to be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>72.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 1.75
  - Median: 1.00
  - Mode: 1.00
  - Minimum: 1.00
  - Maximum: 5.00
  - Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 2062 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085204</th>
<th>R5b. Is R more angry with federal govt than used to be</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3695-3696(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: R5b. Is R more angry with federal govt than used to be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are you, personally, more angry at the federal government than you used to be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.75
  - Median: 1.00
  - Mode: 1.00
  - Minimum: 1.00
  - Maximum: 5.00
  - Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 2084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085205</th>
<th>R6a. Angry abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3697-3698(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: R6a. Angry abt what federal govt has done during last</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When you think about what the U.S. federal government has done during the last four years, how angry does that make you feel? EXTREMELY angry, VERY angry, MODERATELY angry, SLIGHTLY angry, or
The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 3.33  
• Median: 3.00  
• Mode: 4.00  
• Minimum: 1.00  
• Maximum: 5.00  
• Standard Deviation: 1.27

Based upon 2097 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085205A**  
R6a1. Angry at what federal govt has done to self or others

Location: 3699-3700(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**  
R6a1. Angry at what federal govt has done to self or others

IF R IS ANGRY ABOUT WHAT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN PAST 4 YEARS:
Do you feel more angry about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, more angry about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, or equally angry about both/?
Do you feel more angry about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, more angry about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, or equally angry about both?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. Reversal for this question includes reversal only for the first 2 response
When you think about what the U.S. federal government has done during the last four years, how hopeful does that make you feel? EXTREMELY hopeful, VERY hopeful, MODERATELY hopeful, SLIGHTLY hopeful, or NOT hopeful AT ALL?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.01
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More about things the government has done to you personally</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. More about things the government has done to other people</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Equally about both</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R6b</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V085206A  R6b1. Hopeful abt what fed govt has done to self or others**

**Location:** 3703-3704(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R IS HOPEFUL ABOUT WHAT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN PAST 4 YEARS:
Do you feel more hopeful about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, more hopeful about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, or equally hopeful about both?
Do you feel more hopeful about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, more hopeful about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, or equally hopeful about both?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. Reversal for this question includes reversal only for the first 2 response categories.
When you think about what the U.S. federal government has done during the last four years, how afraid does that make you feel? EXTREMELY afraid, VERY afraid, MODERATELY afraid, SLIGHTLY afraid, or NOT afraid AT ALL?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>23.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.45  
Median: 4.00  
Mode: 4.00  
Minimum: 1.00  
Maximum: 5.00  
Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 2098 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
R6c1. Afraid abt what fed govt has done to self or

IF R IS AFRAID ABOUT WHAT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN PAST 4 YEARS:
Do you feel more afraid about things the government has done to YOU
PERSONALLY, more afraid about things the government has done to
OTHER PEOPLE, or equally afraid about both/
Do you feel more afraid about things the government has done to
OTHER PEOPLE, more afraid about things the government has done to
YOU PERSONALLY, or equally afraid about both?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered
was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election
questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or
reverse order. See Post.Random.1. Reversal for this
question includes reversal only for the first 2 response
categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More about things the government has done to you personally</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. More about things the government has done to other people</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Equally about both</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R6c</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.44
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 1548 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085208     R6d. Proud abt what federal govt has done during last 4 yrs
Location: 3709-3710(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
When you think about what the U.S. federal government has done during the last four years, how proud do that make you feel? EXTREMELY proud, VERY proud, MODERATELY proud, SLIGHTLY proud, or NOT proud AT ALL?

The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.15
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 2087 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085208A R6d1. Proud abt what federal govt has done to self or others

Location: 3711-3712(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

R6d1. Proud abt what federal govt has done to self or

IF R IS PROUD ABOUT WHAT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN PAST 4 YEARS:
Do you feel more proud about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, more proud about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, or equally proud about both/?
Do you feel more proud about things the government has done to OTHER PEOPLE, more proud about things the government has done to YOU PERSONALLY, or equally proud about both/?
The order in which affects items R6a-R6d were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.16a-PostRandom16d. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1. Reversal for this question includes reversal only for the first 2 response categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More about things the government has done to you personally</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. More about things the government has done to other people</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Equally about both</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>37.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in R6d</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>44.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.65
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

Based upon 1039 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085209 | R7a. Approve/disapprove govt handling war in Afghanistan
---|---
Location: | 3713-3714(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2

**R7a. Approve/disapprove govt handling war in**

Do you APPROVE, DISAPPROVE, or NEITHER APPROVE NOR DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the war in Afghanistan during the last four years?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>56.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 4.58  
- **Median:** 5.00  
- **Mode:** 5.00  
- **Minimum:** 1.00  
- **Maximum:** 7.00  
- **Standard Deviation:** 1.90

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085209A R7a1. How much approve handling of war in Afghanistan

**Location:** 3715-3716(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

R7a1. How much approve handling of war in Afghanistan

**IF APPROVES HANDLING OF WAR IN AFGHANISTAN:**  
Do you approve EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See PostRandom.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely strongly</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately strongly</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly strongly</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in R7a</td>
<td>1698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 3.06  
- **Median:** 3.00
R7a2. How much disapprove handling of war in Afghanistan

Location: 3717-3718 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

IF DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF WAR IN AFGHANISTAN:
Do you disapprove EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely strongly</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>28.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately strongly</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly strongly</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 17,-8,-9 in R7a</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>33.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.28
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1318 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
R7ax. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE HANDLING AFGHANISTAN

SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE HANDLING OF WAR IN AFGHANISTAN

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve extremely strongly</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve moderately strongly</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Approve slightly strongly</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove slightly strongly</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Disapprove moderately strongly</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Disapprove extremely strongly</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.11
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.86

Based upon 2090 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085210  R7b. Approve/disapprove govt handling war in Iraq

Location: 3721-3722(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question:

Do you APPROVE, DISAPPROVE, or NEITHER APPROVE NOR DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the war in Iraq during the last four years?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>18.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>60.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.41
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**R7b1. How much approve handling of war in Iraq**

**Location:**
3723-3724 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF APPROVES HANDLING OF WAR IN IRAQ:
Do you approve EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely strongly</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately strongly</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly strongly</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, 7, -9 in R7b</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>71.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.91
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 432 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3725-3726(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R7b2. How much disapprove handling of war in Iraq**

**IF DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF WAR IN IRAQ:**
Do you disapprove EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely strongly</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>35.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately strongly</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>17.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly strongly</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,7,-8,-9 in R7b</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>29.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

Based upon 1410 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>-1225-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R7bx. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE HANDLING IRAQ WAR**
The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom17a-PostRandom17c.

### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 1. Approve extremely strongly | 121 | 5.2 %
2 | 2. Approve moderately strongly | 210 | 9.0 %
3 | 3. Approve slightly strongly | 101 | 4.3 %
4 | 4. Neither approve nor disapprove | 250 | 10.8 %
5 | 5. Disapprove slightly strongly | 168 | 7.2 %
6 | 6. Disapprove moderately strongly | 414 | 17.8 %
7 | 7. Disapprove extremely strongly | 828 | 35.6 %
-9 | -9. Refused | 9 | 0.4 %
-8 | -8. Don't know | 1 | 0.0 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %

- Mean: 5.24
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 2092 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085211

| Location: 3729-3730(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 |
| Question: R7c. Approve/disapprove govt efforts to reduce terrorism |

Do you APPROVE, DISAPPROVE, or NEITHER APPROVE NOR DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the effort to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks in the United States during the last four years?
The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>49.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.16
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.44

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

R7c1. How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism

Location: 3731-3732(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

R7c1. How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism

IF DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:
Do you approve EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
R7c2. How much disapprove efforts to reduce terrorism

Location: 3733-3734(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

IF DISAPPROVES:
Do you disapprove EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly?

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom.17a-PostRandom17c. This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
- Study 25383 -

- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 607 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**R7cx. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPP EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3735-3736(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R7cx. SUMMARY: APPROVE-DISAPPROVE EFFORT TO REDUCE TERRORISM**

The order in which affects items R7a-R7c were administered was randomized. See PostRandom17a-PostRandom17c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Approve extremely strongly</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Approve moderately strongly</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Approve slightly strongly</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Disapprove slightly strongly</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Disapprove moderately strongly</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Disapprove extremely strongly</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.04

Based upon 2093 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**R8. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT 2]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3737-3738(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R8. Federal govt pose an threat to citizens [PLACEMENT 2]
IF R SELECTED FOR PLACEMENT AT R8:
Do you think the federal government has become so large and powerful that it poses an immediate threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question at either R1 or R8. Due to a programming error, the same 1/2 sample of respondents was administered this question at both R1 and R8. See PostRandom.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Poses a threat</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>20.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Does not pose a threat</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>23.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA; intended for selection but not selected due to programming</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>45.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.10
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 1017 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085213 S1. What were 9/11 terrorist trying to accomplish

| Location: | 3739-3740(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -3 , -2 |
| Question: | S1. What were 9/11 terrorist trying to accomplish |

As you know, on September 11th 2001, a group of terrorists took control of several U.S. commercial airplanes and crashed them into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington.

What do you think the terrorists were trying to accomplish by their actions?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S2a. Violent crime in city compared to 1 year ago**

Compared to one year ago, was the amount of violent crime in your city or town during the last month MORE, LESS, OR ABOUT THE SAME?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>27.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>50.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.53
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.81

Based upon 2038 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S2a1. How much more crime in city compared to 1 year ago**

IF MORE CRIME IN CITY THAN 1 YEAR AGO:
Was it A GREAT DEAL more, MODERATELY more, or SLIGHTLY more?
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S2a</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>63.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.67
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Based upon 635 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085214B**

**S2a2. How much less crime in city compared to 1 year ago**

Location: 3745-3746(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question: S2a2. How much less crime in city compared to 1 year

**IF LESS CRIME IN CITY THAN 1 YEAR AGO:**
Was it A GREAT DEAL less, MODERATELY less, or SLIGHTLY less?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S2a</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>80.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.65
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 219 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085214X

| Location: | 3747-3748(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

S2ax. SUMMARY: AMT OF CRIME IN CITY COMPARED TO 1 YR AGO

SUMMARY: AMOUNT OF VIOLENT CRIME IN CITY COMPARED TO 1 YEAR AGO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal more crime</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately more crime</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more crime</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same amount of crime</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>50.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly less crime</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Moderately less crime</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A great deal less crime</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 2034 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
S2b. Violent crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago

Compared to one year ago, was the amount of violent crime in the United States during the last month MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.09
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.92

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

S2b1. How much more crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago

IF MORE CRIME IN U.S. THAN 1 YEAR AGO:
Was it A GREAT DEAL more, MODERATELY more, or SLIGHTLY more?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
### S2b2. How much less crime in U.S. compared to 1 year ago

**Question:**

IF LESS CRIME IN U.S. THAN 1 YEAR AGO:
Was it A GREAT DEAL less, MODERATELY less, or SLIGHTLY less?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S2b</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>84.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.95
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- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Based upon 145 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

Summary: AMOUNT OF CRIME IN U.S. COMPARED TO 1 YEAR AGO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal more crime</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately more crime</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more crime</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same amount of crime</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>41.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly less crime</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Moderately less crime</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A great deal less crime</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

Summary: CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK COMPARED TO 1 YEAR AGO

- Mean: 3.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Question:

S3a. Chances of terrorist attack compared to 1 year

Compared to one year ago, are the chances that there will be a terrorist attack in the United States now MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>17.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>20.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>50.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.76
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085216A S3a1. How much more chance of terrorist attack than 1 yr ago

| Location: 3759-3760(width: 2; decimal: 0)
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

S3a1. How much more chance of terrorist attack than 1

IF MORE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK THAN 1 YEAR AGO:
Is it A GREAT DEAL more, MODERATELY more, or SLIGHTLY more?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S3a</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>73.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.75  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.55

Based upon 394 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>S3a2. How much less chance of terrorist attack than 1 yr ago</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>3761-3762(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>S3a2. How much less chance of terrorist attack than 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF LESS CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK THAN 1 YEAR AGO:**  
Is it A GREAT DEAL less, MODERATELY less, or SLIGHTLY less?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S3a</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>69.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.16  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.56
Based upon 485 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085216X  S3ax. SUMMARY: CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK COMPARED TO YR AGO

| Location: | 3763-3764(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

Question:

S3ax. SUMMARY: CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK COMPARED TO 1 YEAR AGO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal more chance</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Moderately more chance</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Slightly more chance</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same chance</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>50.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly less chance</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Moderately less chance</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A great deal less chance</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.05
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085217  S3b. Likely terrorist attack killing 100 or more in next yr

| Location: | 3765-3766(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

Question:

S3b. Likely terrorist attack killing 100 or more in

During the next 12 months, how likely is it that there will be a terrorist attack in the United States that kills 100 or more people?
Is it EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?

- 1239 -
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.16  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 2042 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085218**  
**S3c. How well govt reduced chance terror attack in last yr**

Location: 3767-3768(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question: S3c. How well govt reduced chance terror attack in

During the last year, how well has the U.S. government reduced the chances that a terrorist attack will happen in the United States? EXTREMELY well, VERY well, MODERATELY well, SLIGHTLY well, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely well</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very well</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately well</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>31.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly well</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>17.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all well</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.98  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Based upon 2034 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3769-3770(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**V085219 S4a. Effect on terrorist attacks: decreasing oil imports**

**Location:** 3769-3770(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2

**Question:**

S4a. Effect on terrorist attacks: decreasing oil

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Decrease as much as possible the amount of oil imported to the U.S. from the Middle East.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>14.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>20.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>52.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.88  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00
- Study 25383 -

- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 2021 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3771-3772(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**S4a1. How much increase terror chance: cut oil imports**

**Question:**

IF CUTTING OIL IMPORTS WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4a</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>76.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.93
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

Based upon 328 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3773-3774(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**S4a2. How much decrease terror chance: cut oil imports**

**Question:**

IF CUTTING OIL IMPORTS WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4a</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.11  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 472 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085219X | S4ax. SUMMARY: CUT OIL IMPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS
Location: 3775-3776(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2  
Question:

S4ax. SUMMARY: CUT OIL IMPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY DECREASING OIL IMPORTS FROM THE MIDDLE EAST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.12
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 2019 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085220 S4b. Effect on terrorist attacks: Middle East democracies

**Location:** 3777-3778(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

S4b. Effect on terrorist attacks: Middle East

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Encourage Middle East countries that are not democracies now to become democracies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.03
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 1985 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### V085220A: S4b1.How much increase terror chance: MidEast democracy

**Location:** 3779-3780(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** \(-9, -8, -2, -1\)

**Question:**

S4b1. How much increase terror chance: MidEast

IF PROMOTING MIDEAST DEMOCRACIES WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3.5, -8, -9 in S4b</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>54.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.80
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 824 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085220B: S4b2.How much decrease terror chance: MidEast democracy

**Location:** 3781-3782(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** \(-9, -8, -2, -1\)

**Question:**

S4b2. How much decrease terror chance: MidEast

IF PROMOTING MIDEAST DEMOCRACIES WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4b</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.00
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 292 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S4bx. SUMMARY: MIDEAST DEMOCRACY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS**

**V085220X**

| Location:  | 3783-3784(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |
| Question: | S4bx. SUMMARY: MIDEAST DEMOCRACY REDUCE TERRORIST |

**SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY ENCOURAGING MIDDLE EAST COUNTRIES TO BECOME DEMOCRACIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V085221**

**S4c. Effect on terrorist attacks: prevent nuclear weapons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.42
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.55

Based upon 1979 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S4c. Effect on terrorist attacks: prevent nuclear**

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Prevent countries that are trying to get nuclear weapons for the first time from doing so.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>31.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>25.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>30.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.97
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Based upon 2026 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085221A**

**S4c1. How much increase terror chance: stop nuclear weapons**

Location: 3785-3786(width: 2; decimal: 0)
S4c1. How much increase terror chance: stop nuclear

IF STOPPING NUCLEAR WEAPONS WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4c</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>58.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.76
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 737 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

S4c2. How much decrease terror chance: stop nuclear

IF STOPPING NUCLEAR WEAPONS WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order.
reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 581 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085221X  S4cx. SUMMARY: STOP NUCLEAR REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

Location: 3791-3792 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question: S4cx. SUMMARY: STOP NUCLEAR REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY PREVENTING COUNTRIES FROM GETTING NUCLEAR WEAPONS FOR THE FIRST TIME

- Mean: 3.86

- Study 25383 -
S4d. Effect on terrorist attacks: more spending on military

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Spend more money on the U.S. military.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>26.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S4d1. How much increase terror chance: more military money

IF SPENDING MORE ON THE MILITARY WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
- Study 25383 -

Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5, -8, -9 in S4d</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.63
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.54

Based upon 277 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085222B   S4d2. How much decrease terror chance: more military money

Location: 3797-3798(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: S4d2. How much decrease terror chance: more military

IF SPENDING MORE ON THE MILITARY WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4d</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.70
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 608 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085222X</th>
<th>S4dx. SUMMARY: MORE MILITARY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3799-3800(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>S4dx. SUMMARY: MORE MILITARY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY SPENDING MORE MONEY ON THE U.S. MILITARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.34
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
V085223  S4e. Effect on terrorist attacks: aid Middle East poverty

Location: 3801-3802(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question:

S4e. Effect on terrorist attacks: aid Middle East

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Give more money to countries in the Middle East to reduce the amount of poverty there.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>55.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 4.08
Median: 5.00
Mode: 5.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00
Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 2020 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085223A  S4e1. How much increase terror chance: aid MidEast poverty

Location: 3803-3804(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1
Question:

S4e1. How much increase terror chance: aid MidEast

IF AID TO MIDEST POVERTY WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3, 5, -8, -9 in S4e</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.86
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 207 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085223B**
**S4e2. How much decrease terror chance: aid MidEast poverty**

Location: 3805-3806(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: S4e2. How much decrease terror chance: aid MidEast

IF AID TO MIDEAST POVERTY WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4e</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>68.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.18
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 512 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 3807-3808(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

### Question

**S4ex. SUMMARY: AID MIDEAST POVERTY REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS**

**SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY GIVING MORE MONEY TO REDUCE POVERTY IN THE MIDDLE EAST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>55.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.27
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 2018 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
S4f. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop solving others’ probs

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Stop doing things in other countries to try to solve problems there.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.57
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 2030 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

S4f1. How much increase terror chance: stay out others probs

IF STAYING OUT WORLD PROBLEMS WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4f</td>
<td>1779</td>
<td>76.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.83
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

Based upon 323 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085224B

**S4f2. How much decrease terror chance: stay out others probs**

| Location: | 3813-3814(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

**IF STAYING OUT WORLD PROBLEMS WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:** Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4f</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>56.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.89
S4fx. SUMMARY: STAY OUT OTHERS PROBS REDUCE TERRORST ATTACKS

Location: 3815-3816(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Summary:
REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY STOP TRYING TO SOLVE PROBLEMS IN OTHER COUNTRIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>39.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 4.48  Median: 4.00  Mode: 4.00  Minimum: 1.00  Maximum: 7.00  Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 2028 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085225  S4g. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop terrorist US entrance

Location: 3817-3818(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2
Question:

S4g. Effect on terrorist attacks: stop terrorist US

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Do more to stop terrorists from entering the United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.31
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2050 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085225A  S4g1. How much increase terror chance: stop entrance to US

Location: 3819-3820(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question:

S4g1. How much increase terror chance: stop entrance

IF STOPPING ENTRANCE TO THE US WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,8,9 in S4g</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.54
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.56

Based upon 288 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S4g2. How much decrease terror chance: stop entrance to US**

**Question:**

**V085225B**  
**S4g2. How much decrease terror chance: stop entrance to US**  

- **Location:** 3821-3822(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:** S4g2. How much decrease terror chance: stop entrance to US

**IF STOPPING ENTRANCE TO THE US WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:** Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,8,9 in S4g</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.33
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
S4gx. SUMMARY: STOP US ENTRANCE REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.01  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Based upon 2047 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085226  
S4h. Effect on terrorist attacks: no terrorist plane boardg

Location: 3825-3826(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2  
Question: S4h. Effect on terrorist attacks: no terrorist plane

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the
chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE,
DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Do more to prevent terrorists from getting aboard airplanes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.34
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.28

Based upon 2053 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085226A S4h1. How much increase terror chance: stop plane boarding

Location: 3827-3828(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question: S4h1. How much increase terror chance: stop plane

IF STOPPING PLANE BOARDING WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,,-8,-9 in S4h</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.28  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 271 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085226B  
S4h2. How much decrease terror chance: stop plane boarding

| Location: | 3829-3830(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

Question: S4h2. How much decrease terror chance: stop plane

IF STOPPING PLANE BOARDING WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: 
Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,,-8,-9 in S4h</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.28  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.46

Based upon 1156 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**S4hx. Summary: Stop Plane Boarding Reduce Terrorist Attacks**

**Summary:** Reduce chances of terrorist attack by doing more to prevent terrorists from getting aboard airplanes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.02
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 2052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**S4j. Effect on Terrorist Attacks: Stop Weapons into US**

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Do more to stop terrorists from bringing things into the United States that could be used to kill people.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

Based upon 2054 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085227A**

**S4j1. How much increase terror chance: stop weapons to US**

| Location: | 3835-3836(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: | S4j1. How much increase terror chance: stop weapons to |

**IF STOPPING WEAPONS INTO US WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:**

Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4j</td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>78.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Mean: 2.20
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 268 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>V085227B</th>
<th>S4j2. How much decrease terror chance: stop weapons to US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2, -1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

S4j2. How much decrease terror chance: stop weapons to

IF STOPPING WEAPONS INTO US WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>28.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4j</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>37.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.19
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1234 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>V085227X</th>
<th>S4jx. SUMMARY: STOP WEAPONS TO US REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1266 -
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S4jx. SUMMARY: STOP WEAPONS TO US REDUCE TERRORIST

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY DOING MORE TO STOP TERRORISTS FROM BRINGING WEAPONS INTO THE U.S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>23.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>28.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.13
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Based upon 2050 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085228

S4k. Effect on terrorist attacks: strengthening allise

Location: 3841-3842(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S4k. Effect on terrorist attacks: strengthening allise

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Strengthen the militaries of countries that are friends of the United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**V085228A  S4k1. How much increase terror chance: strengthen allies**

| Location: | 3843-3844(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

*IF STRENGTHENING ALLIES WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?*

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4k</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>74.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.78
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
Based upon 375 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085228B S4k2. How much decrease terror chance: strengthen allies**

| Location: | 3845-3846(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

S4k2. How much decrease terror chance: strengthen

**IF STRENGTHENING ALLIES WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:**

Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4k</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>57.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.79
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

Based upon 766 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085228X S4kx. SUMMARY: STRENGTHEN ALLIES REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS**

| Location: | 3847-3848(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Question:**

S4kx. SUMMARY: STRENGTHEN ALLIES REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

- 1269 -
SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY STRENGTHENING THE MILITARY OF U.S. ALLIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>37.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.41
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.63

Based upon 2018 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085229  S4m. Effect on terrorist attacks: helping exports

Location: 3849-3850(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question: S4m. Effect on terrorist attacks: helping exports

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Help American companies to sell things to other countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>59.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1270 -
Based upon 1994 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.07
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

### S4m1. How much increase terror chance: helping US exports

Location: 3851-3852(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:**

S4m1. How much increase terror chance: helping US

**IF HELPING US EXPORTS WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:**
Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>99 4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>113 4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>91 3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1 0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in S4m</td>
<td>1797 77.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.95
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59
S4m2. How much decrease terror chance: helping US exports

Location: 3853-3854
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

IF HELPING US EXPORTS WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK: Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4m</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.28
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Based upon 315 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

S4mx. SUMMARY: HELPING US EXPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

Location: 3855-3856
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S4mx. SUMMARY: HELPING US EXPORTS REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACK

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY HELPING AMERICAN EXPORT TO OTHER COUNTRIES
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.99
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Based upon 1992 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085230 S4n. Effect on terrorist attacks: make easier to move to US**

- **Location:** 3857-3858(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2

**Question:**

S4n. Effect on terrorist attacks: make easier to move

If the U.S. government were to do the following, would the chances of a terrorist attack in the United States INCREASE, DECREASE, or STAY THE SAME?

Make it easier for people from other countries to move to the United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Decrease</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Stay the same</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.90
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.87

Based upon 2037 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085230A**  
**S4n1. How much increase terror chance: easier to move to US**

| Location: | 3859-3860(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |
| Question: | S4n1. How much increase terror chance: easier to move |

**INSTRUCTION:**

**IF MAKING EASIER TO MOVE TO US WILL INCREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:** Would it increase the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3.5,-8,-9 in S4n</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>49.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.59
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.55

Based upon 944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085230B**  
**S4n2. How much decrease terror chance: easier to move to US**

| Location: | 3861-3862(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

S4n2. How much decrease terror chance: easier to move

IF MAKING EASIER TO MOVE TO US WILL DECREASE CHANCE OF TERRORIST ATTACK:
Would it decrease the chances A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or SLIGHTLY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Slightly</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in S4n</td>
<td>1851</td>
<td>79.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.05
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Based upon 251 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085230X

S4nx. SUMMARY: EASIER TO MOVE TO US REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACKS

Location: 3863-3864(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S4nx. SUMMARY: EASIER TO MOVE TO US REDUCE TERRORIST ATTACK

SUMMARY: REDUCE CHANCES OF TERRORIST ATTACK BY MAKING IT EASIER FOR PEOPLE FROM OTHER COUNTRIES TO MOVE TO THE U.S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Increase chances a great deal</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>17.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Increase chances moderately</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Increase chances slightly</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Stay the same</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Decrease chances slightly</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Decrease chances moderately</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Decrease chances a great deal</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.22  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 2036 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3865-3866(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Question:         S5a. Likelihood terrorist attack: suicide bombing

How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?

A person sets off a bomb to kill himself or herself and to kill other people.
EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.35  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 2052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085231B  
S5b. Likelihood terrorist attack: non-suicide bombing

Location: 3867-3868(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

S5b. Likelihood terrorist attack: non-suicide bombing

How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?

A person sets off a bomb to kill other people but not himself or herself.
EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.43  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00
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- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085231C**  
**S5c. Likelihood terrorist attack: radioactive material**

| Location: | 3869-3870(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |
| Question: | S5c. Likelihood terrorist attack: radioactive material |

(How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?)

A person uses explosives to scatter radioactive material over a small area.

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>35.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>23.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.73
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2048 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085231D**  
**S5d. Likelihood terrorist attack: nuclear bomb**

| Location: | 3871-3872(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S5d. Likelihood terrorist attack: nuclear bomb

(How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?)

A person sets off a nuclear bomb.

(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slighty likely</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>27.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>42.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.12
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 2056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085231E

S5e. Likelihood terrorist attack: sniper attack

Location: 3873-3874(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

S5e. Likelihood terrorist attack: sniper attack

(How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?)

A person uses a rifle to shoot people from far away.
This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>14.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>20.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>19.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.83
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.18

Based upon 2065 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085231F**  
**S5f. Likelihood terrorist attack: biological weapons**

**Location:** 3875-3876(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)  
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2  
**Question:**

S5f. Likelihood terrorist attack: biologic weapons

(How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?)

A person releases bacteria, viruses, or other germs to cause diseases in people.  
(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order.
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reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly likely</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not at all likely</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.44  
- Median: 4.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 2060 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085231G**

**S5g. Likelihood terrorist attack: chemical weapons**

**Location:** 3877-3878(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2

**Question:**

S5g. Likelihood terrorist attack: chemical weapons

(How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?)

A person releases chemicals to kill people.  
(EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY?)

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely likely</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very likely</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately likely</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S5h. Likelihood terrorist attack: other type of attack

How likely is the following kind of terrorist attack to happen in the United States during the next 12 months?

EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, MODERATELY likely, SLIGHTLY likely, or NOT AT ALL likely?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.
• Mean: 3.59
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.15

Based upon 2018 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085232  S6. Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists

Location: 3881-3882(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: S6. Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists

Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>21.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>46.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 4.52
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 7.00
• Standard Deviation: 2.13

Based upon 2068 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085232A  S6a. How much favor torture for suspected terrorists

Location: 3883-3884(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question: S6a. How much favor torture for suspected terrorists

IF FAVORS USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:
Do you favor that A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,7,-8,-9 in S6</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Based upon 492 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085232B  S6b. How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists

| Question: S6b. How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists |
| Location: 3885-3886(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1 |

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9 in S6</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>43.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.08
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 1087 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085232X

| Location: | 3887-3888(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |

**S6x. SUMMARY: FAVOR-OPPOSE TORTURE FOR SUSPECTED TERRORISTS**

**If R selected for version 1A or 1B:**
We are interested in how you felt when you first learned that Barack Obama had become the Democratic nominee for President.

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>27.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.76
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.05

Based upon 2068 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085233 T1a. Was R proud learning Obama won Democratic nomination**

Location: 3889-3890(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: T1a. Was R proud learning Obama won Democratic

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:
At the time, did you feel: PROUD

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>28.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>15.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.42
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 1020 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085233A</td>
<td>T1a1. How proud was R learning Obama won Dem nomination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 3891-3892(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1  
Question: T1a1. How proud was R learning Obama won Dem

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:  
IF FELT PROUD LEARNING OBAMA WAS DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT:  
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>22.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1a; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>62.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.76  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 658 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085234</td>
<td>T1b. Was R angry learning Obama won Democratic nomination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 3893-3894(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
T1b. Was R angry learning Obama won Democratic nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>42.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.82
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 1033 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085234A**

**T1b1. How angry was R learning Obama won Dem nomination**

Location: 3895-3896(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1b; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.19
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 47 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**T1c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won Dem nomination**

**Location:** 3897-3898(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:** T1c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won Dem

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:**
(At the time, did you feel:)
DISAPPOINTED

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>34.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.12
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.65

Based upon 1033 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085235A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T1c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Dem nominatn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 3899-3900(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: T1c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF FELT DISAPPOINTED LEARNING OBAMA WAS DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT: Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1c; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>80.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 2.62  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.97

Based upon 225 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>T1d. Was R afraid learning Obama won Democratic nomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3901-3902(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>T1d. Was R afraid learning Obama won Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:  
(At the time, did you feel:)  
AFRAID

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>37.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.32  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Based upon 1035 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
T1d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won Dem nomination

Location: 3903-3904 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

T1d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won Dem

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:
IF FELT AFRAID LEARNING OBAMA WAS DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT: Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1d; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>82.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.68
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.98

Based upon 176 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085237

T1e. Was R happy learning Obama won Democratic nomination

Location: 3905-3906 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

T1e. Was R happy learning Obama won Democratic

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:
Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>27.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>16.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.94

Based upon 1031 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085237A  T1e1. How happy was R learning Obama won Dem nomination

Location: 3907-3908(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question: T1e1. How happy was R learning Obama won Dem

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:
IF FEEL HAPPY LEARNING OBAMA WAS DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT:
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?
result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1e; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1463</td>
<td>63.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.86  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 638 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085238**  
**T1f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won Democratic nomination**

Location: 3909-3910(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1

Question: T1f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won Democratic

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:  
(At the time, did you feel:)  
HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>32.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V085238A T1f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won Dem nomination

| Location: | 3911-3912(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |
| Question: | T1f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won Dem |

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OR 1B:
IF FELT HOPEFUL LEARNING OBAMA WAS DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT:
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>45.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.08
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Based upon 1030 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in T1f; R selected for VERSION 2A or 2B</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>58.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.84
- Median: 1.00
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- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.63

Based upon 750 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085239</th>
<th>T2. Why does R think Obama won Democratic nomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3913-3914(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>T2. Why does R think Obama won Democratic nomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A:</td>
<td>WHY do you think Barack Obama won the Democratic nomination?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded. Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085240</th>
<th>T3. Why does R think Hillary Clinton lost Dem nomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3915-3916(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-3 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>T3. Why does R think Hillary Clinton lost Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1B:</td>
<td>WHY do you think Hillary Clinton lost the Democratic nomination?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:**
We are interested in how you felt when you first learned that Barack Obama had won the presidential election and John McCain had lost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. , confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085241 T4a. Was R proud learning Obama won the Pres election**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3917-3918(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
T4a. Was R proud learning Obama won the Pres election

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:**
Did you feel:
PROUD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.35
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
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- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 1049 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3919-3920(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>T4a1. How proud was R learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T4a1. How proud was R learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
IF FELT PROUD LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1a; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>60.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Based upon 695 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3921-3922(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>T4b. Was R angry learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T4b. Was R angry learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
(Did you feel:)
ANGRY
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.70
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 1057 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085242A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1b; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

T4b1. How angry was R learning Obama won the Pres
election

**V085242A**

**T4b1. How angry was R learning Obama won the Pres**

**Location:**
3923-3924(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-9 , -8 , -2 , -1

**Question:**
T4b1. How angry was R learning Obama won the Pres

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:**
**IF FELT ANGRY LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:**

**Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1b; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
Based upon 79 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085243  
T4c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won the Pres election

Location: 3925-3926 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1

Question: T4c. Was R disappointed learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
(Did you feel:)
DISAPPOINTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>34.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.06
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.70

Based upon 1056 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085243A  
T4c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Pres electn

Location: 3927-3928 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question: T4c1. How disappointed was R learning Obama won Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
IF FELT DISAPPOINTED LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1c; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1853</td>
<td>79.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.38  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 249 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085244</td>
<td>T4d. Was R afraid learning Obama won the Pres election</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location: 3929-3930(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1  
Question: T4d. Was R afraid learning Obama won the Pres election

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:  
(Did you feel:)  
AFRAID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>37.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.33  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.50
Based upon 1057 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085244A

**T4d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won the Pres election**

| Location: | 3931-3932(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

T4d1. How afraid was R learning Obama won the Pres

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:**
**IF FELT AFRAID LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:**
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5, -4, -8, -9 in T1d; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>82.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.81
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 177 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085245

**T4e. Was R happy learning Obama won the Pres election**

| Location: | 3933-3934(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

T4e. Was R happy learning Obama won the Pres

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:**
(Did you feel:)
HAPPY
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.30
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.87

Based upon 1055 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085245A T4e1. How happy was R learning Obama won the Pres election

| Location: | 3935-3936(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

T4e1. How happy was R learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:

IF FELT HAPPY LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:

Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>25.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1e; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>59.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.46
Based upon 711 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085246**

**T4f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won the Pres election**

Location: 3937-3938(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
Question:

T4f. Was R hopeful learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
(Do you feel:)
HOPEFUL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>35.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.89
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.66

Based upon 1052 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085246A**

**T4f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won the Pres election**

Location: 3939-3940(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question:

T4f1. How hopeful was R learning Obama won the Pres

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A OR 2B:
IF FELT HOPEFUL LEARNING OBAMA WON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
Did you feel this STRONGLY or NOT SO STRONGLY?
**Study 25383**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strongly</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not so strongly</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-4,-8,-9 in T1f; R selected for VERSION 1A or 1B</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.78
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

Based upon 817 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085247**

**T5. Why does R think Obama won the Presidential election**

| Location: | 3941-3942 (width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -3, -2 |

**Question:**

T5. Why does R think Obama won the Presidential

**IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2A:**

WHY do you think Barack Obama won the Presidential election?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085248**

**T6. Why does R think McCain lost the Presidential election**
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T6. Why does R think McCain lost the Presidential

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2B:
WHY do you think John McCain lost the Presidential election?

The open-ended (text) response to this question is confidential; responses are not yet coded.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be asked VERSION 1 nominee/winner affects questions T1-T1f1 or else VERSION 2 nominee/winner affects questions T4-T4f1. In addition, VERSION 1 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T2 (VERSION 1A) or T3 (VERSION 1B) nomination result question, and VERSION 2 respondents were randomly assigned to be asked either the T5 (VERSION 2A) or T6 (VERSION 2B) election result question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3. INAP, confidential (open-ended); not coded in the current Release</td>
<td>2102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085249  V1_. No identification with religion (PRELOAD)

Location: 3945-3946(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2
Question: No identification with religion (PRELOAD)

This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload.
For the Post-election interview, this value was set to 1 if R indicated in the Pre-election interview that he/she did not attend church and did not consider self as member of a church or congregation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. PRE-ELECTION: did not report both non-attendance and non-membership</td>
<td>1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. PRE-ELECTION: both non-attendance and non-membership</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V085250

**V1. NO RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION: consider self Jewish**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.16
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.37

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Location:**

3947-3948(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**

-9, -8, -4, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF NO CHURCH ATTENDANCE AND DOES NOT THINK OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOM:
Do you consider yourself to be Jewish for any reason, or do you not consider yourself to be Jewish at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, R considers self Jewish</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. R mentions a partial identification as Jewish</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, R does not consider self Jewish at all</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in V1_</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.91
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.57

Based upon 341 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085250A**

**V1a. NO RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION: if Jewish, type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3949-3950(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Question:

IF NO CHURCH ATTENDANCE AND DOES NOT THINK OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOM: IF CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN WHOLE OR PART: Do you consider yourself to be an ORTHODOX Jew, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5-8,-9 in V1</td>
<td>2089</td>
<td>89.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 6.00
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.16

Based upon 10 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085251A

V2_1. Major religion group (PRELOAD)

Location: 3951-3952(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -2 , -1

Question:

IF PRELOAD MAJOR RELIGIOUS GROUP NOT NONE/NA/DK: MAJOR RELIGION GROUP (PRELOAD)

This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload, based on Pre-election responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protestant</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Catholic</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>20.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Jewish</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, major religion none/DK/NA</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.75
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.73

Based upon 1747 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085251B  V2_2. Religious denomination (PRELOAD)

| Location: | 3953-3954(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 , -1 |

Question:

IF PRELOAD MAJOR RELIGIOUS GROUP NOT NONE/NA/DK/CATHOLIC/JEWISH:

PRELOAD: RELIGIOUS DENOMINATION

This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload, based on Pre-election responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. Baptist</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>20.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. Lutheran</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. Methodist</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. Just Protestant</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. Presbyterian</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. Reformed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. Brethren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. Evangelical United Brethren</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Christian or just Christian</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Christian Scientist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Church (or Churches) of Christ</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Church of God</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Assembly of God</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Congregationalist</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Holiness</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Pentecostal</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Friends, Quaker</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Orthodox (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Non-denominational - Protestant</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Mormons</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Jehovah's Witnesses</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Latter Day Saints</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Unitarian/Universalist</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Buddhist</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28. Hindu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Muslim/Islam</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, denomination DK/NA; major religion</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.57
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.37

Based upon 1233 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085252 V2. Was R raised in PRELOAD religion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>3955-3956(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

IF R INDICATED RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
When I interviewed you before the election, you said you were
-RELIGION-. Were you raised -RELIGION-, or were you not raised -RELIGION-?

See V2_1 and V2_2.

Religion from the Pre-election survey was preloaded here.
Denomination was preloaded unless R was Catholic or Jewish.
If R indicated Catholic or Jewish, "Catholic"/"Jewish"
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was preloaded here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Was</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>51.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Was not</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>19.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, denomination DK/NA/Other; major religion none/DK/NA</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>19.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.09
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

Based upon 1635 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085252A
**V3. Raised in other/no religion: what major religion group**

| Location: | 3957-3958(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**

IF NOT DID NOT INDICATE BEING RAISED IN CURRENT RELIGION/
IF R DID NOT INDICATE DK WHETHER RAISED IN CURRENT RELIGION:

Were you raised PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, in NO RELIGION, or SOMETHING ELSE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protestant</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Catholic</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Jewish</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. No religion</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,-8,-9 in V2</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 3.90  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.63

Based upon 903 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **V085253**  
**V4. Raised in other religion: what denomination**  
Location: 3959-3960(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question: IF RAISED PROTESTANT OR OTHER  
What church or denomination is that?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Baptist</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lutheran</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Methodist</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just Protestant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Presbyterian</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Reformed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Brethren</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Evangelical United Brethren</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Christian or just Christian</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Christian Scientist</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Church (or Churches) of Christ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Church of God</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Assembly of God</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Congregationalist</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Holiness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Pentecostal</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Friends, Quaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Orthodox (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Non-denominational - Protestant</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Mormons</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Jehovah's Witnesses</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V4a. Raised in other religion: Baptist

With which Baptist group was the church you were raised in associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Southern Baptist Convention</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. American Baptist Churches in USA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. American Baptist Association</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Independent Baptist</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based upon 140 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085254A**  
V4b. Raised in other religion: independent Baptist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>83.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.43  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 27 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085255**  
V4c. Raised in other religion: Lutheran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger Baptist group {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Local</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,7,-8,-9 in V4a; 2-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in</td>
<td>2071</td>
<td>89.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.81  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 2.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Based upon 27 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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**IF RAISED LUTHERAN:**
Was the church you were raised in part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Evangelical Lutheran Church</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Missouri Synod</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.88
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.95

Based upon 24 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**IF RAISED METHODIST:**
Was the church you were raised in part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. United Methodist Church</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. African Methodist Episcopal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### V085257

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,5-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V5; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2032</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 64 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085257**  
**V4e. Raised in other religion: Presbyterian**

Location: 3969-3970(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1

**Question:** IF RAISED PRESBYTERIAN  
Was that the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other Presbyterian group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-5,7-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V5; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 19 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085258**  
**V4f. Raised in other religion: Reformed**

Location: 3971-3972(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

Question:

IF RAISED REFORMED:
Was that the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some other Reformed group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Christian Reformed Church</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Reformed Church in America</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-6,8-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>90.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085259    V4g. Raised in other religion: Brethren

Location: 3973-3974(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

Question:

IF RAISED BRETHREN:
Was that the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Church of the Brethren</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Plymouth Brethren</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-7,9-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>90.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.33
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.58
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Based upon 3 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085260**  
**V4h. Raised in other religion: 'Christian'**  
Location: 3975-3976(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question: 

**IF RAISED 'CHRISTIAN':**
When you say you were raised "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I was raised just a Christian"?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. I was raised just a Christian</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-9,11-80 in V4; 2,3,6,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2069</td>
<td>89.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.17  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 2.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 30 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085261**  
**V4j. Raised in other religion: Church of Christ**  
Location: 3977-3978(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question: 

**IF RAISED CHURCH OF CHRIST:**
Was that the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Church of Christ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-14,16-80 in V4;</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>89.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 14 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085262**

| Location: | 3979-3980(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1 |

**Question:**
Was that the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Anderson, Indiana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Cleveland, Tennessee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Church of God in Christ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-14,16-80 in V4;</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>90.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.00  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 7.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.89
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**V085263**  
**V4m. Raised in no religion: raised as a Jew**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, raised Jewish</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. R mentions partial raising as Jewish</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, not raised Jewish</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,3,-8,-9 in V3</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>83.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.00
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 160 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085263A**  
**V4n. Raised in no religion: if raised as a Jew, type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Based upon 7 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5.-8,-9 in V4m and 6 in V3; 1,2,7,-8,-9 in V3; 1 or -8 in V2</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>90.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.00  
- Median: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 3 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085264**  
**V5. Marital status (PRELOAD)**

| Location: | 3985-3986(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -2 |
| Question: | Marital status (PRELOAD) |

This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. PRE-ELECTION: not married or partnered</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>51.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. PRE-ELECTION: married or partnered</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>39.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.44  
- Median: 0.00  
- Mode: 0.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085265**  
**V5. Spouse religion: same as PRELOAD religion**

| Location: | 3987-3988(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
I have a few questions about (your husband/your wife/your partner).
Is (he/she) RELIGION-?

See V2_1 and V2_2.
R's religion from the Pre-election survey was preloaded here.
Denomination was preloaded unless R was Catholic or Jewish.
If R indicated Catholic or Jewish, "Catholic"/"Jewish"
was preloaded here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in V5_; 1 in V5_ and denomination DK/NA/Other or major religion none/DK/NA</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.92
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Based upon 722 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V6. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: major religion

Location: 3989-3990(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

Is (he/she) PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, in NO RELIGION,
or SOMETHING ELSE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Protestant</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Catholic</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Jewish</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. No religion</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in V5; 0 in V5_</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.56

Based upon 347 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085267</th>
<th>V7. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: denomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3991-3992(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R’S RELIGION:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What church or denomination is that?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Baptist</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Lutheran</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Methodist</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Just Protestant</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Presbyterian</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Reformed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Brethren</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. Evangelical United Brethren</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Christian or just Christian</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Christian Scientist</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Church (or Churches) of Christ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. United Church of Christ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Church of God</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Assembly of God</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Congregationalist</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Holiness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Pentecostal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Friends, Quaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. Orthodox (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. Non-denominational - Protestant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. Mormons</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. Jehovah's Witnesses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25. Latter Day Saints</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26. Unitarian/Universalist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27. Buddhist</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28. Hindu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29. Muslim/Islam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. Native American</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5; 0 in V5_</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 20.62
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.52

Based upon 171 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085268 V7a. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Baptist

**Location:** 3993-3994(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -4, -2, -1

**Question:**

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
With which Baptist group is (your husband's/your wife's/your partner's) church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Southern Baptist Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. American Baptist Churches in USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. American Baptist Association</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Independent Baptist</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5; 0 in V5_</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.90
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085268A V7b. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: ind Baptist

Location: 3995-3996(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
IF INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
Is (your husband's/your wife's/your partner's) church affiliated with any larger Baptist group or was this strictly a local church?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Larger Baptist group {SPECIFY}</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## - Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Local</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,7,-8,-9 in V7a; 2-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6;</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.79
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Based upon 34 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085269

**V7c. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Lutheran**

| Location: | 3997-3998(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

**Question:**

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN:
s (your husband's/your wife's/your partner's) church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Evangelical Lutheran Church</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Missouri Synod</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,2,4-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.20
- Median: 4.50
- Mode: 7.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.97

Based upon 10 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085270</th>
<th>V7d. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Methodist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>3999-4000 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY: IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED: IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION: IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS METHODIST:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is (your husband's/your wife's/your partner's) part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. United Methodist Church</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. African Methodist Episcopal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,5-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>89.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.94

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085271</th>
<th>V7e. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Presbyterian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4001-4002 (width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN:
Is that the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other Presbyterian group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-5,8-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2094</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085272  V7f. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Reformed**

Location: 4003-4004(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS REFORMED:
Is that the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some other Reformed group?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Christian Reformed Church</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Reformed Church in America</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-6,8-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085273 V7g. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Brethren

Location: 4005-4006(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R’S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR ‘OTHER’:
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN:

Is that the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Church of the Brethren</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. The Plymouth Brethren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-7,9-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.00
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085274 V7h. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: 'Christian'

Location: 4007-4008(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R’S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR ‘OTHER’:
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS ‘CHRISTIAN’:

When you say (your husband/your wife/your partner) is "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the “Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say “(he/she) is just a Christian”?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. I was raised just a Christian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-9,11-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>90.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.42
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085275
V7j. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Church of Christ

Location: 4009-4010(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
V085276  V7k. Spouse religion not PRELOAD religion: Church of God

Location:  4011-4012(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

Question:

IF R INDICATED OWN RELIGION IN PRE-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
IF SPOUSE RELIGION IS NOT R'S RELIGION:
IF SPOUSE MAJOR RELIGION GROUP IS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF SPOUSE DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD:
Is that the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Anderson, Indiana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Cleveland, Tennessee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Church of God in Christ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-14,16-80,-8,-9 in V7; 2,3,6,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5;</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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- Mean: 6.00
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085277
V7m. Spouse religion none: is spouse Jewish

| Location: | 4013-4014(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: | IS SPOUSE HAS NO RELIGION: Does (your husband/your wife/your partner) consider (himself/herself) Jewish in any way? |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes, spouse considers self Jewish</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. R mentions spouse partial identification as Jewish</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No, spouse does not consider self Jewish at all</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1-3,7,-8,-9 in V6; 5,-8,-9 in V5; 0 in V5</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>87.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.87
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

Based upon 78 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085277A
V7n. Spouse religion none: if spouse Jewish, type

| Location: | 4015-4016(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: | SPOUSE IS JEWISH: / IF SPOUSE/PARTNER HAS NO RELIGION BUT CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH: |
Is (your husband/your wife/your partner) ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Orthodox</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Conservative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Reform</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in V7m and 6 in V6; 1-2,-8,-9 in V6;</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>90.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.83
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.40

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085278**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W1. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location:** 4017-4018(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2

**Question:** W1. HISPANIC (PRELOAD)

**HISPANIC RESPONDENT (PRELOAD)**

Built from B2_.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Not preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>67.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Preloaded as Hispanic</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>23.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
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- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.44

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>W1. HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>4019-4020(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

W1. HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. English more</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Spanish more</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Both Equally (Bilingual)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
IF SPANISH LANGUAGE INTERVIEW:
Would you say you could carry on a conversation in English (both understanding and speaking) VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very well</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pretty well</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Just a little</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_; not a Spanish language interview</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.92
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Based upon 80 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

W3. HISPANIC NOT SPANISH LANG IW: converse well in Spanish

Location: 4023-4024(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
Question:

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
IF NOT A SPANISH LANGUAGE INTERVIEW:
Would you say you could carry on a conversation in Spanish (both understanding and speaking) VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very well</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Pretty well</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Just a little</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Other (SPECIFY)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_; Spanish language interview</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.36
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 462 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085282 W4. HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish

**Location:** 4025-4026(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

**Question:**

W4. HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish?

VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very Important</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat important</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very important</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all important</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_; Spanish language interview</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.60
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- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99

Based upon 540 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**W5a. HISPANIC: how important to read and write English in US**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very Important</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat important</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very important</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all important</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.09
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**W5b. HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US**

- Location: 4027-4028(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

- Mean: 1.09
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
**W5b. HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US**

**IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:**

How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to speak English?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very Important</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat important</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not very important</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all important</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.09
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.34

Based upon 542 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085285A**

**W6a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks econ/educ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4031-4032(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>W6a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:**

Thinking about issues like job opportunities, educational attainment or income, how much do Hispanics have in common with other racial groups in the United States today?

Would you say Hispanics have A LOT in common, SOME in common, LITTLE in common, or NOTHING AT ALL in common with:

AFRICAN-AMERICANS
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Nothing at all</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.04  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

Based upon 528 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085285B**   **W6b. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with whites econ/educ**

Location: 4033-4034(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1

**Question:**  
W6b. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with whites  
IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:  
(Thinking about issues like job opportunities, educational attainment or income, would you say Hispanics have A LOT in common, SOME in common, LITTLE in common, or NOTHING AT ALL in common with:)  

**WHITES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Nothing at all</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.17  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

Based upon 532 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085286A  
W7a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks political

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4035-4036(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

W7a. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with blacks

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
Now I'd like you to think about the POLITICAL situation of Hispanics in American society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do Hispanics have in common with other racial groups in the United States today?

Would you say Hispanics have A LOT in common, SOME in common, LITTLE in common, or NOTHING AT ALL in common with:

AFRICAN-AMERICANS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Nothing at all</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.09  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 528 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4037-4038(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

**W7b. HISPANIC: Hispanics in common with whites political**

**Question:**

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
(Thinking about things like government services and employment, would you say Hispanics have A LOT in common, SOME in common, LITTLE in common, or NOTHING AT ALL in common with:)

**WHITES?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Nothing at all</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.22
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 530 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4039-4040(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -4, -2, -1 |

**W8. HISPANIC: Hispanics doing well if blacks doing well**
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W8. HISPANIC: Hispanics doing well if blacks doing

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
How much does Hispanics doing well depend on African-Americans also doing well?
A LOT, SOME, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all between Hispanics and African-Americans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.58  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 2.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 527 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085288A  w9a. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in jobs

Location: 4041-4042(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1
Question: w9a. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in jobs

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

How about IN GETTING JOBS
STRONG competition, WEAK competition, or NO competition?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong competition</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Weak competition</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. No competition</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.06  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 528 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085288B  
W9b. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4043-4044(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(How about)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAVING ACCESS TO EDUCATION AND QUALITY SCHOOLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STRONG competition, WEAK competition, or NO competition?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong competition</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Weak competition</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. No competition</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 2.11  
  - Median: 2.00  
  - Mode: 3.00  
  - Minimum: 1.00  
  - Maximum: 3.00  
  - Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 526 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>W9c. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location: 4045-4046(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

W9c. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in government

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

(How about)

GETTING JOBS WITH THE CITY OR STATE GOVERNMENT

STRONG competition, WEAK competition, or NO competition?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong competition</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Weak competition</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. No competition</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.01  
  - Median: 2.00  
  - Mode: 3.00  
  - Minimum: 1.00  
  - Maximum: 3.00  
  - Standard Deviation: 0.86

Based upon 529 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>W9d. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in govt jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location: 4047-4048(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question:

W9d. HISPANIC: compete with blacks in govt jobs

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

(How about)

HAVING HISPANICS WINNING ELECTIONS TO GET JOBS IN GOVERNMENT

STRONG competition, WEAK competition, or NO competition?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Strong competition</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Weak competition</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. No competition</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 521 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085289A

W10a. HISPANIC: how important to blend in

Location: 4049-4050(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1

Question:

W10a. HISPANIC: how important to blend in

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:

How important is it for Hispanics to:
CHANGE SO THAT THEY BLEND INTO THE LARGER AMERICAN SOCIETY?

VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat important</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not at all important</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.88  
- Median: 2.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.79

Based upon 532 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085289B  
W10b. HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture

Location: 4051-4052(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question: W10b. HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:  
How important is it for Hispanics to: MAINTAIN THEIR DISTINCT CULTURES?

VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very important</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat important</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Not at all important</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.41  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 3.00
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- Standard Deviation: 0.63

Based upon 537 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 4053-4054(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: W11. HISPANIC: R doing well depend upon Hispanics doing well |

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
How much does your doing well depend on Hispanics also doing well? A LOT, SOME, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Not at all</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>67.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.29
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Based upon 536 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 4055-4056(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -4 , -2 , -1 |
| Question: W12. Country of Hispanic ancestry (PRELOAD) |

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY (PRELOAD)
This is the value set prior to administration, for use as preload.
This is the country of Hispanic ancestry in the Pre-election interview for respondents who had identified as Hispanic code Y27 or Y28a; some respondents identified as Hispanic for the Post-election preload were not asked the Pre-election question on country of Hispanic ancestry because Y24a-Y24e, Y27, or Y28 did not capture Hispanic status; in these cases, Hispanic status had been identified in the Household Listing (roster).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Argentina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Bolivia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Chile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Colombia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Costa Rica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Cuba</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Dominican Republic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. Ecuador</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9. El Salvador</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Guatemala</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Honduras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Mexico</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Nicaragua</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. Panama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. Paraguay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. Peru</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. Spain</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. Uruguay</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. Venezuela</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. U. S. A.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>77. OTHER {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 0 in W1_; country of ancestry not administered in Pre-election IW</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 14.44
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 77.00
- Standard Deviation: 9.74
Based upon 464 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085292**  
**W12. HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral country**

Location: 4057-4058(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question:

W12. HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:  
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY DETERMINED IN PRE-ELECTION IW:  
How often do you have contact with friends and family in -COUNTRY-?  
ONCE A WEEK OR MORE, ONCE A MONTH OR MORE, ONCE EVERY SEVERAL MONTHS, or NEVER?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Once a week or more</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Once a month or more</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Once every several months</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Never</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 23 in W12_; 0 in W1_; country of ancestry not administered</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>71.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.99  
- Median: 3.50  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.18

Based upon 428 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085293**  
**W13. HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country**

Location: 4059-4060(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1  
Question:

W13. HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
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IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY DETERMINED IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
How often do you visit -COUNTRY-?

MORE THAN ONCE A YEAR, ONCE A YEAR, ONCE IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, ONCE IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AGO, or NEVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More than once a year</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Once a year</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Once in the past three years</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once in the past five years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. More than five years ago</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Never</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 23 in W12_; 0 in W1_; country of ancestry not administered</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.97
- Median: 4.50
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.88

Based upon 428 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085294 W14. HISPANIC: how often send money to ancestral country

Location: 4061-4062 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1

W14. HISPANIC: how often send money to ancestral

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY DETERMINED IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
How often do you send money to friends or family in -COUNTRY-?

MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH, ONCE A MONTH, ONCE EVERY FEW MONTHS, ONCE A YEAR, LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR, or NEVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More than once a month</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Once a month</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Once every few months</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once a year</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Less than once a year</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Never</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 23 in W12_; 0 in W1_; country of ancestry not administered</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.28
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

Based upon 427 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085295 W15. HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country

Location: 4063-4064(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -4, -2, -1
Question:

W15. HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY DETERMINED IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
How much attention would you say you pay to politics in -COUNTRY-?

Would you say you pay A LOT of attention, SOME attention, a LITTLE attention, or NONE AT ALL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Some</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Little</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. None</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1351 -
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 23 in W12_; 0 in W1_; country of ancestry not administered</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.27
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 428 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085296**

**W16. HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4065-4066(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -4 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>W16. HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF PRELOAD HISPANIC:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY DETERMINED IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:
Before coming to the US, did you ever vote in elections in -COUNTRY-?

In the Pre-election interview, respondents who had identified as Hispanic code 40 in Pre-election Y24a-Y24e, or as Hispanic in Pre-election Y27 or Y28a were asked whether they were born in the U.S.; some respondents identified as Hispanic for the Post-election preload were not asked the Pre-election question on nativ status because Y24a-Y24e, Y27, or Y28 did not capture Hispanic status; in these cases, Hispanic status had been identified in the Household Listing (roster).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA: preload failure (3 cases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not born in ancestral country; 23 in W12_; 0 in W1_;</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Mean: 3.99
• Median: 5.00
• Mode: 5.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.74

Based upon 123 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085297A</th>
<th>Y1a. Is govt economic bailout the right step [VERSION Y1a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4067-4068(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9 , -8 , -2 , -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y1a. Is govt economic bailout the right step [VERSION Y1a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION Y1a:</td>
<td>As you know, the government is potentially investing billions to try to keep financial institutions and markets secure. Do you think this is the right thing or the wrong thing for the government to be doing?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either VERSION Y1a or VERSION Y1b bailout question. See PostRandom.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Right thing to be doing</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>26.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Wrong thing to be doing</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION Y1b</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>44.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Mean: 2.56
• Median: 1.00
• Mode: 1.00
• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00
• Standard Deviation: 1.95

Based upon 992 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085297B</th>
<th>Y1b. Is govt economic bailout the right step [VERSION Y1b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4069-4070(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y1b. Is gov't economic bailout the right step [VERSION

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION Y1b:
As you know, the government is potentially committing billions to try to keep financial institutions and markets secure. Do you think this is the right thing or the wrong thing for the government to be doing?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Right thing to be doing</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>23.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Wrong thing to be doing</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, R selected for VERSION Y1a</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>46.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.62
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.96

Based upon 930 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085298

Y2. Should R have sent troops to Iraq in 2003

Do you think the United States should or should not have sent troops to fight the war in Iraq in 2003?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Should</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>31.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V085299  Y3. Should number of troops in Iraq be more or less in 3 mos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Should not</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.54  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 2027 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085299A  Y3a. How much more troops in Iraq in 3 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. More</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Less</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. About the same</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.45  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00  
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Based upon 2035 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Y3a. How much more troops in Iraq in 3 months

IF R THERE SHOULD BE MORE TROOPS IN IRAQ IN 3 MONTHS:
A LOT more, SOMEWHAT more, or A LITTLE more?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat more</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little more</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 3,5,-8,-9 in Y3</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.20
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

Based upon 82 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y3b. How much fewer troops in Iraq in 3 months

IF R THERE SHOULD BE LESS TROOPS IN IRAQ IN 3 MONTHS:
A LITTLE less, SOMEWHAT less, A LOT LESS BUT NOT NONE, or NONE AT ALL?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A little less</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Somewhat less</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A lot less but not none</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. None at all</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1,5,-8,-9 in Y3</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.40
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.02

Based upon 1399 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### Y085299X

**SUMMARY: TROOPS IN IRAQ IN 3 MONTHS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4079-4080(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Y3x. SUMMARY: TROOPS IN IRAQ IN 3 MONTHS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A lot more</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat more</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. A little more</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. About the same</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little less</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Somewhat less</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. A lot less but not none</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8. None at all</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.42
**V085300**  
Y3c. How important is issue of troop level

Location: 4081-4082(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2  
Question:

How important to you personally is the issue of the number of U.S. troops in Iraq three months from now?  

NOT AT ALL important, SLIGHTLY important, MODERATELY important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not at all important</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 2078 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose setting a deadline for withdrawing all U.S. troops from Iraq?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.17
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.48

Based upon 2081 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085301A  Y4a. How much favor/oppose withdrawal deadline for troops

Location: 4085-4086(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2 , -1
Question: Y4a. How much favor/oppose withdrawal deadline for

IF R FAVORS IRAQ TROOP WITHDRAWAL DEADLINE/
IF R OPPOSES IRAQ TROOP WITHDRAWAL DEADLINE
Do you [favor/oppose] that a GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or a LITTLE?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. A great deal</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. A little</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 7,-8,-9 in Y4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.88
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 1702 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085301X Y4x. SUMMARY: DEADLINE FOR WITHDRAWAL OF IRAQ TROOPS**

| Location: | 4087-4088(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9 , -8 , -2 |

**Y4x. SUMMARY: DEADLINE FOR WITHDRAWAL OF IRAQ TROOPS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Favor a great deal</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Favor moderately</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Favor a little</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Neither favor nor oppose</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>16.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Oppose a little</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6. Oppose moderately</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Oppose a great deal</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.20
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.24

Based upon 2081 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085302 Y4b. How important is withdrawal deadline for Iraq troops**
Y4b. How important is withdrawal deadline for Iraq

How important to you personally is the issue of setting a deadline for withdrawing all U.S. troops from Iraq?

NOT AT ALL important, SLIGHTLY important, MODERATELY important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important?

You might have favorable thoughts or feelings about the Democratic Party. Or you might have unfavorable thoughts or feelings about the Democratic Party. Or you might have some of each.

We would like to ask you first about any favorable thoughts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not at all important</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Slightly important</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately important</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Very important</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Extremely important</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.29
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 2075 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Y5a1. Does R have favorable reaction to Democratic

First, do you have any FAVORABLE thoughts or feelings about the DEMOCRATIC PARTY, or do you not have any?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have favorable thoughts or feelings</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>49.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not have any</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>40.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.81
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.99

Based upon 2088 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085303A**

**Y5a1a. How favorable about Democratic Party**

| Location: 4093-4094(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1 |

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>15.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y5a1</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.42
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 1141 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y5a2. Does R have unfavorable reaction to Democratic Party**

| Location: | 4095-4096(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -9, -8, -2 |
| Question: | Do you have any UNFAVORABLE thoughts or feelings about the DEMOCRATIC PARTY, or do you not have any? |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have unfavorable thoughts or feelings</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not have any</td>
<td>1384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.65
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 2089 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y5a2a. How unfavorable about Republican Party**

| Location: | 4097-4098(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2, -1

Question:

Y5a2a. How unfavorable about Republican Party

IF R HAS UNFAVORABLE THOUGHTS ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
How unfavorable are your unfavorable thoughts and feelings about the DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

EXTREMELY unfavorable, VERY unfavorable, MODERATELY unfavorable, or SLIGHTLY unfavorable?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

You might have favorable thoughts or feelings about the Republican Party. Or you might have unfavorable thoughts or feelings about the Republican Party. Or you might have some of each.

We would like to ask you first about any favorable thoughts and feelings you might have about the Republican Party. Then in a moment, we'll ask you some separate questions about any unfavorable thoughts and feelings you might have.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y5a2</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>60.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.89
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.99
Based upon 705 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y5b1. Does R have favorable reaction to Republican Party

First, do you have any FAVORABLE thoughts or feelings about the REPUBLICAN PARTY, or do you not have any?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have favorable thoughts or feelings</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not have any</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.67
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.89

Based upon 2084 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

Y5b1a. How favorable about Republican Party

IF R HAS FAVORABLE THOUGHTS ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY:
How favorable are your favorable thoughts and feelings about the REPUBLICAN PARTY?

EXTREMELY favorable, VERY favorable, MODERATELY favorable, or SLIGHTLY favorable?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or...
reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y5b1</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>60.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.88  
- Median: 3.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 4.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Based upon 695 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V085306</td>
<td>Y5b2. Does R have favorable reaction to Republican Party</td>
<td>-9, -8, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location:  4103-4104(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  

Do you have any UNFAVORABLE thoughts or feelings about the REPUBLICAN PARTY, or do you not have any?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Have unfavorable thoughts or feelings</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>38.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Do not have any</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>51.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.29  
- Median: 5.00  
- Mode: 5.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 5.00
Based upon 2085 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y5b2a. How favorable about Republican Party**

**Location:** 4105-4106 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2, -1

**Question:**

**IF R HAS UNFAVORABLE THOUGHTS ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY:** How unfavorable are your unfavorable thoughts and feelings about the REPUBLICAN PARTY?

**EXTREMELY unfavorable, VERY unfavorable, MODERATELY unfavorable, or SLIGHTLY unfavorable?**

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>13.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 5,-8,-9 in Y5b2</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>52.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

Based upon 892 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**Y6. How often does R put on a show**

**Location:** 4107-4108 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -9, -8, -2
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Question:

Y6. How often does R put on a show

When you're with other people, how often do you put on a show to impress or entertain them?

ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, ONCE IN A WHILE, or NEVER?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Always</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Most of the time</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. About half the time</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Once in a while</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>31.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>43.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don't know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 4.20
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Based upon 2095 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085308 Y7. Would R be a good actor

Location: 4109-4110 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9, -8, -2

Question:

Y7. Would R be a good actor

How good or bad of an actor would you be? EXCELLENT, GOOD, FAIR, POOR, or VERY POOR?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to
administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

| Value | Label                  | Unweighted Frequency | %
|-------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | 1. Excellent           | 188                  | 8.1 %
| 2     | 2. Good                | 356                  | 15.3 %
| 3     | 3. Fair                | 539                  | 23.2 %
| 4     | 4. Poor                | 499                  | 21.5 %
| 5     | 5. Very poor           | 495                  | 21.3 %
| -9    | -9. Refused            | 3                    | 0.1 %
| -8    | -8. Don't know         | 22                   | 0.9 %
| -2    | -2. No Post-election IW| 221                  | 9.5 %

- Mean: 3.36
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 2077 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085309 Y8. Is R often the center of attention in a group

Location: 4111-4112(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2

Question:

When you're in a group of people, how often are you the center of attention?

ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, ONCE IN A WHILE, or NEVER?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

| Value | Label                  | Unweighted Frequency | %
|-------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | 1. Always              | 87                   | 3.7 %
| 2     | 2. Most of the time    | 194                  | 8.4 %
| 3     | 3. About half the time | 371                  | 16.0 %
| 4     | 4. Once in a while     | 1001                 | 43.1 %
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- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Never</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.72
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.03

Based upon 2089 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085310  Y9. How satisfied is R with life

Location: 4113-4114(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -8 , -2
Question: Y9. How satisfied is R with life

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

Would you say that you are EXTREMELY satisfied, VERY satisfied, MODERATELY satisfied, SLIGHTLY satisfied, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL?

This question was included in the subset of Post-election questions for which respondents were randomly assigned to administration with response options in either forward or reverse order. See Post.Random.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Extremely satisfied</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Very satisfied</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Moderately satisfied</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Slightly satisfied</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Not satisfied at all</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9. Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8. Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 2.57
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.01

Based upon 2099 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085311**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMP.1. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4115-4116(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.1. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>21.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>42.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1005 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085311A**  
AMP.1a. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

| Location: | 4117-4120(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

Question:

AMP.1a. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.35
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 61.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.14

Based upon 1005 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.1b. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO O (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>42.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.00
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.06

Based upon 1005 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085311C</th>
<th>AMP.1c. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4123-4126(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.1c. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.1d. 1st BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

This describes the 1st administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>21.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>42.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.97
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Based upon 1005 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.2. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.2. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 2nd facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
Based upon 1988 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.2a. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4131-4134(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.2a. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.78
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 62.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.99

Based upon 1988 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.2b. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (sec)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4135-4136(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

AMP.2b. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (sec)
See notes AMP.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.71
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.98

Based upon 1988 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.2c. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: 4137-4140(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -199 |

**Question:**

AMP.2c. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO T</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. PHOTO X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Z</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085312D**

**AMP.2d. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4141-4142(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.2d. 2nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 2nd administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>20.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.96
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 13.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 1988 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085313**

**AMP.3. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4143-4144(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.3. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 3rd facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant'
The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.). SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085313A AMP.3a. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4145-4148(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |
| Question: | AMP.3a. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) |
See notes AMP.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.98
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 63.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.17

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085313B**

AMP.3b. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

Location: 4149-4150(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.3b. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.3.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.09
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.93

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085313C**
**AMP.3c. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4151-4154(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question:

AMP.3c. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085313D**
**AMP.3d. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4155-4156(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question:

AMP.3d. 3rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 3rd administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 5.97  
- Median: 6.00  
- Mode: 4.00  
- Minimum: 3.00  
- Maximum: 14.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.26

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.4. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4157-4158(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 4th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.  
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both
had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.4a. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

| Location: | 4159-4162(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |
| Question: | |
AMP.4a. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.09  
- Median: 14.00  
- Mode: 13.00  
- Minimum: 4.00  
- Maximum: 63.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.35

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085314B**  
**AMP.4b. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4163-4164(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
- Question: AMP.4b. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.06  
- Median: 13.00  
- Mode: 9.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.85

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085314C**  
AMP.4c. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4165-4168(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: AMP.4c. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085314D**  
AMP.4d. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Location: 4169-4170(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

- 1390 -
Question:

AMP.4d. 4th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 4th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.94  
- Median: 8.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 4.00  
- Maximum: 18.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.56

Based upon 1982 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.5. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 5th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face. In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
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- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085315A**  
**AMP.5a. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

- **Location:** 4173-4176(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:**  
AMP.5a. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 16.19
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 14.00
- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 64.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.49

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085315B</th>
<th>AMP.5b. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4177-4178(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.5b. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.84
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 13.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.89

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085315C**

AMP.5c. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4179-4182(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.5c. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085315D**

**AMP.5d. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4183-4184(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.5d. 5th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 5th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>12.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 9.95  
- Median: 10.00  
- Mode: 9.00  
- Minimum: 5.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.83

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4185-4186(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

AMP.6. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 6th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>40.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>45.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085316A**

**AMP.6a. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

Location: 4187-4190(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.6a. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.23  
- Median: 16.00  
- Mode: 16.00  
- Minimum: 6.00  
- Maximum: 65.00
**AMP.6b. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

Location: 4191-4192 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.6b. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.99
- Median: 13.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.83

Based upon 1976 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.6c. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4193-4196 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
AMP.6c. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085316D

AMP.6d. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Location: 4197-4198(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

AMP.6d. 6th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 6th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.7. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 7th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the
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nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>40.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>44.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1974 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085317A AMP.7a. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

Location: 4201-4204(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

AMP.7a. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 18.27  
- **Median:** 17.00  
- **Mode:** 16.00  
- **Minimum:** 6.00  
- **Maximum:** 68.00  
- **Standard Deviation:** 6.74

Based upon 1974 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085317B**  
**AMP.7b. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4205-4206(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.7b. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 11.78  
- Median: 11.00  
- Mode: 3.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.85

Based upon 1974 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085317C**  
**AMP.7c. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4207-4210(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.7c. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085317D**  
**AMP.7d. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4211-4212(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.7d. 7th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the 7th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.86
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 28.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

Based upon 1974 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.8. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4213-4214(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 8th facial photo/Chinese character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a
young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant'). The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.). SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4. See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1972 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085318A**  **AMP.8a. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4215-4218(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Study 25383**
See notes AMP.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 19.24
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 68.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.81

Based upon 1972 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Variable Type</th>
<th>Range of Missing Values (M)</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4219-4220(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
<td>AMP.8b. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes AMP.8.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.11
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.82

Based upon 1972 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085318C** **AMP.8c. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085318D** **AMP.8d. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: 4225-4226(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2 |
AMP.8d. 8th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 8th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.79
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 16.00
- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 30.00
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 9th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1969 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085319A  AMP.9a. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4229-4232(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question: AMP.9a. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 20.26
- Median: 19.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 77.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.96

Based upon 1969 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>AMP.9b. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4233-4234(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:
AMP.9b. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.69
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.90
Based upon 1969 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085319C**  
**AMP.9c. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4235-4238 (width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

AMP.9c. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085319D**  
**AMP.9d. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4239-4240 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
Question:

AMP.9d. 9th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 9th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.75
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 31.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.49

Based upon 1969 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.10. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

#### Location:
4241-4242(width: 2; decimal: 0)

#### Variable Type:
numeric (ISO)

#### Range of Missing Values (M):
-5 , -4 , -2

#### Question:
AMP.10. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 10th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered
was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.). SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>39.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>44.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1966 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 4243-4246(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2 |

AMP.10a. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)
See notes AMP.10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.26
- Median: 20.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 79.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.10

Based upon 1966 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.10b. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Type:</th>
<th>Location: 4247-4248(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V085320B**

**Question:** AMP.10b. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.10.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.84
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.80

Based upon 1966 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 4249-4252(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -199 |
| Question: AMP.10c. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character |

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: 4253-4254(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
AMP.20d. 10th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 10th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 19.72
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 11th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>39.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>45.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085321A  AMP.11a. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4257-4260(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question: AMP.11a. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 22.23
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 81.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.16

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085321B  
AMP.11b. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

| Location: 4261-4262(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
Question: AMP.11b. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) |

See notes AMP.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.83
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 13.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 23.00
Standard Deviation: 6.85

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085321C**

**AMP.11c. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4263-4266(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.11c. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>2323-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085321D**

**AMP.11d. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4267-4268(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.11d. 11th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the 11th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2 02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3 03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>11 -</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>12 -</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>13 -</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>14 -</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>15 -</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>16 -</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>17 -</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>18 -</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>19 -</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.66
- Median: 22.00
- Mode: 22.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 33.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.60

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.12. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

**Location:** 4269-4270(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 12th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese
characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Mean:** 0.53
- **Median:** 1.00
- **Mode:** 1.00
- **Minimum:** 0.00
- **Maximum:** 1.00
- **Standard Deviation:** 0.50

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085322A**

**AMP.12a. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

- **Location:** 4271-4274(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2
AMP.12a. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 23.17
- Median: 22.00
- Mode: 20.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 83.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.27

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085322B** **AMP.12b. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

Location: 4275-4276(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.12b. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.12.
### Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
---|---|---|---
1 | 01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE) | 194 | 8.4 %
3 | 03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE) | 149 | 6.4 %
5 | 05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE) | 160 | 6.9 %
7 | 07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE) | 141 | 6.1 %
9 | 09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE) | 148 | 6.4 %
11 | 11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE) | 158 | 6.8 %
13 | 13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE) | 169 | 7.3 %
15 | 15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE) | 165 | 7.1 %
17 | 17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE) | 158 | 6.8 %
19 | 19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE) | 174 | 7.5 %
21 | 21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE) | 177 | 7.6 %
23 | 23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE) | 164 | 7.1 %
-5 | -5. INAP, not completed by respondent | 145 | 6.2 %
-4 | -4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair) | 0 | 0.0 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %

- Mean: 12.09
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.03

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085322C
**AMP.12c. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: | 4277-4280(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |
| Question: | AMP.12c. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character |

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085322D
**AMP.12d. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4281-4282(width: 2; decimal: 0) |

- 1433 -
AMP.12d. 12th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 12th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 23.55
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 13th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1953 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4285-4288(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

#### AMP.13a. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

**Question:**

AMP.13a. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.13.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 24.13
- Median: 23.00
- Mode: 22.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 84.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.33

Based upon 1953 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085323B**  **AMP.13b. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

| Location: | 4289-4290(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.13b. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.76
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 9.00
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- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.89

Based upon 1953 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085323C</th>
<th>AMP.13c. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4291-4294(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.13c. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data for this variable will be available in a future release.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085323D</th>
<th>AMP.13d. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4295-4296(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.13d. 13th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This describes the 13th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 25.47
- Median: 25.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 14.00
- Maximum: 37.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.72

Based upon 1953 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085324 AMP.14. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

- **Location:** 4297-4298(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2
- **Question:** AMP.14. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 14th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was...
that of a black male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant'). The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.
AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).
SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.
See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1947 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4299-4302(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.14a. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 25.08
- Median: 24.00
- Mode: 20.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 85.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.42

Based upon 1947 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085324B AMP.14b. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

- **Location:** 4303-4304(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2
- **Question:** AMP.14b. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.14.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.06  
- Median: 11.00  
- Mode: 21.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.99

Based upon 1947 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085324C**  
AMP.14c. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4305-4308(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: AMP.14c. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085324D**  
AMP.14d. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Location: 4309-4310(width: 2; decimal: 0)
AMP.14d. 14th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 14th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.40
AMP.15. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 15th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085325A AMP.15a. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4313-4316(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.15a. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 26.07
- Median: 25.00
- Mode: 22.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 86.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.55

Based upon 1944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085325B**

**AMP.15b. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

| Location: | 4317-4318(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.15b. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1449 -
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- Mean: 12.01
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.87

Based upon 1944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085325C**

**AMP.15c. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4319-4322(width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question: AMP.15c. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085325D**

**AMP.15d. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4323-4324(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.15d. 15th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 15th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 29.32  
- Median: 29.00  
- Mode: 29.00  
- Minimum: 17.00  
- Maximum: 39.00  
- Standard Deviation: 3.70

Based upon 1944 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085326   AMP.16. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

Location: 4325-4326(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
Question:

AMP.16. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 16th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was
that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant'). The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>40.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>43.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085326A | AMP.16a. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4327-4330(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:
AMP.16a. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.05
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 13.00
- Maximum: 86.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.66

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085326B**

**AMP.16b. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4331-4332(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
- Question: AMP.16b. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)
- Study 25383 -

See notes AMP.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.09
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.86

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085326C  AMP.16c. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4333-4336(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question: AMP.16c. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.16d. 16th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 16th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 31.31
- Median: 31.00
- Mode: 32.00
- Minimum: 20.00
- Maximum: 40.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.67

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085327**

**AMP.17. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

Location: 4339-4340(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.17. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 17th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data
for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ (‘Unpleasant’)</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>39.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ (‘Pleasant’)</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>44.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1937 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### AMP.17a. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

**Location:**
4341-4344(width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**
numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**
-5, -4, -2

**Question:**
AMP.17a. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.97
- Median: 27.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 14.00
- Maximum: 87.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.72

Based upon 1937 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085327B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMP.17b. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4345-4346(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: AMP.17b. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes AMP.17.
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- Mean: 12.15
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 23.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.96

Based upon 1937 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085327C</th>
<th>AMP.17c. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4347-4350(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.17c. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085327D</th>
<th>AMP.17d. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4351-4352(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.17d. 17th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the 17th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.25
- Median: 33.00
- Mode: 33.00
- Minimum: 21.00
- Maximum: 41.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.65

Based upon 1937 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085328</th>
<th>AMP.18. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4353-4354(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.18. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 18th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>39.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>43.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1932 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085328A  AMP.18a. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4355-4358(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question:

AMP.18a. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 28.97
- Median: 27.50
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 15.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.99

Based upon 1932 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4359-4360(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |
| Question: | AMP.18b. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) |
### Unweighted Frequency Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.97
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.98

Based upon 1932 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4361-4364(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMP.18c. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.18d. 18th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 18th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 35.21
- Median: 36.00
AMP.19. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 19th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085329A

**AMP.19a. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4369-4372(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.19a. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 29.95
- Median: 28.00
- Minimum: 16.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.16

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AMP.19b. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4373-4374(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.19b. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes AMP.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 12.45
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 23.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.85

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085329C**
**AMP.19c. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: | 4375-4378(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**
AMP.19c. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085329D**
**AMP.19d. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4379-4380(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Question:**
AMP.19d. 19th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 19th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | ---
29 | - | 28 | 1.2%
30 | - | 22 | 0.9%
31 | - | 40 | 1.7%
32 | - | 81 | 3.5%
33 | - | 87 | 3.7%
34 | - | 112 | 4.8%
35 | - | 182 | 7.8%
36 | - | 195 | 8.4%
37 | - | 213 | 9.2%
38 | - | 229 | 9.9%
39 | - | 198 | 8.5%
40 | - | 194 | 8.4%
41 | - | 178 | 7.7%
42 | - | 106 | 4.6%
43 | - | 50 | 2.2%
48 | 48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent | 0 | 0.0%
-5 | -5. INAP, not completed by respondent | 171 | 7.4%
-4 | -4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair) | 0 | 0.0%
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5%

- Mean: 37.16
- Median: 37.00
- Mode: 38.00
- Minimum: 25.00
- Maximum: 43.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.33

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.20. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4381-4382(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 20th facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a
young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant'). The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.). SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4. See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>39.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>44.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085330A**

**AMP.20a. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4383-4386(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |
| Question: | |
AMP.20a. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value Frequency Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171 7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221 9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 30.90
- Median: 29.00
- Mode: 29.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 101.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.20

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085330B AMP.20b. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4387-4388(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
AMP.20b. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.10
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.96

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085330C  AMP.20c. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

| Location: | 4389-4392(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

Question:

AMP.20c. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.20d. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4393-4394(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: AMP.20d. 20th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 20th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 39.13
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 41.00
- Minimum: 26.00
- Maximum: 44.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.13

Based upon 1931 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085331**

**AMP.21. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

Location: 4395-4396(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 21st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.54
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1927 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085331A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMP.21a. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4397-4400(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question: AMP.21a. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.21.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 31.86
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 103.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.28

Based upon 1927 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085331B**  
**AMP.21b. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question:</th>
<th>AMP.21b. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4401-4402(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes AMP.21.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.02
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.95

Based upon 1927 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### AMP.21c. 21st BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### AMP.21d. 31st BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 21st administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 41.07
- Median: 42.00
- Mode: 43.00
- Minimum: 29.00
- Maximum: 45.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.87

Based upon 1927 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085332**

**AMP.22. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4409-4410(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |
| Question: | AMP.22. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) |
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 22nd facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>39.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>43.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.53  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1924 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.22a. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes AMP.22.
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 32.81
- Median: 31.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 104.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.36

Based upon 1924 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.22b. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.25  
- Median: 13.00  
- Mode: 19.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.97

Based upon 1924 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.22c. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

See notes AMP.22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4415-4416</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-4 | -4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair) | 0                    | 0.0%|

-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221                  | 9.5%|

- Mean: 12.25  
- Median: 13.00  
- Mode: 19.00  
- Minimum: 1.00  
- Maximum: 23.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.97

Based upon 1924 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.22d. 22nd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 22nd administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>14.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 42.98
- Median: 44.00
- Mode: 44.00
- Minimum: 31.00
- Maximum: 46.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.55

Based upon 1924 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085333

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMP.23. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4423-4424(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: AMP.23. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 23rd facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>40.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>42.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1922 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4425-4428(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

AMP.23a. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.23.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.71
- Median: 32.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 105.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.45

Based upon 1922 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085333B** **AMP.23b. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

Location: 4429-4430(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question: AMP.23b. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.23.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO Q (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.98
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.83

Based upon 1922 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### AMP.23c. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4431-4434(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.23c. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### AMP.23d. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4435-4436(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.23d. 23rd BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 23rd administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>18.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>23.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 44.92
- Median: 46.00
- Mode: 47.00
- Minimum: 34.00
- Maximum: 47.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.17

Based upon 1922 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085334 AMP.24. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4437-4438(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |
| Question: | AMP.24. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) |

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 24th facial photo/Chinese-
character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a black male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).
The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.
AMP.1 through AMP.24d represent the 24 iterations for black male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.1-AMP.24d: first black face displayed, 2nd black face displayed, etc.).
SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.
See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ (‘Unpleasant’)</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ (‘Pleasant’)</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Based upon 1919 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085334A  AMP.24a. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)
Location: 4439-4442(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
AMP.24a. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

See notes AMP.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Study 25383 -

- Mean: 34.65
- Median: 33.00
- Mode: 31.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 106.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.48

Based upon 1919 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.24b. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

See notes AMP.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01. PHOTO A (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. PHOTO C (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05. PHOTO E (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>07. PHOTO G (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09. PHOTO J (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. PHOTO L (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. PHOTO N (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. PHOTO O (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17. PHOTO S (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19. PHOTO U (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23. PHOTO Y (BLACK FACE)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.06
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.87

Based upon 1919 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.24c. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4445-4448(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: AMP.24c. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: Chinese character
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085334D AMP.24d. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4449-4450(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.24d. 24th BLACK FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 24th administered black male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>18.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>40.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 46.85
- Median: 47.00
- Mode: 48.00
- Minimum: 37.00
- Maximum: 48.00
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
Based upon 986 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085335A  AMP.25a. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4453-4456(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.91
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 46.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.49

Based upon 986 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085335B**

**AMP.25b. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4457-4458(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
- Question: AMP.25b. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)
This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.64
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.04

Based upon 986 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085335C AMP.25c. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4459-4462(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: AMP.25c. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085335D**

**AMP.25d. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4463-4464(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

AMP.25d. 1st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 1st administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>43.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 2.88
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Based upon 986 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085336**

**AMP.26. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

- 1505 -
AMP.26. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>35.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>50.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.59
- Median: 1.00
Based upon 1985 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085336A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question:</th>
<th>AMP.26a. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4467-4470(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.78
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 59.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.89

Based upon 1985 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085336B**

**AMP.26b. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4471-4472(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2
AMP.26b. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.83
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.98

Based upon 1985 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085336C AMP.26c. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4473-4476(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

AMP.26c. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085336D**  
**AMP.26d. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4477-4478(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2  
Question:

AMP.26d. 2nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 2nd administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>22.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>21.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 3.92  
- Median: 3.00
AMP.27. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085337A  
AMP.27a. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

| Location: | 4481-4484(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

Question:
AMP.27a. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.96
- Median: 13.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 3.00
AMP.27b. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Maximum: 67.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.11

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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- Standard Deviation: 7.03

Based upon 1984 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085337C**  
**AMP.27c. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: | 4487-4490(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

AMP.27c. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085337D**  
**AMP.27d. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4491-4492(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.27d. 3rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 3rd administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>13.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.
AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>30.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>55.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1983 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085338A  AMP.28a. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.06
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 69.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.25

Based upon 1983 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085338B  AMP.28b. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4499-4500(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP.28b. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.80  
- Median: 12.00  
- Mode: 8.00  
- Minimum: 2.00  
- Maximum: 24.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.82

Based upon 1983 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085338C**  
AMP.28c. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4501-4504(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:  
AMP.28c. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085338D**  
AMP.28d. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Location: 4505-4506(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2  
Question:  
AMP.28d. 4th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 4th administered white male photo as
the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>13.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 7.82  
- Median: 7.00  
- Mode: 7.00  
- Minimum: 4.00  
- Maximum: 19.00  
- Standard Deviation: 2.58

Based upon 1983 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085339  AMP.29. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

Location: 4507-4508(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
Question: AMP.29. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>30.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>55.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1980 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.29a. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value / Label / Unweighted Frequency / %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 16.13
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 11.00
- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 74.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.38

Based upon 1980 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V08539B**  AMP.29b. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

| Location: | 4513-4514(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |
| Question: | AMP.29b. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter) |
This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.92
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.95

Based upon 1980 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085339C AMP.29c. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

- Location: 4515-4518(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -199
- Question: AMP.29c. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085339D</th>
<th>AMP.29d. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4519-4520(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question:

AMP.29d. 5th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 5th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>12.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face. In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
**AMP.30a. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

Based upon 1978 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**- Study 25383 -**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.16  
- Median: 16.00  
- Mode: 13.00  
- Minimum: 4.00  
- Maximum: 74.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.53

Based upon 1978 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085340B AMP.30b. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- Location: 4527-4528(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2

**Question:** AMP.30b. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-electionIW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.95
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.81

Based upon 1978 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085340C**

**AMP.30c. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4529-4532(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.30c. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085340D**

**AMP.30d. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4533-4534(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.30d. 6th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the 6th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>242</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 11.78
- Median: 11.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.07

Based upon 1978 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085341 AMP.31. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4535-4536(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-
character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').
The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.
AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).
SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.
See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ (‘Unpleasant’)</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ (‘Pleasant’)</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65  
- Median: 1.00  
- Mode: 1.00  
- Minimum: 0.00  
- Maximum: 1.00  
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1975 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085341A AMP.31a. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)
Location: 4537-4540(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 18.19
- Median: 17.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 75.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.72

Based upon 1975 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085341B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMP.31b. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4541-4542(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type: numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.31b. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.00
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.89

Based upon 1975 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085341C AMP.31c. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4543-4546(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
Question: AMP.31c. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character
- Study 25383 -

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085341D**  
**AMP.31d. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4547-4548(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.31d. 7th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 7th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1537 -
AMP.32. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered.
Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>31.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1237</td>
<td>53.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.63
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1971 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085342A**

**AMP.32a. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4551-4554(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |
| Question: | AMP.32a. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec) |

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
%Unweighted Frequency
Label Value
0.0% 1-54
0.0% 1-55
0.0% 1-60
0.0% 1-61
0.0% 1-64
0.0% 1-76
5.6% 131-5.INAP, not completed by respondent
0.0% 0-4.Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)
9.5% 221-2.No Post-election IW

- Mean: 19.23
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 16.00
- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 76.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.85

Based upon 1971 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.32b. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

Value Label Unweighted Frequency %
2 02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE) 180 7.7%
4 04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE) 164 7.1%
6 06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE) 164 7.1%
8 08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE) 149 6.4%
10 10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE) 157 6.8%
12 12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE) 153 6.6%
14 14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE) 144 6.2%
16 16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE) 162 7.0%

V085342B AMP.32b. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

Location: 4555-4556(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question:

AMP.32b. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.19
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.13

Based upon 1971 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085342C
**AMP.32c. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: | 4557-4560(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

**Question:**

AMP.32c. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085342D
**AMP.32d. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: | 4561-4562(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.32d. 8th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 8th administered white male photo as
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the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 15.72
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 28.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.48

Based upon 1971 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085343  AMP.33. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

Location: 4563-4564(width: 2; decimal: 0)
AMP.33. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
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- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 1968 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085343A**  
**AMP.33a. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4565-4568(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

Question:  
AMP.33a. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 20.23  
- Median: 19.00  
- Mode: 16.00  
- Minimum: 6.00  
- Maximum: 77.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.94  

Based upon 1968 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.33b. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/English character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.21
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 22.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.04

Based upon 1968 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.33c. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.33d. 9th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 9th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 17.70
- Median: 17.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.60

Based upon 1968 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085344 AMP.34. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4577-4578(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Question:** AMP.34. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was
selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.
AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).
SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.
See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>29.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>54.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1967 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AMP.34a. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>4579-4582(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.34a. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.22
- Median: 20.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 78.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.17

Based upon 1967 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085344B AMP.34b. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4583-4584(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.34b. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.19
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 24.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.02

Based upon 1967 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085344C**

AMP.34c. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4585-4588(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

AMP.34c. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085344D**

AMP.34d. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence
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AMP.34d. 10th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 10th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>29.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>55.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085345A

**AMP.35a. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

- **Location:** 4593-4596(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

AMP.35a. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 22.21  
- Median: 21.00  
- Mode: 18.00  
- Minimum: 7.00  
- Maximum: 79.00  
- Standard Deviation: 7.26

Based upon 1965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

| Location: | 4597-4598(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

AMP.35b. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.17  
- Median: 14.00  
- Mode: 18.00  
- Minimum: 2.00  
- Maximum: 24.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.86

Based upon 1965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085345C**  
**AMP.35c. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: 4599-4602(width: 4; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -199 | Question:

AMP.35c. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085345D**  
**AMP.35d. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

| Location: 4603-4604(width: 2; decimal: 0) | Variable Type: numeric (ISO) | Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2 | Question:

AMP.35d. 11th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 11th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 21.59
- Median: 21.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 33.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.72

Based upon 1965 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085346**  **AMP.36. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

**Location:** 4605-4606(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>30.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>53.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
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- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085346A</th>
<th>AMP.36a. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4607-4610(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.36a. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 23.23
- Median: 22.00
- Mode: 19.00
- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.36
Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085346B**  **AMP.36b. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

| Location: | 4611-4612(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

Question:

AMP.36b. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.91
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 16.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.81

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085346C**  **AMP.36c. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**
**AMP.36c. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085346D AMP.36d. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4617-4618(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.36d. 12th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 12th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 23.57
- Median: 23.00
- Mode: 23.00
- Minimum: 15.00
- Maximum: 35.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.72

Based upon 1960 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

** AMP.37. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

Location: 4619-4620(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

AMP.37. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male
faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4. See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>29.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>54.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1959 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.37a. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value, Label, Unweighted Frequency, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 24.18
- Median: 23.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.47

Based upon 1959 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.37b. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- **Location:** 4625-4626(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2
- **Question:**

AMP.37b. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.92
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.86

Based upon 1959 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085347C

**AMP.37c. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4627-4630(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP.37c. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.37d. 13th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 13th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>207</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085348A  AMP.38a. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4635-4638(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2

AMP.38a. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1573 -
| Value | Label | Unweighted Frequency | %  
|-------|-------|---------------------|----|
| 22    | -     | 139                 | 6.0 %
| 23    | -     | 128                 | 5.5 %
| 24    | -     | 134                 | 5.8 %
| 25    | -     | 126                 | 5.4 %
| 26    | -     | 119                 | 5.1 %
| 27    | -     | 79                  | 3.4 %
| 28    | -     | 91                  | 3.9 %
| 29    | -     | 75                  | 3.2 %
| 30    | -     | 60                  | 2.6 %
| 31    | -     | 48                  | 2.1 %
| 32    | -     | 45                  | 1.9 %
| 33    | -     | 38                  | 1.6 %
| 34    | -     | 34                  | 1.5 %
| 35    | -     | 25                  | 1.1 %
| 36    | -     | 29                  | 1.2 %
| 37    | -     | 19                  | 0.8 %
| 38    | -     | 17                  | 0.7 %
| 39    | -     | 13                  | 0.6 %
| 40    | -     | 9                   | 0.4 %
| 41    | -     | 10                  | 0.4 %
| 42    | -     | 5                   | 0.2 %
| 43    | -     | 5                   | 0.2 %
| 44    | -     | 3                   | 0.1 %
| 45    | -     | 8                   | 0.3 %
| 46    | -     | 6                   | 0.3 %
| 47    | -     | 5                   | 0.2 %
| 48    | -     | 4                   | 0.2 %
| 49    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 50    | -     | 3                   | 0.1 %
| 51    | -     | 2                   | 0.1 %
| 52    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 53    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 55    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 56    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 57    | -     | 2                   | 0.1 %
| 58    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 59    | -     | 2                   | 0.1 %
| 61    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 62    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
| 64    | -     | 1                   | 0.0 %
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 25.17
- Median: 24.00
- Mode: 22.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 83.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.57

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.38b. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

**Location:** 4639-4640(width: 2; decimal: 0)
**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Unweighted Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.91
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.82

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### AMP.38c. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

**Location:** 4641-4644(width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -199

**Question:** AMP.38c. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

### AMP.38d. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

**Location:** 4645-4646(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:** AMP.38d. 14th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
This describes the 14th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. 2nd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>03. 3rd pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48. 48th pairing displayed to respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.46
- Median: 28.00
- Mode: 28.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 38.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.73

Based upon 1957 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>31.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>52.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 0.63
Based upon 1951 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.39a. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

**Location:** 4649-4652 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:** AMP.39a. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mean: 26.11
- Median: 25.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 84.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.60

Based upon 1951 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085349B** **AMP.39b. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

| Location: | 4653-4654(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.39b. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.82
- Median: 12.00
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- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.81

Based upon 1951 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085349C**  **AMP.39c. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4655-4658(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question: AMP.39c. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085349D**  **AMP.39d. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4659-4660(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question: AMP.39d. 15th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

This describes the 15th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 29.43  
- Median: 30.00  
- Mode: 30.00  
- Minimum: 19.00  
- Maximum: 39.00  
- Standard Deviation: 3.72

Based upon 1951 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085350**  
**AMP.40. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**  

| Location: | 4661-4662(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**  
AMP.40. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’
The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>29.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>53.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085350A | AMP.40a. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)
---|---
Location: | 4663-4666(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: | numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2
Question: | AMP.40a. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 27.06
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 23.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 85.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.72

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085350B</th>
<th>AMP.40b. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4667-4668(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: AMP.40b. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.10
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.95

Based upon 1941 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.40c. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4669-4672(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.
Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.40d. 16th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

This describes the 16th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1938 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>4677-4680(width: 4; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### AMP.41a. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 28.04  
- Median: 27.00  
- Mode: 27.00  
- Minimum: 13.00  
- Maximum: 87.00  
- Standard Deviation: 7.78

Based upon 1938 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP.41b. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.80  
- Median: 12.00  
- Mode: 16.00  
- Minimum: 2.00  
- Maximum: 24.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.91

Based upon 1938 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085351C  
**AMP.41c. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

| Location: | 4683-4686(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199, -5, -4, -2 |

**Question:**  
AMP.41c. 17th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### V085351D

| Location: | 4687-4688(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.35
- Median: 34.00
- Minimum: 21.00
- Maximum: 41.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.52

Based upon 1938 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085352**

**AMP.42. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

- Location: 4689-4690(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
- Question: AMP.42. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese
characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the n-th iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>28.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>55.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 1936 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.42a. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

Location: 4691-4694(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2
AMP.42a. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.
Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 28.97  
- Median: 28.00  
- Mode: 27.00  
- Minimum: 14.00  
- Maximum: 88.00  
- Standard Deviation: 7.94

Based upon 1936 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085352B**  
AMP.42b. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

Location: 4695-4696(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
AMP.42b. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.07
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.66

Based upon 1936 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085352C AMP.42c. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4697-4700(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199

Question:

AMP.42c. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085352D**

**AMP.42d. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4701-4702(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
Question:

AMP.42d. 18th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 18th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/dispayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.
The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 “test” administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>54.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1935 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.43a. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value and Frequency Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 29.93
- Median: 28.00
- Mode: 26.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.14

Based upon 1935 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### Variable Description

**V085353B**

**AMP.43b. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

- **Location:** 4709-4710(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- **Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)
- **Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

AMP.43b. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.09
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 20.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.89

Based upon 1935 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.43c. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.43d. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4711-4714(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
<td>4715-4716(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.43d. 19th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 19th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 37.22
- Median: 38.00
- Mode: 38.00
- Minimum: 24.00
- Maximum: 43.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

Based upon 1935 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
AMP.44. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded ‘Q’ ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>29.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded ‘P’ ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>54.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
Based upon 1933 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085354A

AMP.44a. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

Location: 4719-4722(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.44a. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.44b. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 12.80
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.80

Based upon 1933 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085354C
**AMP.44c. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

- Location: 4725-4728(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -199
- Question: AMP.44c. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

#### V085354D
**AMP.44d. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

- Location: 4729-4730(width: 2; decimal: 0)
- Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
- Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2
- Question: AMP.44d. 20th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 20th administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>259</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 39.14
- Median: 39.00
- Mode: 39.00
- Minimum: 25.00
- Maximum: 44.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.03

Based upon 1933 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085355**

**AMP.45. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

Location: 4731-4732(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

AMP.45. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.
In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a
young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module. AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>29.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>53.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Based upon 1926 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085355A</th>
<th>AMP.45a. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4733-4736(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.45a. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Value Label | Unweighted Frequency | %
---|---|---
47 | - | 8 | 0.3 %
48 | - | 12 | 0.5 %
49 | - | 9 | 0.4 %
50 | - | 5 | 0.2 %
51 | - | 4 | 0.2 %
52 | - | 8 | 0.3 %
53 | - | 7 | 0.3 %
54 | - | 5 | 0.2 %
55 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
56 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
57 | - | 3 | 0.1 %
58 | - | 6 | 0.3 %
59 | - | 3 | 0.1 %
62 | - | 2 | 0.1 %
63 | - | 2 | 0.1 %
65 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
67 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
69 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
70 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
72 | - | 2 | 0.1 %
75 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
78 | - | 2 | 0.1 %
81 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
84 | - | 2 | 0.1 %
90 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
104 | - | 1 | 0.0 %
-5 | -5. INAP, not completed by respondent | 176 | 7.6 %
-4 | -4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair) | 0 | 0.0 %
-2 | -2. No Post-election IW | 221 | 9.5 %

- Mean: 31.81
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 29.00
- Minimum: 15.00
- Maximum: 104.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.24

Based upon 1926 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085355B</th>
<th>AMP.45b. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4737-4738(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.45b. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.01
- Median: 12.00
- Mode: 20.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.98

Based upon 1926 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085355C  AMP.45c. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4739-4742(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199
AMP.45c. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>2323.0</td>
<td>2323.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

AMP.45d. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

V085355D

Location: 4743-4744(width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -5 , -4 , -2

Question: AMP.45d. 21st WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 21st administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q085356

**AMP.46. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)**

| Location: | 4745-4746(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | \{-5, -4, -2\} |

#### Question:
AMP.46. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic (‘Pleasant’ or ‘Unpleasant’).

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

**SPECIAL NOTE:** as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.
- Study 25383 -

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>28.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>54.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 1923 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085356A**

**AMP.46a. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4747-4750(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

**Question:**

AMP.46a. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study 25383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 32.73
- Median: 31.00
- Mode: 28.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 105.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.34

Based upon 1923 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085356B**

**AMP.46b. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

Location: 4751-4752(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2

Question:

AMP.46b. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.11  
- Median: 14.00  
- Mode: 6.00  
- Minimum: 2.00  
- Maximum: 24.00  
- Standard Deviation: 6.84

Based upon 1923 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085356C**  
AMP.46c. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Location: 4753-4756(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: AMP.46c. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085356D**  
AMP.46d. 22nd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

Location: 4757-4758(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
- 1621 -
This describes the 22nd administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 42.92
- Median: 43.00
- Mode: 45.00
- Minimum: 29.00
- Maximum: 46.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.60

Based upon 1923 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085357 | AMP.47. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4759-4760(width: 2; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-5 , -4 , -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMP.47. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the nth iteration in the AMP series where n=1 will have cases coded -4.

See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations.

The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>52.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.64
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48
Based upon 1920 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.47a. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4761-4764(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5 , -4 , -2 |

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 33.72
- Median: 32.00
AMP.47b. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination. Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Mode: 29.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 106.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.45

Based upon 1920 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
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- Standard Deviation: 6.99

Based upon 1920 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085357C**  
**AMP.47c. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character**

Location: 4767-4770(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question: AMP.47c. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085357D**  
**AMP.47d. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence**

Location: 4771-4772(width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -5, -4, -2  
Question: AMP.47d. 23rd WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

This describes the 23rd administered white male photo as the nth photo/pictograph pair administered in the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>14.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 44.92
- Median: 45.00
- Mode: 47.00
- Minimum: 34.00
- Maximum: 47.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.18

Based upon 1920 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085358**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>4773-4774(width: 2; decimal: 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M)</td>
<td>-5, -4, -2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

AMP.48. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response (P or Q)

From the self-administered AMP module, this is the response coded by the Respondent for the 1st facial photo/Chinese-character pairing of images in which the displayed photo was that of a white male face.

In the AMP module, 48 total pairings of photos and Chinese characters were displayed to the Respondent: the photo (of a young male) was flashed very briefly before display of a Chinese character that remained onscreen more perceptibly. After both had flashed/displayed, a grey screen appeared where the respondent coded a response to the Chinese character graphic ('Pleasant' or 'Unpleasant').

The order in which the photos and pictographs were administered was randomized. Each pairing represented either 1 of 12 photos of white male faces or else 1 of 12 photos of black male faces, together with 1 Chinese character (note: each face was selected twice for administration). See the User Guide for the photos and pictographs used in the AMP module.

AMP.25 through AMP.48d represent the 24 iterations for white male faces, in the relative order of their (random) selection for presentation (order for the 24 pairs in AMP.25-AMP.48d: first white face displayed, 2nd white face displayed, etc.).

SPECIAL NOTE: as the result of a technical glitch, for all cases, the 1st photo/Chinese character pair, although properly selected by the algorithm, was not actually administered (displayed) to the respondent. Variables representing the
nth iteration in the AMP series where \( n=1 \) will have cases coded -4. See AMP.50 for respondents unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations. The 48 iterations in the AMP module were preceded by 4 "test" administrations of response to Chinese characters alone; data for these test administrations are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. Coded 'Q' ('Unpleasant')</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>27.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Coded 'P' ('Pleasant')</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>55.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

Based upon 1915 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.48a. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: response time (sec)**

| Location: | 4775-4778(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -5, -4, -2 |

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all (or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Unweighted Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 34.68
- Median: 33.00
- Mode: 31.00
- Minimum: 19.00
- Maximum: 107.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.52

Based upon 1915 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.48b. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)**

**Location:**

4779-4780 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:**

numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):**

-5, -4, -2

**Question:**

AMP.48b. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: photograph (letter)

This describes the photo used in combination with this nth photo/pictograph combination.

Some respondents were unable to complete the AMP module due to visual limitations; others did not complete all
(or any) screens in the module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>02. PHOTO A (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04. PHOTO C (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06. PHOTO E (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>08. PHOTO G (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. PHOTO J (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. PHOTO L (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14. PHOTO N (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16. PHOTO Q (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18. PHOTO S (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. PHOTO U (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21. PHOTO W (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22: Unknown Code</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24. PHOTO Y (WHITE FACE)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 13.13
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 24.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.86

Based upon 1915 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V085358C</th>
<th>AMP.48c. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4781-4784(width: 4; decimal: 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Type:</td>
<td>numeric (ISO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Missing Values (M):</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>AMP.48c. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: Chinese character</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.
### AMP.48d. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

**Location:** 4785-4786 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -5, -4, -2

**Question:**

AMP.48d. 24th WHITE FACE PHOTO: nth in sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>10.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>17.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>42.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>8.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. Not administered due to programming error (selected for the 1st administered photo/Chinese character pair)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 46.88
- Median: 48.00
- Mode: 48.00
- Minimum: 36.00
- Maximum: 48.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Based upon 1915 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

### AMP.49. R able to read Chinese

**Location:** 4787-4788 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2, -1

**Question:**

AMP.49. R able to read Chinese

Is R able to read Chinese
At the close of the AMP module, R was asked if he/she could read Chinese; the pictographs displayed in the AMP module were Chinese characters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. No</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>75.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, not completed by respondent</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.22

Based upon 1854 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**AMP.50a. Pre-administration IWR checkpoint**

**Location:** 4789-4790(width: 2; decimal: 0)

**Variable Type:** numeric (ISO)

**Range of Missing Values (M):** -2

**Question:**

AMP: PRE-ADMINISTRATION INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:

Does R have a visual impairment which makes it impossible to complete the AMP (even with assistance)?

Cases were coded 2 when the respondent was completely unable to self-administer the AMP module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. NO, Respondent is able to proceed with the AMP</td>
<td>2054</td>
<td>88.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. YES, respondent has visual impairment which will prevent completion of AMP [SPECIFY]</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 1.02
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Study 25383 -

- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.15

Based upon 2102 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085360B**

**AMP.50b. Post-administration IWR checkpoint**

| Location: | 4791-4792(width: 2; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -4, -2, -1 |

Question:

AMP.50b. Post-administration IWR checkpoint

Did R have a visual or physical impairment which required your assistance in order for him or her to be able to complete the AMP section?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0. NO, no assistance was necessary</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. YES, respondent required assistance due to VISUAL impairment</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. YES, respondent required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7. Other {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA, not completed by the IWR</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2. No Post-election IW</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 2 in AMP.50a</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Mean: 0.25
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Based upon 2036 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085401A**

**ZZ1a. POST IWR OBS: others present**

| Location: | 4793-4796(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: | numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): | -199 |

Question:

ZZ1a. POST IWR OBS: others present

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Others present at time of interview:
Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Pre-election survey interview.
Data for this variable will be available in a future release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085402**

**ZZ2. POST IWR OBS: R cooperation**

| Location: 4797-4800(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -199, -4 |

**Question:** ZZ2. POST IWR OBS: R cooperation

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**

R's cooperation was:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very good</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Good</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Fair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085403**

**ZZ3. POST IWR OBS: R level of information**

| Location: 4801-4804(width: 4; decimal: 0) |
| Variable Type: numeric (ISO) |
| Range of Missing Values (M): -199, -4 |

**Question:** ZZ3. POST IWR OBS: R level of information
POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085404** **ZZ4. POST IWR OBS: R intelligence**

Location: 4805-4808(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199 , -4

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**

R's apparent intelligence:

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085405** **ZZ5. POST IWR OBS: R suspicious**

Location: 4809-4812(width: 4; decimal: 0)
- Study 25383 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199, -4

Question:

ZZ5. POST IWR OBS: R suspicious

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Not at all suspicious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Somewhat suspicious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Very suspicious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085406

ZZ6. POST IWR OBS: R interest in IW

Location: 4813-4816(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M): -199, -4

Question:

ZZ6. POST IWR OBS: R interest in IW

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Very high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Fairly high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4. Fairly low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. Very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Study 25383 -

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085407  ZZ7. POST IWR OBS: R sincere

Location:  4817-4820(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -199, -4
Question:

ZZ7. POST IWR OBS: R sincere

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Completely sincere</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2. Usually sincere</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3. Often seemed to be insincere</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

V085408  ZZ8. POST IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity

Location:  4821-4824(width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:  numeric (ISO)
Range of Missing Values (M):  -199, -4, -1
Question:

ZZ8. POST IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity

POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:
Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

{IF SO, NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER}

Coded by the interviewer after completion of the Post-election survey interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1. Yes {SPECIFY}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5. No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4. NA (missing)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1. INAP, 1 in ZZ7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.

**V085409A**  
**ZZ09a. POST IWR OBS: Mention reactions to IW**

Location: 4825-4828(width: 4; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)  
Range of Missing Values (M): -199  
Question:

**POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER:**
R's reaction to interview:
- starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R confused by questions couldn't understand the scales; interview was obviously hard for R embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge why do you come to the old folks home? why THIS neighborhood? why/why not blacks/Hispanics? why me? etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10. Negative - general</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11. Negative - too long</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12. Negative - too complicated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15. R wanted to stop before interview completed. After starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regrette</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20. R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously ha</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22. R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was confused by questions couldn't understand the scales; interview</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30. R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for int</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31. R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40. R was agitated or stressed by interview PROCESS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>41. R became angry at interview CONTENT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Unweighted Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45. R became concerned about sampling purpose or bias: why do you come to the old folks home? why THIS neighborhood? why</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50. R could not read Respondent Booklet</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70. R appeared to enjoy the interview (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant etc.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-199. Not available in the current release</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2323 total cases.